

# **ITEM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION AGENDA**

COMMISSION MEETING DATE:	August 3, 2022
NAME & NUMBER OF PROJECT:	5709 Sam Houston Circle Boat Dock SP-2021-0300D
NAME OF APPLICANT OR ORGANIZATION:	Holly Gunn
LOCATION:	5709 Sam Houston Circle Austin, TX 78731
COUNCIL DISTRICT:	District # 10
ENVIRONMENTAL Review staff:	Eric Brown, Senior Environmental Scientist Watershed Protection Department, Eric.Brown@austintexas.gov
WATERSHED:	Lake Austin and Bull Creek Watersheds, Water Supply Suburban, Drinking Water Protection Zone
<b>REQUEST:</b>	Variance request is as follows: Request to vary from LDC 25-8-281(C)(2)(b) to allow the construction within 150-foot of a rimrock and seep Critical Environmental Feature (CEF).
STAFF Recommendation:	Staff recommends this variance with conditions, having determined the findings of fact to have been met.
STAFF CONDITION:	All construction to occur via barge. Remove existing boat dock as specified on plans; restore disturbed areas per City Standard Specification 609S; provide wetland mitigation plantings specified on plans.

# **Staff Findings of Fact**



# Watershed Protection Department Staff Recommendations Concerning Required Findings

Project Name & Case Number:	5709 Sam Houston Circle Boat Dock - SP-2021-0300D
Ordinance Standard:	Watershed Protection Ordinance
Variance Request:	LDC 25-8-281(C)(2)(b) - To allow construction within 150 feet of a Rimrock and Seep Critical Environmental Features (CEF).

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

- A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the CityCode:
  - 1. The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege available to owners of similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development subject to similar code requirements.

Yes. A variance from 25-8-281(C)(2)(b) allowing for construction of a boat dock, shoreline access, and stabilization, has been granted for similarly situated properties with approximately contemporaneous development subject to similar code.

- 2. The variance:
  - a) Is not necessitated by the scale, layout, construction method, or other design decision made by the applicant, unless the design decision provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

Yes. An existing noncompliant boat dock is proposed to be brought into compliance. No disturbance of the rimrock or seep CEF is proposed, all proposed construction activities are to occur downgradient of the rimrock/seep CEFs, and construction is to occur from the lakeside by barge. The proposed construction to be performed from a barge provides greater overall environmental protection. Additionally, the applicant is providing wetland plantings along the shoreline that will reduce shoreline erosion and sediment-laden surface runoff from discharging into the lake. b) Is the minimum deviation from the code requirement necessary to allow a reasonable use of the property;

> Yes. The variance is the minimum deviation from the code requirement to allow for a reasonable use of the property. The code requires a 150-foot critical environmental feature buffer. This buffer is not being reduced. The scope of the variance is limited to allowing construction activities to occur within a critical environmental feature buffer only for the proposed boat dock replacement.

Does not create a significant probability of harmful c) environmental consequences.

> Yes. The variance does not create significant harmful environmental consequences. The construction of the boat dock from barge will not disturb the rimrock or seep critical environmental feature. The applicant is providing wetland plantings along the shoreline that will reduce shoreline erosion and sediment-laden surface runoff from discharging into the lake.

Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least 3. equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.

> Yes, the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance. The construction activities will not disturb the rimrock or seep critical environmental features. The proposed wetland planting along the shoreline will reduce soil erosion along the shoreline and provide filtration of sediment-laden runoff from upgradient areas, thereby providing water quality that is at least equal to or greater than the water quality achievable without the variance.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends the variance as the Findings of Fact have been met, with the staff recommended condition that all construction be completed by barge.

Hydrogeologic Reviewer (WPD)

Date: 07-01-2020

Eric Brown

Date: 07/22/2022

Deputy Environmental Officer Liz Johnston -

# **Applicant Form and Findings of Fact**

# **ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION VARIANCE APPLICATION FORM**

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION Applicant Contact Information

Name of Applicant	Stephen Hawkins	
Street Address	6504 Betty Cook Drive	
City State ZIP Code	Austin, TX 78723	
Work Phone	512-750-1402	
E-Mail Address	stephen.hawkins@aquapermits.com	
Variance Case Information		
Case Name	5709 Sam Houston Circle Boat Dock	
Case Number	SP-2021-0300D	
Address or Location	5709 Sam Houston Circle, Austin TX 78731	
Environmental Reviewer Name	Eric Brown	
Environmental Resource Management Reviewer Name		
Applicable Ordinance	Code 25-8-281 Critical Environmental Features	
Watershed Name	Bull Creek	
Watershed Classification	Urban       Suburban       Water Supply Suburban         Water Supply Rural       Barton Springs Zone	

City of Austin | Environmental Commission Variance Application Guide

1

Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone	<ul> <li>□ Barton Springs Segment</li> <li>□ Northern Edwards Segment</li> <li>☑ Not in Edwards Aquifer Zones</li> </ul>
Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone	□ Yes I No
Distance to Nearest Classified Waterway	
Water and Waste Water service to be provided by	Austin Water
Request	The variance request is as follows (Cite code references: LDC 25-8-41(A)

Impervious cover	Existing	Prop	osed
square footage:	<u>3301 sq.</u> ft.	33(	01 sq. ft.
acreage:	.24 acres	24	acres
percentage:	31.6%	31.	6%
Provide general description of the property (slope range, elevation range, summary of vegetation / trees, summary of the geology CWOZ	Site elevation decreases from north to sout mean sea level to approximately 494 ft. abo site topography. Boat dock sits adjacent to wetland fringe was composed of Bald Cypr Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis), Possum (Boehmeria cylindrica). The riparian fores (Ulmus crassifolia), Laurel Cherry (Prunus (Sophora secundiflora) and prior listed we	h from approximately 582 ft. above ove mean sea level. Site drainage follow identified CEF wetland fringe. The ress (Taxodium distichum), American haw (Ilex decidua) and False Nettle t area canopy contained Cedar Elm s caroliniana), Mountain Laurel tland trees.	
WQTZ, CEFs, floodplain, heritage trees, any other notable or outstanding characteristics of the property)			

2

3

Clearly indicate in what way the proposed project does not comply with current Code (include maps and exhibits)	This project proposes construction within the standard buffer for the canyon rimrock and is located within 500 feet of Lake Austin, so it will require a formal variance. Finding of facts for Land Use Commission variance to allow construction within a CEF buffer for a boat dock, shoreline access and shoreline modification must be submitted. The findings of facts are listed in LDC 25-8-41(A). A formal Land Use Commission variance fee will be paid before this comment will be cleared.
---	--

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

As required in LDC Section 25-8-41, in order to grant a variance the Land Use Commission must make the following findings of fact:

Include an explanation with each applicable finding of fact.

