RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, in August 2016, City Council approved Resolution No.
20160818-074 that expressed Council's intent to contract with voters for a
Mobility Bond election in November 2016 and directed the City Manager to
analyze existing capital project delivery systems and processes in order to
recommend potential changes and resource requirements to complete the bond
program within eight years from initiation; and

1

WHEREAS, in March 2019, City Council approved Resolution No. 8 20190328-040 that affirmed the City of Austin's desire to construct as many miles 9 of progressive multi-modal mobility infrastructure as quickly as it can to facilitate 10 congestion relief, safety, and environmental stewardship, and encouraged the City 11 Manager to form a steering committee of representatives from relevant 12 departments to align resources, priorities, and policies that support an accelerated 13 timetable for planned bicycle, scooter, transit, sidewalks, and tier one urban trail 14 infrastructure projects; and 15

WHEREAS, in August 2019, City Council approved Resolution No.
20190808-081 that directed the City Manager to analyze and report on options for
the City to leverage resources to support the creation, operation, and maintenance
of a high-capacity transit system; and

WHEREAS, in August 2020, City Council approved Resolution No.
20200807-003 that expressed Council's intent to contract with the voters for a
citywide rapid transit system (to be known as "Project Connect") that will include
four MetroRapid lines to be constructed and operational within five years, and two
light rail lines to be constructed and operational within ten years; and

WHEREAS, in August 2020, City Council approved Resolution No.
20200812-011 that expressed Council's intent to contract with the voters for a
Mobility Bond election in November 2020, and directed the City Manager to
analyze existing capital project delivery systems and processes in order to
recommend potential changes and resource requirements to accelerate project
delivery and maximize the number of projects to be completed within six years;
and

WHEREAS, in October 2021, City Council approved Resolution No. 20211029-003 that directed the City Manager to review City Code, including, but not limited to, the Land Development Code, Criteria Manuals, and permitting procedures to identify impediments to the design, construction, implementation, and operation of Project Connect, and to present any Code amendments necessary to City Council no later than April 2022; and

WHEREAS, public mobility projects in the right-of-way offer tremendous
 community benefits, including improved multimodal access and accessibility for
 individuals with disabilities, enhanced life safety, greater and more equitable
 economic opportunity, improved air quality, and strengthened climate resilience,
 and, yet, often require waivers and variances from the Land Development Code;
 and

WHEREAS, reducing ambiguity and conflicts in the Land Development
Code will reduce the number of waivers and variances that the Corridor Program
Office and the Project Connect Office must seek and will support the efficient
completion of these major mobility and transit projects in the timeframes that
Council committed to the voters; and

WHEREAS, the Corridor Program Office, the Project Connect Office, and
the Watershed Protection Department, with assistance from other departments,
have reviewed existing City Code and identified the following challenges to
successful design and construction of mobility and transit projects and associated
utility projects in the right-of-way:

- (a) The Land Development Code does not account for the unique
 nature of public mobility and associated utility projects in the
 right-of-way and the constraints of constructing long, linear
 projects;
- (b) The Land Development Code's definition of a site is challenging
 for mobility and associated utility projects in the right-of-way, as it
 was primarily intended for lot-by-lot private development on
 zoned property;
- (c) Compliance with impervious cover limits is challenging for
 transportation construction projects because the area within a
 transportation right-of-way is often constrained and the existing
 roadway footprint frequently exceeds current impervious cover
 limits;
- (d) Mobility and associated utility construction projects in the rightof-way have limited land area available to provide adequate space
 for water quality controls within existing rights-of-way, and need
 additional options to address water quality requirements and the
 need for pollutant removal from stormwater; and
- (e) A roadway project may consist of maintenance, such as curb and
 roadway repair, redevelopment, such as to address substandard
 streets or safety issues, or a combination of the two, while code is

| | 75 | written only for redevelopment and new development; NOW, | |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 76 | 76 THEREFORE, | |
| | 77 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUST | | |
| | 78 | Council initiates amendments to City Code Title 25 (Land Development | |
| | Code) to address the staff-identified challenges to successful design and | | |
| | 80 construction of public mobility and transit projects and associated utility projects in | | |
| 81 the right-of-way, including, but not limited to, amendments to: | | | |
| 82 (1) Allow roadways sufficient maximum impervious cover limits for street | | | |
| | 83 cross-sections; | | |
| 84 (2) Clarify that zoning regulations (Chapter 25-2, Subchapter E) do not ap | | | |
| | 85 linear mobility and transit projects located in the right of way [roadway | | |
| | 86 | of-ways are unzoned]; | |
| | 87 | (3) Provide a definition of a site area for projects in the right-of-way; | |
| (4) Establish consistency in determining what construction in the rig | | (4) Establish consistency in determining what construction in the right-of- | |
| | 89 way is considered maintenance versus redevelopment, and clarify that | | |
| | 90 maintenance of existing roadways does not trigger water quality | | |
| | requirements; | | |
| 92 (5) | | (5) Develop water quality calculations that are specific to projects in the | |
| right-of-way, provide a credit for removal of existing impervious cove | | right-of-way, provide a credit for removal of existing impervious cover, | |
| and allow payment-in-lieu of water quality treatment in all watershed | | and allow payment-in-lieu of water quality treatment in all watershed | |
| | 95 | regulation areas except the Barton Springs Zone; and | |
| | 96 | (6) Clarify that railways should have the same applicable environmental | |
| | 97 | requirements as roadways. | |
| | 98 | The amendments shall be collaboratively developed by the relevant | |
| | 99 | departments and offices, heard by the appropriate Boards and Commissions, and | |
| | 100 | brought back to Council for consideration by May 19, 2022. To the extent any of Page 4 of 5 | |

| 101 | these challenges can be addressed administratively, such as via Criteria Manual | | |
|------------|---|--|-----|
| 102 | updates, the City Manager is | directed to do so and to report back to Counci | lby |
| 101 | May 19, 2022. | | |
| 102 | | | |
| 102 | | | |
| 103 | ADOPTED: | | |
| 104 | | Myrna Rios | |
| 105 106 | | City Clerk | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Page 5 of 5 | |