RESOLUTION NO.

2	WHEREAS, in August 2016, City Council approved Resolution No.
3	20160818-074 that expressed Council's intent to contract with voters for a
4	Mobility Bond election in November 2016 and directed the City Manager to
5	analyze existing capital project delivery systems and processes in order to
6	recommend potential changes and resource requirements to complete the bond
7	program within eight years from initiation; and
8	WHEREAS, in March 2019, City Council approved Resolution No.
9	20190328-040 that affirmed the City of Austin's desire to construct as many miles
10	of progressive multi-modal mobility infrastructure as quickly as it can to facilitate
11	congestion relief, safety, and environmental stewardship, and encouraged the City
12	Manager to form a steering committee of representatives from relevant
13	departments to align resources, priorities, and policies that support an accelerated
14	timetable for planned bicycle, scooter, transit, sidewalks, and tier one urban trail
15	infrastructure projects; and
16	WHEREAS, in August 2019, City Council approved Resolution No.
17	20190808-081 that directed the City Manager to analyze and report on options for
18	the City to leverage resources to support the creation, operation, and maintenance
19	of a high-capacity transit system; and
20	WHEREAS, in August 2020, City Council approved Resolution No.
21	20200807-003 that expressed Council's intent to contract with the voters for a
22	citywide rapid transit system (to be known as "Project Connect") that will include
23	four MetroRapid lines to be constructed and operational within five years, and two
24	light rail lines to be constructed and operational within ten years; and

25	WHEREAS, in August 2020, City Council approved Resolution No.
26	20200812-011 that expressed Council's intent to contract with the voters for a
27	Mobility Bond election in November 2020, and directed the City Manager to
28	analyze existing capital project delivery systems and processes in order to
29	recommend potential changes and resource requirements to accelerate project
30	delivery and maximize the number of projects to be completed within six years;
31	and
32	WHEREAS, in October 2021, City Council approved Resolution No.
33	20211029-003 that directed the City Manager to review City Code, including, but
34	not limited to, the Land Development Code, Criteria Manuals, and permitting
35	procedures to identify impediments to the design, construction, implementation,
36	and operation of Project Connect, and to present any Code amendments necessary
37	to City Council no later than April 2022; and
38	WHEREAS, public mobility projects in the right-of-way offer tremendous
39	community benefits, including improved multimodal access and accessibility for
40	individuals with disabilities, enhanced life safety, greater and more equitable
41	economic opportunity, improved air quality, and strengthened climate resilience,
42	and, yet, often require waivers and variances from the Land Development Code;
43	and
44	WHEREAS, reducing ambiguity and conflicts in the Land Development
45	Code will reduce the number of waivers and variances that the Corridor Program
46	Office and the Project Connect Office must seek and will support the efficient
47	completion of these major mobility and transit projects in the timeframes that
48	Council committed to the voters; and

WHEREAS, the Corridor Program Office, the Project Connect Office, and the Watershed Protection Department, with assistance from other departments, have reviewed existing City Code and identified the following challenges to successful design and construction of mobility and transit projects and associated utility projects in the right-of-way:

- (a) The Land Development Code does not account for the unique nature of public mobility and associated utility projects in the right-of-way and the constraints of constructing long, linear projects;
- (b) The Land Development Code's definition of a site is challenging for mobility and associated utility projects in the right-of-way, as it was primarily intended for lot-by-lot private development on zoned property;
- (c) Compliance with impervious cover limits is challenging for transportation construction projects because the area within a transportation right-of-way is often constrained and the existing roadway footprint frequently exceeds current impervious cover limits;
- (d) Mobility and associated utility construction projects in the rightof-way have limited land area available to provide adequate space for water quality controls within existing rights-of-way, and need additional options to address water quality requirements and the need for pollutant removal from stormwater; and
- (e) A roadway project may consist of maintenance, such as curb and roadway repair, redevelopment, such as to address substandard streets or safety issues, or a combination of the two, while code is

75	written only for redevelopment and new development; NOW,
76	THEREFORE,
77	BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN:
78	Council initiates amendments to City Code Title 25 (Land Development
79	Code) to address the staff-identified challenges to successful design and
80	construction of public mobility and transit projects and associated utility projects in
81	the right-of-way, including, but not limited to, amendments to:
82	(1) Allow roadways sufficient maximum impervious cover limits for street
83	cross-sections;
84	(2) Clarify that roadway rights-of-way are unzoned;
85	(3) Provide a definition of a site area for projects in the right-of-way;
86	(4) Establish consistency in determining what construction in the right-of-
87	way is considered maintenance versus redevelopment, and clarify that
88	maintenance of existing roadways does not trigger water quality
89	requirements;
90	(5) Develop water quality calculations that are specific to projects in the
91	right-of-way, provide a credit for removal of existing impervious cover,
92	and allow payment-in-lieu of water quality treatment in all watershed
93	regulation areas except the Barton Springs Zone; and
94	(6) Clarify that railways should have the same applicable environmental
95	requirements as roadways.
96	The amendments shall be collaboratively developed by the relevant
97	departments and offices, heard by the appropriate Boards and Commissions, and
98	brought back to Council for consideration by May 19, 2022. To the extent any of