Project: 5709 Sam Houston Boat Dock

Ordinance: Code 25-8-281 Critical Environmental Features

- A. Land Use Commission variance determinations from Chapter 25-8-41 of the City Code:
  - The requirement will deprive the applicant of a privilege available to owners of similarly situated property with approximately contemporaneous development subject to similar code requirements.
     Yes. Similarly situated properties, with a rimrock CEF buffer that extends to the shoreline frontage along Lake Austin, frequently contain boat docks and shoreline access. The adjacent properties have boat docks and shoreline access.
  - 2. The variance:
    - a) Is not necessitated by the scale, layout, construction method, or other design decision made by the applicant, unless the design decision provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance;

Yes. All areas of demolition and construction within the buffer will be revegetated according to City specifications. The design of this project results in a plan that provides greater overall environmental protection than is achievable without the variance.

- b) Is the minimum deviation from the code requirement necessary to allow a reasonable use of the property;
   Yes. This buffer is not being reduced. The scope of the variance is limited to allowing construction activities to occur within a critical environmental feature buffer for only a boat dock and a pathway for shoreline
- Does not create a significant probability of harmful environmental consequences;
   Yes. The variance does not create significant harmful environmental consequences.

- Development with the variance will result in water quality that is at least equal to the water quality achievable without the variance.
   Yes. The construction activities will minimize disturbance to terrestrial vegetation, and all disturbed areas will be revegetated according to City specifications.
- B. Additional Land Use Commission variance determinations for a requirement of Section 25-8-422 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Section 25-8-452 (Water Quality Transition Zone), Article 7, Division 1 (Critical Water Quality Zone Restrictions), or Section 25-8-368 (Restrictions on Development Impacting Lake Austin, Lady Bird Lake, and Lake Walter E. Long):
  - 1. The criteria for granting a variance in Subsection (A) are met;

Yes / No N/A

- 2. The requirement for which a variance is requested prevents a reasonable, economic use of the entire property; Yes / No N/A
- The variance is the minimum deviation from the code requirement necessary to allow a reasonable, economic use of the entire property.

Yes / No N/A

\*\*Variance approval requires all above affirmative findings.

### А

# **Exhibits for Commission Variance**

- Aerial photos of the site
- Site photos
- Aerial photos of the vicinity
- Context Map—A map illustrating the subject property in relation to developments in the vicinity to include nearby major streets and waterways
- Topographic Map A topographic map is recommended if a significant grade change on the subject site exists or if there is a significant difference in grade in relation to adjacent properties.
- For cut/fill variances, a plan sheet showing areas and depth of cut/fill with topographic elevations.
- Site plan showing existing conditions if development exists currently on the property
- Proposed Site Plan- full size electronic or at least legible 11x17 showing proposed development, include tree survey if required as part of site or subdivision plan
- Environmental Map A map that shows pertinent features including Floodplain, CWQZ,
   WQTZ, CEFs, Setbacks, Recharge Zone, etc.
- An Environmental Resource Inventory pursuant to ECM 1.3.0 (if required by 25-8-121)
- Applicant's variance request letter

# **Applicant Exhibits**



July 21, 2021

# City of Austin Environmental Resource Inventory (ERI)

Site Address: 5709 Sam Houston Circle Austin, Texas 78731

Prepared for: Aqua Permits LLC 6504 Betty Cook Drive Austin, Texas 78723

Prepared by: Skylar Netherland, PWS Flameleaf LLC 2301 West Anderson Lane #136 Austin, Texas 78757

Project #1014



List of Figures & Attachments for the Environmental Resource Inventory Form

- Figure 1: Site Map
- Figure 2: Historical Aerial
- Figure 3: Site Map with Geologic Features & 2-ft. Contours
- Figure 4: Critical Environmental Features & Well Locations Map
- Figure 5: Site Soils Map
- Figure 6: Fully Developed Floodplain Map
- Figure 7: Waterway Setbacks Map

Attachment I: Site Photos

Attachment II: Site Plan

Attachment II: Proposed Mitigation Plan

Case No.:	
(City use only)	i

# Environmental Resource Inventory

For the City of Austin

Related to LDC 25-8-121, City Code 30-5-121, ECM 1.3.0 & 1.10.0

The ERI is required for projects that meet one or more of the criteria listed in LDC 25-8-121(A), City Code 30-5-121(A).

- SITE/PROJECT NAME: <sup>5709 Sam Houston Circle</sup>
   COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT PROPERTY ID (#'s): <sup>134322 (134337)</sup>
   ADDRESS/LOCATION OF PROJECT: <sup>5709 Sam Houston Circle, Austin, TX 78731</sup>
   WATERSHED: <sup>Bull Creek</sup>
- 5. THIS SITE IS WITHIN THE (Check all that apply)

Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone* (See note below)	⊡No
Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone*	⊡No
Edwards Aquifer 1500 ft Verification Zone* DYES	⊡No
Barton Spring Zone*	⊡No
*(as defined by the City of Austin – LDC 25-8-2 or City Code 30-5-2)	

Note: If the property is over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone, the Hydrogeologic Report and karst surveys must be completed and signed by a Professional Geoscientist Licensed in the State of Texas.

- 6. DOES THIS PROJECT PROPOSE FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATION?......□YES\*\* ☑NO If yes, then check all that apply:
  - (1) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary to protect the public health and safety;
  - □ (2) The floodplain modifications proposed would provide a significant, demonstrable environmental benefit, as determined by a **functional assessment** of floodplain health as prescribed by the Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM), or
  - (3) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary for development allowed in the critical water **quality zone under LDC 25-8-261 or 25-8-262**, City Code 30-5-261 or 30-5-262.
  - (4) The floodplain modifications proposed are outside of the Critical Water Quality Zone in an area determined to be in poor or fair condition by a **functional assessment** of floodplain health.

\*\* If yes, then a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM 1.7 and Appendix X for forms and guidance) unless conditions 1 or 3 above apply.

\*\*\*If yes, then riparian restoration is required by LDC 25-8-261(E) or City Code 30-5-261(E) and a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM1.5 and Appendix X for forms and guidance).

8. There is a total of <sup>3</sup>/<sub>(#'s)</sub> Critical Environmental Feature(s)(CEFs) on or within150 feet of the project site. If CEF(s) are present, attach a detailed **DESCRIPTION** of the CEF(s), color **PHOTOGRAPHS**, the **CEF WORKSHEET** and provide **DESCRIPTIONS** of the proposed CEF buffer(s) and/or wetland mitigation. Provide the number of each type of CEFs on or within 150 feet of the site (*Please provide the number of CEFs*):



Note: Standard buffers for CEFs are 150 feet, with a maximum of 300 feet for point recharge features. Except for wetlands, if the standard buffer is <u>not provided</u>, you must provide a written request for an administrative variance from LDC 25-8-281(C)(1) and provide written findings of fact to support your request. <u>Request forms for administrative variances from requirements stated in LDC 25-8-281 are available from Watershed Protection Department.</u>

9. The following site maps are attached at the end of this report (Check all that apply and provide):

All ERI reports must include:

- ☑ Site Specific Geologic Map with 2-ft Topography
- ☑ Historic Aerial Photo of the Site
- ☑ Site Soil Map
- ☑ Critical Environmental Features and Well Location Map on current Aerial Photo with 2-ft Topography

Only if present on site (Maps can be combined):

- □ Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone with the 1500-ft Verification Zone (Only if site is over or within 1500 feet the recharge zone)
- **Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone**
- □ Water Quality Transition Zone (WQTZ)
- ☑ Critical Water Quality Zone (CWQZ)
- ☑ City of Austin Fully Developed Floodplains for all water courses with up to 64-acres of drainage
- 10. **HYDROGEOLOGIC REPORT** Provide a description of site soils, topography, and site specific geology below (*Attach additional sheets if needed*):

**Surface Soils** on the project site is summarized in the table below and uses the SCS Hydrologic Soil Groups\*. If there is more than one soil unit on the project site, show each soil unit on the site soils map.

