## ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE: C14-85-244, Part 7 (RCA \#3)

## DISTRICT: 7

ADDRESS: 10001 N. Capital of Texas Highway
OWNER/APPLICANT: AUSTIN H ARBORETUM PROPCO, LP, a Delaware Limited Partnership

AGENT: Smith Robertson, LLP (David Hartman)
ZONING: NBG-CMU-NP
AREA: 3.66 acres
REQUEST: The applicant is requesting an amendment of the restrictive covenant conditions for this property (please see Applicant's Request Letter - Exhibit C).

CASE MANAGER: Sherri Sirwaitis (512-974-3057, sherri.sirwaitis@austintexas.gov)
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends the proposed amendment of the public restrictive covenant.
PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION / RECOMMENDATION:
April 12, 2022
CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

## ISSUES: N/A

## DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

In this case, the applicant is requesting to amend a public restrictive covenant associated with zoning case C14-85-244 (Part 7) and executed on July 1, 1986 that prohibits new development on the Property from exceeding a maximum floor to area ratio (FAR) of 0.9:1, requires a public site plan review, requires that any development comply with the roadway map on page 40 of the Golden Triangle Area Study and states that at the time of subdivision approval the applicant will dedicate right-of-way to the City. Specifically, the applicant's request is to remove these conditions for this 3.66 acre property so that development on this site can comply with the site development standards for the Commercial Mixed Use (CMU) subdistrict in the North Burnet Gateway Regulating Plan (please see Applicant's Request Letter - Exhibit C).

The staff recommends the applicant's request to delete the conditions of this public restrictive covenant for this site as the applicant is currently proposing to redevelop this property in accordance with the site development regulations approved in the North Burnet/Gateway Regulating Plan that allow for a 2:1 FAR on the property. The tract of land under consideration is currently developed with a hotel/motel use. This property was rezoned from CS-NP to NBG-CMU-NP, North Burnet/Gateway-Commercial Mixed UseNeighborhood Plan Combining District, through case zoning C14-2008-0182 (North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Rezonings), in 2009.

The applicant agrees with the staff's recommendation.
EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

|  | ZONING |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | NBG-NP | Hotel (Hyatt House) |
| North | NBG-NP | Hotel (Extended Stay America), Hotel (Marriott <br> Townplace Suites) |
| South | NBG-NP | Multifamily (Arboretum at Stone Lake Apartments) |
| East | NBG-NP | Multifamily (Arboretum at Stone Lake Apartments), <br> MOPAC Expressway |
| West | NBG-NP | Multifamily (Stonelake at Arboretum) |

AREA STUDY: North Burnet/Gateway NP
WATERSHED: Shoal Creek Watershed
CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: N/A

TIA: Not Required

HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: N/A

## NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Austin Independent School District
Austin Lost and Found Pets
Austin Neighborhoods Council
Friends of Austin Neighborhoods
Homeless Neighborhood Association
Neighborhood Empowerment Foundation
North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Staff Liaison
SELTEXAS
Shoal Creek Conservancy
Sierra Club, Austin Regional Group
TNR BCP -Travis County Natural Resources

## AREA CASE HISTORIES:

| NUMBER | REQUEST | COMMISSION | CITY COUNCIL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-85-244, <br> Part 7(RCA \#2) <br> (10001 <br> Stonelake) | To amend a public restrictive covenant associated with zoning case C14-85-244 (Part 7) for this 2.0974 acre property that prohibits new development on the Property from exceeding a maximum floor to area ratio (FAR) of 0.9:1, requires a public site plan review, requires that any development comply with the roadway map on page 40 of the Golden Triangle Area Study and states that at the time of subdivision approval the applicant will dedicate ROW to the city. | 6/11/19: Motion to approve staff's recommendation for the restrictive covenant amendment by consent (11-0); C. Kenny-1 ${ }^{\text {st, }}$ A. Azhar- $2^{\text {nd }}$. | 6/20/19: Approved restrictive covenant amendment by consent (11-0); L. Pool-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$, N. Harper-Madison-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$. |


| C14-2008-0182 <br> (North Burnet <br> /Gateway NP <br> Rezoning: <br> Metric <br> Boulevard) | Add NP designation to existing zoning | 9/09/08: Approved rezoning of certain tracts to NBG-NP or NBG-H-NP, with conditions (8-0) | 10/16/08: Approved NBG-NP or NBG-H-NP (7-0); $1^{\text {st }}$ reading <br> 3/12/09: Approved NBG-NP or NBG-H-NP (6-0); $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C14-2007-0157 <br> (North Burnet <br> /Gateway <br> Neighborhood <br> Plan Rezoning) |  | 9/25/07: Approved staff rec. with amendments (8-0) | 10/18/07: Approved $1^{\text {st }}$ reading of Phase 1 of NP (6-0) 11/01/07: Approved Phase 1 of NP zonings (5-0); $2^{\text {nd }} / 3^{\text {rd }}$ readings |
| C14-2007-0141 <br> (Cresent <br> Stonelake: <br> 10011-100015 <br> Stonelake <br> Blvd) | CS to MF-4 | 10/23/07: Approved staff rec. of MF-4-CO by consent (9-0) | 11/13/07: Approved Ordinance No. 20071108-097 for MF-4CO (7-0); all 3 readings |
| C14-04-0146 <br> (The Shops at <br> Arbor Walk: <br> 10515 North <br> Морас <br> Expressway) | P to CH | 11/9/04: Approved staff's recommendation of CH zoning with conditions (9-0) | 12/2/04: Approved CH zoning (7-0); all 3 readings |

## RELATED CASES:

C14-85-224 (Previous Zoning Case)
C14-2008-0182 (North Burnet/Gateway Neighborhood Plan Rezonings)

## OTHER STAFF COMMENTS:

## Comprehensive Planning

The termination or amending of a Restrictive Covenant is not under the purview of the policies of the Imagine Austin Comprehensive Plan, and therefore an Imagine Austin compliance report has not been provided for this case.

## Environmental

Approved. No comments.

## Fire

No comments.

## Parks and Recreation

Parkland dedication will be required for any new residential units resulting from the release of this restrictive covenant. Parkland dedication and open space requirements can be found in the North Burnet Gateway Regulating Plan and City Code Title 25, Article 14. If the applicant wishes to discuss parkland dedication requirements in advance of site plan or subdivision applications, please contact this reviewer: thomas.rowlinson@austintexas.gov.

## Site Plan

This tract is already developed and the proposed restrictive covenant amendment occurs within the existing development.

Site plans will be required for any new development other than single-family, two-family or duplex residential.

The site is in the North Burnet/Gateway (NBG) district.
Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use. Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.

FYI: The applicant is responsible for requesting relocation and demolition permits once the site plan is approved. The City Historic Preservation Officer will review all proposed building demolitions and relocations prior to site plan approval. If a building meets city historic criteria, the Historic Landmark Commission may initiate a historic zoning case on the property.

FYI: This site is within the Wildland/Urban Interface and will need additional related review from the Austin Fire Dept. Please reference https://www.austintexas.gov/department/wildland-urban-interface-code for additional information or work directly with AFD review staff.

## Transportation

Austin Transportation Department does not object to this Restrictive Covenant Amendment.
Any future development will be reviewed with the site plan application and will be assessed for TIA or mitigation at that time.

Water Utility
Austin Water has no comments on RCA.

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO FOLLOW

A: Case Map
B. Aerial Map
C. Applicant's Request Letter
D. Proposed Redlined Restrictive Covenant
E. North Burnet Gateway Subdistrict Map
F. Regulations for the CMU District in the NBG Regulating Plan


## D/ subject tract

$\therefore$ Pending case
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## Restrictive Covenant Amendment

CASE\#: C14-85-244, Part 7

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.