Soil Series Unit Names, Infiltration Characteristics & Thickness		
Soil Series Unit Name & Subgroup**	Group*	Thickness (feet)
BID- Bracket Rock outcrop con	D	0.83-1.66
Urban land and Brackett soils,	D	0.83-1.66

### \*Soil Hydrologic Groups Definitions *(Abbreviated)*

- A. Soils having a <u>high infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- B. Soils having a <u>moderate</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- C. Soils having a <u>slow infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- D. Soils having a <u>very slow</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.

\*\*Subgroup Classification – See <u>Classification of Soil Series</u> Table in County Soil Survey.

# Description of Site Topography and Drainage (Attach additional sheets if needed):

Site elevation decreases from north to south from approximately 582 ft. above mean sea level to approximately 494 ft. above mean sea level. Site drainage follow site topography.

# List surface geologic units below:

Geologic Units Exposed at Surface		
Group	Formation	Member
Trinity	Upper Glen Rose Limestone	

### Brief description of site geology (Attach additional sheets if needed):

Upper Glen Rose Formation (Kgr)

Limestone, dolomite, and marl subdivided in alternating resistant and recessive beds forming stairstep topography; limestone, aphanitic to fine-grained, hard to soft and marly, light gray to yellowish-gray; dolomite fine-grained, porous, yellowish-brown; marine mega-fossils include molluscan steinkerns, rudistids, oysters, and echinoids; upper part relatively thinner bedded, more dolomitic and less fossiliferous than lower part, thickness about 220 feet.

Source:

https://txpub.usgs.gov/txgeology/

**Wells** – Identify all recorded and unrecorded wells on site (test holes, monitoring, water, oil, unplugged, capped and/or abandoned wells, etc.):

There are  $\frac{0}{0}$  (#) wells present on the project site and the locations are shown and labeled  $\frac{0}{0}$  (#'s)The wells are not in use and have been properly abandoned.  $\frac{0}{0}$  (#'s)The wells are not in use and will be properly abandoned.  $\frac{0}{0}$  (#'s)The wells are in use and comply with 16 TAC Chapter 76. There are  $\frac{0}{0}$  (#'s) wells that are off-site and within 150 feet of this site.

# 11. **THE VEGETATION REPORT** – Provide the information requested below:

# Brief description of site plant communities (Attach additional sheets if needed):

The site vegetation consisted of a wetland fringe along Lake Austin and a healthy riparian forest. The wetland fringe was composed of Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum), American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis), Possumhaw (Ilex decidua) and False Nettle (Boehmeria cylindrica). The riparian forest area canopy contained Cedar Elm (Ulmus crassifolia), Laurel Cherry (Prunus caroliniana), Mountain Laurel (Sophora secundiflora) and prior listed wetland trees. Understory vegetation observed included Cat Briar (Smilax bona-nox), Virginia Creeper (Parthenocissus quinquefolia), Poison Ivy (Toxicodendron radicans), Hackberry (Celtis laevigata), Mexican Buckeye (Ungnadia speciosa), Anancua tree (Ehretia anacua), Carolina Snailseed (Cocculus carolinus) and Turk's Cap (Malvaviscus arboreus).

Woodland species		
Common Name	Scientific Name	
American Sycamore	Platanus occidentalis	
Cedar Elm	Ulmus crassifolia	
Laurel Cherry	Prunus caroliniana	
Mountain Laurel	Sophora secundiflora	

Grassland/prairie/savanna species		
Common Name	Scientific Name	

Hydrophytic plant species					
Common Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status			
Bald Cypress	Taxoium distichum	Obl			
American Sycamore	Platanus occidentalis	Fac			
False Nettle	Boehmeria cylindrica	FacW			
Posumhaw	llex decidua	Fac			

A tree survey of all trees with a diameter of at least eight inches measured four and onehalf feet above natural grade level has been completed on the site.

■YES □ NO (Check one).

### 12. **WASTEWATER REPORT –** Provide the information requested below.

Wastewater for the site will be treated by (Check of that Apply):

- $\Box$  On-site system(s)
- abla City of Austin Centralized sewage collection system
- Other Centralized collection system

Note: All sites that receive water or wastewater service from the Austin Water Utility must comply with City Code Chapter 15-12 and wells must be registered with the City of Austin

The site sewage collection system is designed and will be constructed to in accordance to all State, County and City standard specifications.

YES 
NO (Check one).

Calculations of the size of the drainfield or wastewater irrigation area(s) are attached at the end of this report or shown on the site plan.  $\Box$ YES  $\Box$  NO  $\blacksquare$  Not Applicable *(Check one).* 

Wastewater lines are proposed within the Critical Water Quality Zone?

Is the project site is over the Edwards Aquifer?

If yes, then describe the wastewater disposal systems proposed for the site, its treatment level and effects on receiving watercourses or the Edwards Aquifer.

13. One (1) hard copy and one (1) electronic copy of the completed assessment have been provided.

Date(s) ERI Field Assessment was performed: July 20, 2021

Date(s)

My signature certifies that to the best of my knowledge, the responses on this form accurately reflect all information requested.

Skylar Netherland

Print Name

Signature

Flameleaf Environmental

Name of Company

(512) 757-6970

Telephone

skylar@flameleafenvironmental.com

Email Address

July 21, 2021

Date

For project sites within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, my signature and seal also certifies that I am a licensed Professional Geoscientist in the State of Texas as defined by ECM



# City of Austin Environmental Resource Inventory - Critical Environmental Feature Worksheet

1	Project Name:	5709 Sam Houston Circle
2	Project Address:	5709 Sam Houston Circle
3	Site Visit Date:	July 20, 2021
4	Environmental Resource Inventory Date:	July 20, 2021

5	Primary Contact Name:	Skylar Netherland
6	Phone Number:	(512) 757-6970
7	Prepared By:	Skylar Netherland
8	Email Address:	skylar@flameleafenvironmental.com
8	Email Address:	skylar@flameleafenvironmental.com

9	FEATURE TYPE         FEATURE ID         FEATURE LONGITUDE           9         {Wetland,Rimrock, Bluffs,Recharge         FEATURE ID         (WGS 1984 in Meters)		DE rs)	FEATURE LATITUDE (WGS 1984 in Meters)		WETLAND DIMENSIONS (ft)		RIMROCK/BLUFF DIMENSIONS (ft)		RECHARGE FEATURE DIMENSIONS				Springs Est. Discharge	
	Feature,Spring}	(eg 3-1)	coordinate	notation	coordinate	notation	Х	Y	Length	Avg Height	Х	Y	Z	Trend	cfs
	Wetland Fringe	W-1	-97.79000457		30.35249257		340	10							
	Canyon Rimrock	R-1	-97.79025196		30.3526508				300	20					
	Seep	S-1	-97.79044135		30.3525796										



Please state the method of coordinate data collection and the approximate precision and accuracy of the points and the unit of measurement.

Method		Accuracy	
GPS	$\checkmark$	sub-meter	$\checkmark$
Surveyed		meter	
Other		>1 meter	
	Profession	al Geologists a	pply seal below

Geode GNS2 GPS receiver used for data collection



# Legend PropertyBoundary

Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery City of Austin Lot Lines

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS Figure 1: **Site Map** 5709 Sam Houston Circle Austin, Texas 78737



Legend Property Boundary Historical Aerial 2003 Background Resources: Google Earth Historical Imagery 2003 City of Austin Lot Lines

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS

# Figure 2: Historical Aerial 2003



- Property Boundary
- Elevation Contours 2017

# Geologic Unit

Kgr- Upper Glen Rose Limestone Qlcr- Fluviatile terrace deposits Wa- water

• Well Locations

Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery Geologic Database of Texas City of Austin Contour Lines

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS

Project #1014

# Figure 3: Geologic Map w/ Water Well Locations



- PropertyBoundary
  CityofAustinWetland
  Canyon Rimrock
  CanyonRimrock\_150Buffer
  CityofAustinWetland\_150Buffer
  SeepLocation
- SeepLocation\_150Buffer

Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery City of Austin Contour Lines

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS

Project #1014

Figure 4: Critical Environmental Features Map





PropertyBoundary

# Soil Series

BID- Brackett-Rock outcrop complex, 1-12% slopes UuE- Urban land & Brackett soils, 1-12% slopes

# Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery

City of Austin Contour Lines

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS

Project #1014

Figure 5: Soils Map 5709 Sam Houston Circle Austin, Texas 78737



PropertyBoundary

Flood Zone

City of Austin Fully Developed 100-Year Floodplain City of Austin Fully Developed 25-Year Floodplain Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery City of Austin Floodplain

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS Figure 6: Fully Developed Floodplain Map



PropertyBoundary
Critical Water Quality Zone
CWQZ

Background Resources: ESRI Aerial Imagery City of Austin Waterway Setbacks

Map Creator & Surveyor: Skylar Netherland, PWS

Project #1014

# Figure 7: Waterway Setback Map 5709 Sam Houston Circle



# **ATTACHEMENT I :**

# **SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**



# Photograph 1

This photograph documents the canyon rimrock feature observed along Lake Austin near the site. This feature was 20-30 feet in height for most of it's extent with a decrease in height near the eastern end. Near the location of this photograph Flameleaf observed a seep feature along the canyon rimrock face. The seep was noted to extent across approximately 20 feet of the canyon rimrock face. Maidenhair fern (*Adiantum capillus-veneris*) was observed to be growing from the rock face in the vicinity of the seep.





# Photograph 2

This photograph documents wetland fringe near the residential dock for the site. Wetland species present in this photograph include Bald Cypress (*Tazxodium distichum*) and American Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*).





### Photograph 3

This photograph documents the view of the wetland fringe from the other side of the residential boat dock. Both Bald Cypress (*Taxodium distichum*) and American Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*) are present in this photograph as well.





# Photograph 4

This photograph documents the view from the top of the canyon rimrock feature toward the residential boat dock.







# **ATTACHMENT III:**

# **Proposed Mitigation Plan**

Flameleaf environmental proposes that should any City of Austin wetland feature be disturbed that it be replaced on a 1:1 replacement basis. Should disturbance of the wetland feature occur Flameleaf recommend replacing the disturbed vegetation with similar vegetation to the existing vegetation. Recommended vegetation for this site includes Bald Cypress (*Taxodium distichum*), Possumhaw (*Ilex decidua*), False Nettle (*Boehmeria cylindrica*), Buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*) and Northern Spicebush (*Lindera benzoin*).

. 7 Travis	TAX CERTIFICATE NO : Bruce Elfant County Tax Assessor-Collector P.O. Box 1748	2287192 34 of 48
	Austin, Texas 78767 (512) 854-9473	
ACCOUNT NUMBER: 01-3711-0103	3 - 0 0 0 0	
PROPERTY OWNER:	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	N :
GUNN HOLLY C & HAMID TAHA 5709 SAM HOUSTON CIR AUSTIN, TX 78731-3336 USA	LOT 5 BLK B COURTYAR	D PHS 1 THE
ACRI	ES .2371 MIN% .00000000	0000 TYPE
SITUS INFORMATION: 5709 This is to certify that after following taxes, delinquent described property of the for	SAM HOUSTON CIR AUSTIN er a careful check of tax records of t taxes, penalties and interests are due ollowing tax unit(s):	his office, the e on the
YEAR ENTITY 2020 AUSTIN ISD CITY OF AUSTIN (TRAV) TRAVIS COUNTY TRAVIS CENTRAL HEALTH ACC (TRAVIS)		TOTAL *ALL PAID* *ALL PAID* *ALL PAID* *ALL PAID* *ALL PAID*
TOTAL SEQUENCE 0		*ALL PAID*
	TOTAL TAX: UNPAID FEES: INTEREST ON FEES: COMMISSION: TOTAL DUE ==>	*ALL PAID* * NONE * * NONE * * NONE * *ALL PAID*
TAXES PAID FOR YEAR 2020	\$14,812.37	

ALL TAXES PAID IN FULL PRIOR TO AND INCLUDING THE YEAR 2020 EXCEPT FOR UNPAID YEARS LISTED ABOVE. The above described property may be subject to special valuation based on its use, and additional rollback taxes may become due. (Section 23.55, State Property Tax Code). Pursuant to Section 31.08 of the State Property Tax Code, there is a fee of \$10.00 for all Tax Certificates.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THIS DATE OF 07/20/2021

Fee Paid: \$10.00

Bruce Elfant Tax Assessor-Collector

MONTESS printed on 07/20/2021 @ 09:17:20:09

Page# 1

Date: June 8, 2021

City of Austin

**Development Services Department** 

PO BOX 1088

Austin, TX 78716

To Whom It May Concern:

I, GUNN HOLLY C & HAMID TAHA, own the property at 5709 Sam Houston Circle Austin, Texas 78731. I wish to develop a new Boat Dock on the lake front shorelines. Stephen Hawkins of Aqua Permits LLC is my authorized agent for the City of Austin applications needed for the subject properly. Please contact me if you have any questions.