Created: 2/16/2022
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## 10001 N. Capital of Texas Highway

ZONING CASE\#: C14-85-244.8(RCA)
LOCATION: 10001 North Capital of Texas Highway SUBJECT AREA: 3.664 Acres

GRID: J32
MANAGER: SHERRI SIRWAITIS

Ms. Rosie Truelove, Director<br>Housing and Planning Department<br>City of Austin<br>1000 E. $11^{\text {th }}$ Street<br>Austin, TX 78702

Re: Restrictive Covenant Amendment Application; 3.66 acres located at 10001 N . Capital of Texas Highway in Austin, Travis County, Texas (the "Property")

Dear Ms. Truelove:
This letter is submitted in connection with the Zoning Amendment application to amend the public Restrictive Covenant recorded as Volume 9839, Page 566 of the Real Property Records, Travis County, Texas (the "Covenant"). The Covenant was recorded on August 19, 1986 and affects more than 71 acres of land including the subject Property, and in part limits development to a maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.9:1.

The Property is approximately 3.66 acres at 10001 N. Capital of Texas Highway, located between SH 183 and N. Mopac Expressway in the North Burnet Gateway Planning Area and is zoned NBG-NP pursuant to the North Burnet Gateway Regulating Plan approved in 2009. We are proposing to amend the Covenant to release the Property from the Covenant so that the Property will no longer be subject to the Covenant and can be developed in adherence to the site development regulations in the North Burnet Gateway Regulating Plan. In 2019, City Council unanimously approved two similar amendments to the Covenant for two adjacent tracts at 10001 Stonelake Blvd., and 10010 N. Capital of Texas Highway, recorded copies of which are enclosed for convenience.

Please let me know if I can provide additional information in connection with this application.


David Hartman

## cc: Jerry Rusthoven

Sherri Sirwaitis

## DH/ema

# FIRST AMENDMENT TO RESTRICTIVE COVENANT 

FOR ZONING CASE; C14-85-244, PART 7 (RCA)
OWNER: $\quad$ Fredric Clarke Morse, III and Scott Morse individually and as trustee of the Scott Morse 2012 Family Trust

OWNER ADDRESS: $\quad 4726$ Twin Valley Drive, Austin, Texas 78731 and 2801 Bridle Path, Austin, Texas 78703

CITY: $\quad$ The City of Austin, a home-rule city, municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Texas, in Travis County, Texas.

CITY COUNCIL: The City Council of the City of Austin.
CONSIDERATION: Ten and No/ 100 Dollars ( $\$ 10,00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin to the Owner, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged.

WHEREAS, the Estate of Fred Morse, Deceased, and Estelle K. Morse, individually, entered into that certain Restrictive Covenant, dated as of July 1, 1986, and recorded in the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, on August 19, 1986, in Volume 9839, Page 566 (the "Restrictive Covenant"), as part of City of Austin Zoning Case No. C14-85-244 (Part 7); and

WHEREAS, the Restrictive Covenant encumbers real property, consisting of approximately 73.91 acres, more particularly described in the Restrictive Covenant; and

WHEREAS, the Restrictive Covenant provides that the covenant can be modified, amended, or terminated by joint action of both a majority of the members of the City Council and the Owner of the Property at the time of such modification, amendment or termination; and

WHEREAS, Frederic Clarke Morse, III, and Scott Morse individually and as trustee of the Scott Morse 2012 Family Trust, as current owners (the "Owner") of the portion of the Property, more particularly described as Lot 2, Block A, Morse Conmercial Subdivision, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Book 101, Page 54, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas (the "Released Property") on the date of this First Amendment to Restrictive Covenant ("Amendment"), desire to amend the Restrictive Covenant to release the Released Property; and

WHEREAS, the City Council agrees the Restrictive Covenant should be amended to release the Released Property.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and mutual promises, covenants, and agreement hereinafter set forth, the City and the Owner agree as follows;

1. The restrictive covenant is amended as of the date hereof to release the Released Property from the terms and provisions of the Restrictive Covenant.
2. Except as expressly provided for in this Amendment, each and every one of the terms, conditions, and provisions of the Restrictive Covenant, as set forth in the Restrictive Covenant, shall continue in full force and effect on and after the effective date of this Amendment.
3. The City Manager, or his designee, shall execute on behalf of the City, this Amendment as authorized by the City Council of the City of Austin. The Amendment shall be filed in the Official Public Records of Travis County, Texas.
EXECUTED this the $19^{\text {th }}$ day of Jane, 2019.
OWNER:

By:


By:


Scott Morse, Individually and as Trustee of the Scott Morse 2012 Family Trust


APPROVED AS TO FORM:


City of Austin

THE STATE OF TEXAS $\$$
county of Trayis \&

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the 19 day of Jul 2019, by Frederic Clarke Morse, III.