**GUNN HOLLY C & HAMID TAHA** 

SignatureHere



and Bride and a grant of the

-



TRAVIS COUNTY PLAT VOLUME 80 PAGE 96 38 of 48 THE COURTYARD PHASE - 6 STATE OF TEXAS: COUNTY OF THAVIS: ENDW ALL MEN FY THESE PRESENTS: IN WITHESS WHEREOF, WESTOVER HILLS, INC. HAS CAUSED THESE PRESENTS YO BE EMECUTED BY ITS PRESIDENT, THIS THE\_\_\_ 97 DAY OF JUNE . 1980, A.D. ATTEST: WESTOVER HILLS, INC. Ray a. Wilkeson R.T. marfield IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CAPITAL NATIONAL HANK HAS CAUSED THESE PRESENTS TO BE EXECUTED BY , THIS TON 9th BAY OF June . 1980, A.D. CAPITAL NATIONAL BAN ASSISTANT CASHIER LARRY L. LARRY L. Jun Burford STATE-OF TEXAS: COUNTY OF TRAVIS: REON ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: BEFORE WE, THE CODERSIGNED AUTHORITY. ON THIS DAY PRESENT AND ACCOUNTY, SNORN TO ME TO BE THE PERSON MADE ACT AND DEED OF SAID COMPORATION. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SPAL OF DEFICE, THIS THE THE DAY OF Quark, 1980, A.D. STATE OF TEXAS: COUNTY OF TRAVES. COUNTY OF TRAVES. COUNTY OF TRAVES. KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: . '' KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: NAME ALL SEA BY THESE PRESENTS: BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED JOHN BURFORD OF CAPITAL NATIONAL BANK, ANOAN TO WE TO BE THE PERSON WHOSE NAME IS SUBSCRIBED TO THE FUREGOING "ASTROVENIS, AND ACKNOALLOGED TO WE THAT HE FALCUTED THE SAME FOR THE PURPOSES AND CONSIDERATION THEREIN EXPRESSED, IN THE CAPACITY THEREIN STATED AS THE ACT NOTARY PULLS IN SUPPLY TRAVIS COUNTY, TELAS TOTARY PULLS IN SUPPLY TRAVIS COUNTY, TELAS TI, & Commission & Raises; 3/7/84 MARTINE RECTOR STRANSTRE DONNA FRIST APPROVED FOR ACCEPTANCE. TICHARD R. DATE 28 OCTOBER 1980 ACCEPTED AND AUTHORIZED FOR RECORD BY THE PLANNING CONVISSION, CITY OF ADSTIN. TYVAS. THIS THE 28 TH KRISTAPONIS Umrallin Ż IAMES IS VILL BERNARD SNYDER CHAIRMAN U FILED FOR RECORD AT O'CLOCK A.M. THIS THE 30 THE DAN OF Oct. DEPUTY So DORTS SHINDPSHINY, CUFRE, CHINY Sizz COURT, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEAAS States dates STATE OF TEXAS: COUNTY OF TRAVIS: 1. DURIS SHROPSHIRE, CLERK OF THE COUNTY COURT, SITHIN AND FOR THE COUST AND STATE AFURESAID, DU HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT OF BRITING WITH ITS CERTIFICATE A MULANY CATLOR MAS FILED FOR RECORD IN YN OFFICE ON THE <u>30 G</u> DAY OF <u>A CL</u>. 1980, A.D. AT <u>1</u> O'CLOCK <u>A</u>. N. IN THE PLAT HECORDS OF SAID COUNTY AND STATE IN IN PLAT BOOK <u>A CLEAR A CLEAR AND DULY RECORDED AT 105 0°CLOCK A.M. ON THE 37 M DAY OF <u>CLEAR</u>. 1980, A.D. AT <u>1080</u>, A.D.</u> υF WITH SS AV HAND AND SEAL OF THE COUNTY COURT OF SAID COUNTY, THE DAIL LIST BRITTEN ABOVE. DURIS SUROPSINE, DLEBE, JOUNTY COURT, SHATIS COUNTY, TEXAS AUSTIN, TEXAS 78751 VILEY E. MARX NOTES: 1) TITLES TO THE COMMON AREAS SHALL BE VESTED IN A PROPERTY CAMPACTURE COMPRESSING THE OWNERS OF ALL LOTS WITHIN THIS SUBDIVISION. FOR RESTRICTIONS PERTAINING TO THE ON THIS PLAT SEE VOLUME 5598 PLANE 1015 OF THE FELD RECORDS OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS. 2) THE 100-YEAR FLOW PLATS SHALL BE CONTAINED WITHIN THE EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON. 10. ON AREAS SEE 1.7/69 p. 2030 RESTRICTIONS CPRVE DATA 10. 42<sup>°</sup>56 225.00 88.43 168.60 64.68 11 31°55 415.14 118.71 12 31° 55 465.14 133.01 79 48' 4 33°14' 502.63 150.00 5 27°21 300.00 72.99 14 82°49' 15.00 15 46°20 249.09 79 48 379141 9 43°56' 27 21. 3ء (3 88° (13'45 37° 34' 30" 16 16 17 37° 34 ' 30' 358.80 300.00 499.72 460.30 418.80 350.17 583.29 537.28 33-14 442.63 132.09 256.74 253.(6 72"26"30" 360.00 87.59 171.85 175.00 68-82 131.13 128.99 235.00 235.00 299.09 127.98 241.86 tə.00 14.50 15-00 79.94 96.95 106.59 201.43 195.90 13.29 291.54 143.20 154.11 231.25 259.11 23.12 21.68 18.97 287.47 141.35 170.22 151.33 183.57 255.77 20.90 19.84 23 112226 3.35 5.60 161:5-1 19 90°00 20 26°07 21 22 25 26° 07 ' 27 28 29 30 21 90 00' 6.16 6.16 9.68 8.71 24 67°34' 7.47 5.00 o3°53' 31 28°10'30" 7.89 0.73 32 28\*10'30' 27.89 7.00 180"00 90° 60 19 44 36 90°00' 90\*00\* 90°00 270\*00 50.00 8.97 8.97 43.11 9.86 22.12 17.60 15.00 175.00 2.92 2.92 4.59 4.13 3.77 3.77 5.92 5.00 5.00 7.85 10.00 30-00 15.00 30.45 141.28 11.00 A C 12.69 6.56 5.56 8.81 10.08 55.29 23.56 60.30 19.44 1.42 13.72 141.37 \$.31 9.99 \$5.20 31.21 09.00 36 35°50 56.20 18.17 35.15 37 35°50' 49.49 35 90°00' 38 90°00 20.00 39 10 41 42 46 22" 26 30" 35°09'45' 43 58°18'15' 17.52 9.77 17.82 44 58\*18-15" 44-10 24-50 35 09 45 55°11'30" 55°11'30" 250515 20.56 20.56 32.30 19.13 10.00 18.43 17.72 44.13 23.07 42.51 0575.0 175.0 40.54 73.65 6.56 31.56 50.-11 10.00 19.74 10.00 19.37 19.67 16.00 20.00 2.08 30.95 31.42 4.03 44.88 3,96 29.08 34.58 30.45 28.28 40.88 42.96 19.62 78.23 SIDEWALK NOTE: SIDEWALK NOTE: SIDEWALKS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON BUTH SIDES OF COURTYAND DRIVE, THE WEST SIDE OF SCOUT ISLAND CIACLE NORTH, THE NORTH SIDE OF PARAGE RIDGE, THE NORTH SIDE OF MUSTER COURT, THE NORTH SIDE OF EAGLE CLIFF, THE NORTH SIDE OF SCOUT ALUFF, THE NORTH SIDE OF JANGUNEE COURT, THE NORTH SIDE OF WICE COURT, SUCH SYDEWALKS SHALE BE COUPLETED PRIOR TO ACCEPTANCE OF ANY TYPE I 6 II DRIVEWAN APPROACH AND/OR CHIFTICATE OF OCCUPANCE, SIDEWALKS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN INSTALLED WITHIN TWO MEANS FROM THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE FGR MAINTENANCE OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, MAY UPUN THE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL BE CONSTRUCTED BY THE CITY OF AUSTRETS, AND ASSESSMENT SHALLE WADE AGAINST THE AFFECTED PROPERTIES FOR ALL ENGINEERING, ADMINISTRATION AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS. SIDEWALK NOTE: NO PRIVAÌE STREET OR RESIDENCES SHALL HAVE DIRGET ACCESS TO LOOP 340. NOTES NOTE: THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN SHALL BE CONTAINED WITHIN THE EASEMENTS 33 SHOWN HEREON .