THE STATE OF TEXAS §
coUNTY OF TradNs §
This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the 19 day of Whe , 2019, by Scott Morse, individually and as trustee for the Scott Morse 2012 Family Trust:


Notary Public/State of Texas

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the 212 day of Fioe , 2019, by J. Rodney Gonzales, as Assistant City Manager of the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, on behalf of said muticipal corporation.


After Recording, Please Return to:
City of Austin
Department of Law
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767-1088
Attention: C. Curtis, Paralegal

FILED AND RECORDED official public records Dana RADennac Dana DeBeatuolr, Comnty Clerk Travis County, Texas
Fee: $\$ 38.75$ MEDINAE

# SECOND AMENDMENT TO RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR ZONING CASE: C14-85-244, PART 7 (RCA2) 

OWNER:
OWNER ADDRESS: 3407 Toro Canyon Road Austin, Texas 78746

CITY: The City of Austin, a home-rule city, municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Texas, in Travis County, Texas.

CITY COUNCIL: $\quad$ The City Council of the City of Austin.
CONSIDERATION: Ten and No/100 Dollars (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin to the Owner, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged.

WHEREAS, the Estate of Fred Morse, Deceased, and Estelle K. Morse, individually, entered into that certain Restrictive Covenant, dated as of July 1, 1986, and recorded in the Real Property Records of Travis County, Texas, on August 19, 1986, in Volume 9839, Page 572 (the "Restrictive Covenant"), as part of City of Austin Zoning Case No. C14-85-244 (Part 7); and

WHEREAS, the Restrictive Covenant encumbers real property, consisting of approximately 73.91 acres, more particularly described in the Restrictive Covenant; and

WHEREAS, the Restrictive Covenant provides that the covenant can be modified, amended, or terminated by joint action of both a majority of the members of the City Council and the Owner of the Property at the time of such modification, amendment or termination; and

WHEREAS, Lufthefe RE, LP, a Texas limited partnership (the "Owner") of the Property on the date of this Second Amendment to Restrictive Covenant ("Amendment"), desire to amend the Restrictive Covenant to release a portion of the Property more particularly described as Lot 1 , Block A, Morse Commercial Subdivision, a subdivision in Travis County, Texas, according to the map or plat of record in Book 101, Page 54, Plat Records of Travis County, Texas (the "Released Property"); and

WHEREAS, the City Council agrees the Restrictive Covenant should be amended to release the Released Property,

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and mutual promises, covenants, and agreement hereinafter set forth, the City and the Owner agree as follows:

1. The restrictive covenant is amended as of the date hercof to release the Released Property from the terms and provisions of the Restrictive Covenant.
2. Except as expressly provided for in this Amendment, each and every one of the terms, conditions, and provisions of the Restrictive Covenant, as set forth in the Restrictive Covenant, shall continue in full force and effect on and after the effective date of this Amendment.
3. The City Manager, or his designee, shall execute on behalf of the City, this Amendment as: authorized by the City Council of the City of Austin. The Amendment shall be filed in the Official Public Records of Travis County, Texas.

EXECUTED this the 25 day of November, 2019.
OWNER:
Lufthefe RE., LP, a Texas limited partnership
By: H and M Austin Management, Inc,, a Texas corporation, its general partner

By: $\quad$ "a gel $1 / \mathcal{L}$
Michael J. McGinnis, Director


APPROVED AS TO FORM:


Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin

THESTATE OFTEXAS \&
COUNTY OF Travis \&

This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the 25 day of Novem ber 2019, by Michael J. McGinnis, as Director of H and M Austin Management, Inc., a Texas corporation as general partner of Lufthefe RE, LP, a Texas limited partnership, on behalf of said partnership.


THE STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS 8
8
8
8


This instrument was acknowledged before me on this the $1^{T /}$ day of Decuntra, 2019, by J. Rodncy Gonzales, as Assistant City Manager of the City of Austin, a municipal corporation, on behalf of said municipal corporation.


After Recording, Please Return to:
City of Austin
Department of Law
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767-1088
Attention: C. Curtis, Paralegal


Zoning Case No. C 14-85-244 (Part 7)

## RESTRICTIVE COVENANT

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

Whaream the EsqATE OF FRED MORSE, DECEASED, and ESTELLE K. MORSE, Individuarls bare the owners of the following described property (Pyoperty) located in Travis County, Texas:

## TRACT 1

71.91 acter of aid lying within and being a part of the James Rospris S\&ryey fin Travis County, Texas and being the severill fradrsadf land in said Rogers Survey conveyed by CZR. fuckety and wife, Lottie Puckett, to Tully L. Witter arro wife, Ermine Witter, by warranty deed dated october 15, 1546 , of record in Vol. 816, Pages 571-573, of the frdyis County Deed Records, and more particularly deqcribedy by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron stake at the southwest corner of Tract No. 4 of 4 . 75 gres as described in the aforementioned deed;
THENCE N. 24 degrees $366^{\prime}$ E. 771.7 feet to an iron stake set by a leaning tive Oak tree for an ell corner of this tract;

THENCE N. 60 degrees 3 ( 120 . 120 feet to an iron stake set in the east hines of Charlie Hamilton's land for the most westerly southwest Corner of this tract;

THENCE along the east line of Charicie Hamilton's land N 33 degrees $14^{\prime}$ E. 963.07 feet to an iron stake set for the northwest corner of this tract;
THENCE S. 57 degrees $41^{\prime}$ E. $513-54 /$ feet to an iron stake and rock mound for a corner of this tiact

THENCE S. 26 degrees $31^{\prime}$ W. 220.0 Eeet toran iron stake by a dead Live Oak for a corner of thiss xract;

THENCE S. 13 degrees 17' ت. 62.89 feqt tó fin iron stake and a six inch elm for a corner of this tractor

THENCE S. 36 degrees $3^{\prime \prime}$ E. 131.95 feet tor an iron stake for a corner;

THENCE S. 27 degrees $10^{\prime}$ W. 203.62 feet tor arrop stake for a corner;

THENCE S. 58 degrees $21^{\prime}$ E. 2009.99 feet to an inop-pxpe found in a root of a 12 inch elm for a cornep of this tract;
THENCE S. 2 degrees $20^{\prime}$ W. 247.85 feet to an iccorr for a corner of this tract;


THENCE S. 25 degrees $34^{\prime}$ E. 356.29 feet to an iron stake Gy a 14 inch Live Oak;

THENCE S. 52 degrees 28' E. 552.18 feet to an iron stake at the end of a rock wall;

THENEE $S .28$ degrees 50' W. 543.40 feet to a cedar post in the middle of a rock wall for the southeast corner of this thact;
THENCE N. 5 degrees $13^{\prime}$ W. 2063.95 feet to an iron stabe An wif tence line;
THENCE $1 / 73$ degrees $50^{\circ}$ w. 489.54 feet to the place of bediming and beeing the same land conveyed by Tully Witter and wife, Ermine Witter, to E. H. Nelle and wife, Lula Belfe Nekte, by deed dated November 1, 1948, of recordin Nol 2046, Page 440, of the Travis County Deed Records:
as surveyed by 0 . $P$. Schoolfield, Registered Public Surveyor, (in/Jupe, $195 \%$