Sheet 2002

<u>CB14-75-002.6 (80)</u>



APPENDIX P-1 - EROSION CONTROL NOTES

1. The contractor shall install erosion/sedimentation controls, tree/natural area protective fencing, and conduct "Pre-Construction" tree fertilization (if applicable) prior to any site preparation work (clearing, grubbing or excavation).

2. The placement of erosion/sedimentation controls shall be in accordance with the Environmental 4.0 pounds per acre, Cereal Rye Grain (Secale cereale) at 45 pounds per acre. Contractor must Criteria Manual and the approved Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan. The COA ESC Plan ensure that any seed application requiring a cool season cover crop does not utilize annual shall be consulted and used as the basis for a TPDES required SWPPP. If a SWPPP is required, ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum) or perennial ryegra ss (Lolium perenne). Cool season cover crops it shall be available for review by the City of Austin Environmental Inspector at all times during construction, including at the Pre-Construction meeting. The checklist below contains the basic elements that shall be reviewed for permit approval by COA EV Plan Reviewers as well as COA EV Inspectors.

Direction of flow during grading operations

Location, description, and calculations for off-site flow diversion structur

es. Areas that will not be disturbed; natural features to be pres erved. Delineation of contributing drainage area to each proposed BMP (e.g., silt fence sedimentbasin, etc.).

Location and type of E&S BMPs for each phase of disturbance.

Calculations for BMPs as required

Location and description of temporary stabilization measur

es. Location of on-site spoils, description of handling and disposal of borrow material s, anddescription of on-site permanent spoils disposal areas, including size, depth of fill and revegetation procedures.

Describe sequence of construction as it pertains to ESC including the following elements: 1. Installation sequence of controls (e.g. perimeter controls, then sediment basins, then

temporary stabilization, then permanent, etc.)

2. Project phasing if required (LOC greater than 25 acres) 3. Sequence of grading operations and notation of temporary stabilization measures to

be used 4. Schedule for converting temporary basins to permanent WQ controls

5. Schedule for removal of temporary controls

6. Anticipated maintenance schedule for temporary controls

3.1 Minimize disturbed area and protect natural features and soil 3.2 Control Stormwater flowing onto and through the project

3.3 Stabilize Soils

3.4 Protect Slopes

3.5 Protect Storm Drain Inlets

3.6 Establish Perimeter Controls and Sediment Barriers

3.7 Retain Sediment On-Site and Control Dewatering Practices 3.8 Establish Stabilized Construction Exits

3.9 Any Additional BMPs

3. The Placement of tree/natural area protective fencing shall be in accordance with the City of Austin standard Notes for Tree and Natural Area Protection and the approved Grading/Tree

and Natural Area Plan 4. A pre-construction conference shall be held on-site with the contractor, design Engineer/ permit applicant and Environmental Inspector after installation of the erosion/sedimentation controls, tree/natural area protection measures and "Pre-Construction" tree fertilization (if applicable) prior to beginning any site preparation work. The owner or owner's representative shall notify the Development Services Department, 512-974-2278 or by email at environmental.inspections@austintexas. gov, at least three days prior to the meeting date. COA approved ESC Plan and TPDES SWPPP (if required) should be reviewed by COA EV Inspector B. Hydromulch shall comply with Table 2, below. at this time.

5. Any major variation in materials or locations of controls or fences from those shown on the approved plans will require a revision and must be approved by the reviewing Engineer, Environmental Specialist or City Arborist as appropriate. Major revisions must be approved by authorized COA staff. Minor changes to be made as field revisions to the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan may be required by the Environmental Inspector during the course of construction to correct control inadequacies.

6. The contractor is required to provide a certified inspector that is either a licensed engineer (or person directly supervised by the licensed engineer) or Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC or CPESC - IT), Certified Erosion, Sediment and Stormwater Inspector (CESSWI or CESSWI - IT) or Certified Inspector of Sedimentation and Erosion Controls (CISEC or CISEC - IT) certification to inspect the controls and fences at weekly or 

are functioning properly. The person(s) responsible for maintenance of controls and fences shall immediately make any necessary repairs to damaged areas. Silt accumulation at controls height of the control whichever is less.

7. Prior to final acceptance by the City, haul roads and waterway crossings constructed for temporary contractor access must be removed, accumulated sediment removed from the waterway and the area restored to the original grade and revegetated. All land clearing debris shall be disposed of in approved spoil disposal sites.

8. All work must stop if a void in the rock substrate is discovered which is; one square foot in total area; blows air from within the substrate and/or consistently receives water during any rain event. At this time it is the responsibility of the Project Manager to immediately contact a City of Austin Environmental Inspector for further investigation. In addition, if the project site is located within the Edwards Aquifer, the Project Manager must notify the Travis County Balcones Canyonlands Conservation Preserve (BCCP) by email at bccp@traviscountytx.gov.

Construction activities within 50 feet of the void must stop. 9. Temporary and Permanent Erosion Control: All disturbed areas shall be restored as noted below:

A. All disturbed areas to be revegetated are required to place a minimum of six (6) inches of topsoil [see Standard Specification Item No. 601S.3(A)]. Do not add topsoil within the critical root zone of existing trees.

...... the standards set forth in 601S.

An owner/engineer may propose use of onsite salvaged topsoil which does not meet the

criteria of Standard Specification 601S by providing a soil analysis and a written

statement from a qualified professional in soils, landscape architecture, or agronomy indicating the onsite topsoil will provide an equivalent growth media and specifying what, if any, soil amendments are required.

tiller to create a well-blended material.