## TRACT 2

Two (2) acres 6 f fand rut of the James Rogers Survey No. 1y, in Travia gounty, Texas, being out of a tract of land conveyed fo Emmá E Elliott by Walter Williams by deed dated February 14, 1926, recorded in Vol. 317, Page 21, of the Thavis eounty Deed Records, and more particularly desciribef by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron gtake in the east line of U.S. Highway No. 183, same being the northwest corner of a 0.94 acre tract conveyed to 17. M. Robinson by deed recorded in Vol. 2017, Page f9, g\# the Travis County Deed Records;

THENCE S. 84 deg. $25^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the north line of said Robinson tract 193.38 feet to an inon stake;

THENCE N. 28 deg. $50^{\circ}$ E. 166.40 fget 40 a point;
THENCE N. 31 deg. $39^{\prime}$ E. 194. do.feet to an iron stake for the northeast corner of this tract,

THENCE N. 84 deg. $25^{\prime}$ W. 344.90 feek to an iron stake set in the east line of U.S. Highhay No 183 ;
THENCE $S .5$ deg. $35^{\prime} \%$ along the gaid, east bine of said U.S. Highway No. 183, 327.50 feet to then place of beginning.

WHEREAS, the City of Austin, Texas, aud the/ouners of the property have agreed that the Property should be impxessed with certain covenants and restrictions running yith the land, and desire to set forth such agreement in writing;
. NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of One ara wollars ( $\$ 1.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration paid by the City of Austin in hand to the Owners, the receipt and suffietency of which is acknowledged, the Owners do hereby impresf uport the Property shown on Exhibit A attached hereto and Ancorporated herein by reference, the following covenants and condifiens which shall be considered to be covenants running with the innd, and which shall be binding on the Owners, their heirs legel representatives, successors and assigns, as follows:



USe of the Property shall be limited to a maximum floor area ratio of 9 to 1.0 , as described in sections 3150 through 3192 of Chapter 13-2A of the Austin City Code of 1981, and shall comply with site development regulations applicable to $\operatorname{GR}^{41}$ Community Commercial uses, as described in section 2504 of Chapter 13-2A of the Austin City Code of 1981.

Any/delrelomment of the Property shall be subject to public site plan review.
3. Ā̄̄ development of the property shall comply with the staff proposed 4oadway map on page 40 of the Golden Triangle Area Stugy [conduced by oLDS] (as existing at the date of this covenapt and a amended at any time).
4. At any, tipre subdivision approval is sought for the property, Owner agreesto dedicate whatever right-of-way is needed by the City of Austin for MoPac Boulevard (Loop 1).


TRACT 2

1. Use of the Property ghall be limited to a maximum floor area ratio of $9-0,0 \%$ as described in sections 3150 through 3152 of Chapter $1-3-2 \pi$ of the Austin City Code of 1981, and shall comply 4 ith site qevelopment regulations applicable to "GR" Community Commertial uses, as described in section 2504 of Chapter 13-2 of fie Austín City code of 1981.
2. Any development of the property shall be subject to public site plan review.
3. Any development of the property shall comply with the staff proposed roadway map on page 40 of the Golden Triangle Area Study [conducted by OLDS (as existing at the date of this covenant and as amended at any tifne.

4. If any person or entity shallyiolate or attempt to violate the foregoing agreement and cofenat, it shall be lawful for the City of Austin, a municiplal corporation, its successors and assigns, to prosecute proceecing's at law or in equity, against such person or entity viglating or attempting to violate such agreement or coverrart to pkevent the person or entity from such actions, and to collect damages for such actions.
5. If any part of this agreement or fovenapt shall be declared invalid, by judgement, or court order, the same shall in no way affect any of the other provisions of this agreement, and such remaining portion of this agreement shall remain in full effect.
6. If at any time the City of Austin, its successems O assigns, fails to enforce this agreement, whether or got dany violations of it are known, such failure shalr yot, contitute a waiver or estoppel of the right to enforce
7. This agreement may be modified, amended, or ferminated only by joint action of̂ both (a) a majority of the mémbers of the City Council of the City of Austin, or such other goyerning body as may succeed the City Council of the City of Auptin,

and (b) by the owner (s) of the Property at the time of such

this the $\qquad$ day of

 , 1986.
estate of fred morse
BY FRED C. MORSE, JR. independent executor of the estate of fred morse, deceased


THE STATE OF TEXAS county of travis

BEFORE ME, the undergigyod authority, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, on this day personally appeared FRED $c$. MORSE, JR., independent EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF ERED MORSE, DECEASED, known to me to bo the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purpose and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated

GDEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAB of office, this the 3othday of GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SE

NOTARY SEAL
 Type or Print Name of Notary Public My Commission, Exits: $12 / 17 / 89$


THE STATE OE TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

for said county and state on this day personally K. MORSE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS INDEPENDENT EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OR FAED MORSE, DECEASED, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that les eqetrited the same for the purpose and consideration therein expressed and in the capacity therein stated.

GIVEN UMDERMX HAND AND SEAL OF OREICE, this the 1 day of Jul e 1986.



My Commission Expires: $\qquad$

PAMELA L SIEVES
Notify fut der. State of feds My Commersian Express 1.789



Figure B-12 : North Burnet / Gateway (NBG) Zoning District Subdistrict Map

## EXHIBIT E
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NBG Subdistricts
Transit - Oriented Development (TOD) TOD - Gateway Zone
Commercial Mixed - Use (CMU) I//CMU - Gateway Zone $\square$ Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU)

Neighborhood Residential (NR)
Warehouse Mixed Use (WMU)
1//, Commercial Industrial (CI)

- Active Edges
-     - NBG Planning Area Boundary
$\square$ Parcel Boundary
= Railroads

| LOT SIZE |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimum Lot Size | 2,500 SF |
| Minimum Lot Width | 20 Feet |

## MINIMUM SETBACKS

## Front Yard and Street Side Yard*:

No ground-level front yard or street side yard setbacks are required. Instead, development must meet the building placement standards in Section 4.3.

## Front and Street Side Upper-Story

 Building Facade Stepbacks:The building facade at the 6th story and above must be stepped back 30 feet from the ground-level building facade line.

## Interior Side Yard: <br> 0 Feet <br> Rear Yard: <br> 0 Feet

* If the street right-of-way is less than 60 feet in width, the minimum front yard setback for buildings three or more stories in height shall be 30 feet from the center line of the street to ensure fire access.


## MAXIMUM IMPERVIOUS COVER

If located in an urban watershed (Shoal or Little Walnut Creek) :

Established on Figure 4-6 (Based on the maximum impervious cover allowed by the property's zoning prior to adoption of the this Document.)

## If located in a suburban

 watershed (Walnut Creek) ${ }^{*}$ : 80\%* This requirement supersedes impervious cover requirements of Section 25-8-394(C) of the LDC.


## PLATTING REQUIREMENTS

If located in the CMU Gateway Zone:
Section 24-4-171(Access to Lots) of the City Code is modified to permit a lot to abut a dedicated public street or a Major Internal Drive.

| FLOOR TO AREA RATIO |
| :--- |
| Maximum Floor-to-Area Ratio (FAR) <br> by Right: |
| Established on Figure 4-2 <br> maximum FAR allowed by the prop- <br> ertys zoning prior to adoption of this <br> Document) |
| Maximum Floor-to-Area Ratio <br> (FAR) with Development Bonus: <br> CMU Zone <br> 3:1 |
| CMU Gateway Zone $\quad 8: 1$ |
| This FAR may be granted in exchange <br> for the provision of public benefits. The <br> development bonus criteria and stan- <br> dards are detailed in Article 6. |



Typical examples of buildings in the Commercial Mixed Use Subdistrict.

## BUILDING HEIGHT

Minimum Building Height:
Not applicable

## Maximum Building Height by Right:

Established on Figure 4-4 (Based on the maximum height allowed by the property's zoning prior to adoption of this Document.)

Maximum Building Height with Development Bonus*:
CMU Zone
180 Feet
CMU Gateway Zone 308 Feet
This building height may be granted in exchange for the provision of public benefits. The development bonus criteria and standards are detailed in Article 6.
*Exception: If adjacent to or across the street from NR Subdistrict the maximum height is 120 feet.