The vegetative stabilization of areas disturbed by construction shall be as follows:

APPENDIX P-1 - EROSION CONTROL NOTES CONTINUED:

TEMPORARY VEGETATIVE STABILIZATION:

1. From September 15 to March 1, seeding shall be with or include a cool season cover crop: (Western Wheatgrass (Pascopyrum smithii) at 5.6 pounds per acre, Oats (Avena sativa) at are not permanent erosion control.

2. From March 2 to September 14, seeding shall be with hulled Bermuda at a rate of 45 pounds per acre or a native plant seed mix conforming to Item 604S or 609S.

A. Fertilizer shall be applied only if warranted by a soil test and shall conform to Item No. 606S, Fertilizer. Fertilization should not occur when rainfall is expected or during slow plant growth or dormancy. Chemical fertilizer may not be applied in the Critical Water Quality Zone.

B. Hydromulch shall comply with Table 1, below. 

high with a minimum of 95% total coverage so that all areas of a site that rely on vegetation for temporary stabilization are uniformly vegetated, and provided there are no bare spots larger than 10 square feet.

D. When required, native plant seeding shall comply with requirements of the City of Austin Environmental Criteria Manual, and Standard Specification 604S or 609S

Table 1: Hydromulching for Temporary Vegetative Stabilization Typical

			Applications
100% or any blend of wood, cellulose, straw, and/or cotton plant material (except no mulch shall exceed 30% paper)	70% or greater Wood/Straw 30% or less Paper or Natural Fibers	•• • • • • • • •	Moderate slopes; from flat to 3:1

PERMANENT VEGETATIVE STABILIZATION:

1. From September 15 to March 1, seeding is considered to be temporary stabilization only. If cool season cover crops exist where permanent vegetative stabilization is desired, the grasses accordance with Table 2 below. Alternatively, the cool season cover crop can be mixed with Bermuda grass or native seed and installed together, understanding that germination of warm-

season seed typically requires soil temperatures of 60 to 70 degrees. 2. From March 2 to September 14, seeding shall be with hulled Bermuda at a rate of 45 pounds per acre with a purity of 95% and a minimum pure live seed (PLS) of 0.83. Bermuda grass is a warm season grass and is considered permanent erosion control. Permanent vegetative stabilization can also be accomplished with a native plant seed mix conforming to Item 604S or 6095

A. Fertilizer use shall follow the recommendation of a soil test. See Item 606S, Fertilizer. Applications of fertilizer (and pesticide) on City-owned and managed property requires the yearly submittal of a Pesticide and Fertilizer Application Record, along with a current copy of the applicator's license. For current copy of the record template contact the City of Austin's IPM Coordinator.

C. Water the seeded areas immediately after installation to achieve germination and a healthy stand of plants that can ultimately survive without supplemental water. Apply the water uniformly to the planted areas without causing displacement or erosion of the materials or soil. Maintain the seedbed in a moist condition favorable for plant growth. All watering shall comply with City Code Chapter 6-4 (Water Conservation), at rates and frequencies determined by a licensed irrigator or other qualified professional, and as allowed by the Austin Water Utility and current water restrictions and water conservation initiatives

inches high with a minimum of 95 percent for the non-native mix, and 95 percent coverage for the native mix so that all areas of a site that rely on vegetation for stability must be uniformly vegetated, and provided there are no bare spots larger than 10 square feet. E. When required, native plant seeding shall comply with requirements of the City of Austin Environmental Criteria Manual, Items 604S and 609S.

Table 2: Hydromulching for Permanent Vegetative Stabilization

Material	Becchpilon	Longovity	Applications
Bonded Fiber Matrix (BFM)	80% Organic defibrated fibers		
10% Tackifier	6 months	On slopes up to 2:1 and erosive soil conditions	2,500 to 4,000 lbs per acre (see manufacturers recommendations)
Fiber Reinforced Matrix (FRM)	65% Organic defibrated fibers 25% Reinforcing Fibers or less 10% Tackifier	Up to 12 months	On slopes up to 1:1 and erosive

APPENDIX P-2: - CITY OF AUSTIN STANDARD NOTES FOR TREE AND NATURAL AREA PROTECTION 1. All trees and natural areas shown on plan to be preserved shall be protected during construction with temporary fencing. 2. Protective fences shall be erected according to City of Austin Standards for Tree Protection. supplemental nutrients. Soil and/or foliar analysis should be used to determine the need for 3. Protective fences shall be installed prior to the start of any site preparation work (clearing, supplemental nutrients. The City Arborist may require these analyses as part of a comprehensive grubbing or grading), and shall be maintained throughout all phases of the construction project. 4. Erosion and sedimentation control barriers shall be installed or maintained in a manner which does not result in soil build-up within tree drip lines. 5. Protective fences shall surround the trees or group of trees, and will be located at the outermost limit of branches (drip line), for natural areas, protective fences shall follow the Limit of Construction line, in order to prevent the following: A. Soil compaction in the root zone area resulting from vehicular traffic or storage of equipment or materials; B. Root zone disturbances due to grade changes (greater than 6 inches cut or fill), or trenching not reviewed and authorized by the City Arborist; C. Wounds to exposed roots, trunk or limbs by mechanical equipment; D. Other activities detrimental to trees such as chemical storage, cement truck cleaning, and fires. 6. Exceptions to installing fences at tree drip lines may be permitted in the following cases: A. Where there is to be an approved grade change, impermeable paving surface, tree well, or other such site development, erect the fence approximately 2 to 4 feet beyond the area disturbed; B. Where permeable paving is to be installed within a tree's drip line, erect the fence at the outer limits of the permeable paving area (prior to site grading so that this area is graded separately prior to paving installation to minimized root damage); C. Where trees are close to proposed buildings, erect the fence to allow 6 to 10 feet of work P.O. Box 1088, Austin, TX 78767. This note should be referenced as item #1 in the space between the fence and the building; D. Where there are severe space constraints due to tract size, or other special requirements, contact the City Arborist at 974-1876 to discuss alternatives. Special Note: For the protection of natural areas, no exceptions to installing fences at the Limit of Construction line will be permitted. 7. Where any of the above exceptions result in a fence being closer than 4 feet to a tree trunk, protect the trunk with strapped-on planking to a height of 8 ft (or to the limits of lower branching) in addition to the reduced fencing provided. 8. Trees approved for removal shall be removed in a manner which does not impact trees to be preserved. 9. Any roots exposed by construction activity shall be pruned flush with the soil. Backfill root areas with good quality top soil as soon as possible. If exposed root areas are not backfilled within 2 days, cover them with organic material in a manner which reduces soil temperature and minimizes water loss due to evaporation. 10. Any trenching required for the installation of landscape irrigation shall be placed as far from existing tree trunks as possible. 11. No landscape topsoil dressing greater than 4 inches shall be permitted within the drip line of trees. No soil is permitted on the root flare of any tree. 12. Pruning to provide clearance for structures, vehicular traffic and equipment shall take place before damage occurs (ripping of branches, etc.). 13. All finished pruning shall be done according to recognized, approved standards of the industry (Reference the National Arborist Association Pruning Standards for Shade Trees available on request from the City Arborist). 14. Deviations from the above notes may be considered ordinance violations if there is substantial non-compliance or if a tree sustains damage as a result. APPENDIX P-4: - STANDARD SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION The following sequence of construction shall be used for all development. The applicant is encouraged to provide any additional details appropriate for the particular development. 1. Temporary erosion and sedimentation controls are to be installed as indicated on the approved site plan or subdivision construction plan and in accordance with the Erosion Sedimentation Control Plan (ESC) and Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that is required to be posted on the site. Install tree protection, initiate tree mitigation measures and conduct "Pre - Construction" tree fertilization (if applicable). 2. The Environmental Project Manager or Site Supervisor must contact the Development Services Department, Environmental Inspection, at 512-974-2278, 72 hours prior to the scheduled date of the required on-site preconstruction meeting. 3. The Environmental Project Manager, and/or Site Supervisor, and/or Designated Responsible Party, and the General Contractor will follow the Erosion Sedimentation Control Plan (ESC) and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) posted on the site. Temporary erosion and sedimentation controls will be revised, if needed, to comply with City Inspectors' directives, and revised construction schedule relative to the water quality plan requirements and the erosion plan. 4. Rough grade the pond(s) at 100% proposed capacity. Either the permanent outlet structure or a temporary outlet must be constructed prior to development of embankment or excavation that leads to ponding conditions. The outlet system must consist of a sump pit outlet and an emergency spillway meeting the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual and/or the Environmental Criteria Manual, as required. The outlet system shall be protected from erosion and shall be maintained throughout the course of construction until installation of the permanent water quality pond(s). 5. Temporary erosion and sedimentation controls will be inspected and maintained in accordance with the Erosion Sedimentation Control Plan (ESC) and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) posted on the site. 6. Begin site clearing/construction (or demolition) activities. 7. In the Barton Springs Zone, the Environmental Project Manager or Site Supervisor will schedule a mid-construction conference to coordinate changes in the construction schedule and evaluate effectiveness of the erosion control plan after possible construction alterations to the site. Participants shall include the City Inspector, Project Engineer, General Contractor and Environmental Project Manager or Site Supervisor. The anticipated completion date and final construction sequence and inspection schedule will be coordinated with the appropriate City Inspector. 8. Permanent water quality ponds or controls will be cleaned out and filter media will be installed prior to/concurrently with revegetation of site. 9. Complete construction and start revegetation of the site and installation of landscaping. 10. Upon completion of the site construction and revegetation of a project site, the design engineer shall submit an engineer's letter of concurrence bearing the engineer's seal, signature, and date to the Development Services Department indicating that construction, including revegetation, is complete and in substantial compliance with the approved plans. After receiving this letter, a final inspection will be scheduled by the appropriate City inspector. 11. Upon completion of landscape installation of a project site, the Landscape Architect shall submit a letter of concurrence to the Development Services Department indicating that the required landscaping is complete and in substantial conformity with the approved plans. After receiving this letter, a final inspection will be scheduled by the appropriate City

inspector. 12. After a final inspection has been conducted by the City inspector and with approval from the City inspector, remove the temporary erosion and sedimentation controls and complete any necessary final revegetation resulting from removal of the controls. Conduct any maintenance and rehabilitation of the water quality ponds or controls.

Note: Portions of this project are located in the FEMA 100 year floodplain as defined. Flood Insurance may be required. The federal regulations for development in a floodplain can be found in Chapter 44 of the Code Of Federal Regulations (44CFR).

ALL ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES (CEF) SETBACK MUST COMPLY WITH THE CITY OF AUSTIN CODE AND CRITERIA. THE NATURAL VEGETATIVE COVER MUST BE RETAINED TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE CONSTRUCTION IS PROHIBITED; AND WASTEWATER DISPOSAL OR IRRIGATION IS PROHIBITED.

APPENDIX P-6 - REMEDIAL TREE CARE NOTES AERATION AND SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS FOR TREES WITHIN CONSTRUCTION AREAS As a component of an effective remedial tree care program per Environmental Criteria Manual section 3.5.4, preserved trees within the limits of construction may require soil aeration and tree care plan. Soil pH shall be considered when determining the fertilization composition as soil pH influences the tree's ability to uptake nutrients from the soil. If analyses indicate the need for supplemental nutrients, then humate/nutrient solutions with mycorrh izae components are highly recommended. In addition, soil analysis may be needed to determine if organic material or beneficial microorganisms are needed to improve soil health. Materials and methods are to be approved by the City Arborist (512-974-1876) prior to application. The owner or general contractor shall select a fertilization contractor and ensure coordination with the City Arborist.

Pre-construction treatment should be applied in the appropriate season, ideally the season preceding the proposed construction. Minimally, areas to be treated include the entire critical root zone of trees as depicted on the City approved plans. Treatment should include, but not limited to, fertilization, soil treatment, mulching, and proper pruning.

Post-construction treatment should occur during final revegetation or as determined by a qualified arborist after construction. Construction activities often result in a reduction in soil macro and micro pores and an increase in soil bulk density. To ameliorate the degraded soil conditions, aeration via water and/or air injected into the soil is needed or by other methods as approved by the City Arborist The proposed nutrient mix specifications and soil and/or foliar analysis results need to be provided to and approved by the City Arborist prior to application (Fax # 512-974-3010).

rates. Alternative organic fertilizer materials are acceptable when approved by the City Arborist. Within 7 days after fertilization is performed, the contractor shall provide documentation of the work performed to the City Arborist, Planning and Development Review Department. Sequence of Construction.

# Site Plan Release Notes:

Applicant will comply with all applicable City of Austin requirements.

1. All improvements shall be made in accordance with the released site plan. Any additional improvements will require site plan amendment and approval of the Planning and Development Review Department.

2. All signs must comply with requirements of the Land Development Code.

(Section 1 3-2, Article VII)

3. Additional electric easements may be required at a later date. 4. All existing structures shown to be removed will require a demolition permit from the

City of Austin Planning and Development Review Department. 5. A development Permit must be issued prior to an application for building permit for

non-consolidated or Planning Commission approved site plans. 6. For driveway construction: The owner is responsible for all costs for relocation of, or damage to utilities

7. For construction within the right-of-way, a concrete permit is required.

8. For the building permit, a signed and sealed letter shall be submitted to the City of Austin, per the Land Development Code, 25-1 2-3 1612.4, certifying that the structure is in

accordance with ASCE 24, Flood Resistant Design and Construction. 9. All work will occur within the limits of construction as shown on the plan, and that no materials or equipment will be delivered to the site from the landward side of this project. 10. Approval of this Site Plan does not include Building and Fire Code approval nor building permit approval.

General Notes:

1. This project is not located over the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone.

Deed restrictions or restrictive covenants are not applicable to this property. 3. A business or living quarter may not be constructed on a pier or similar structure extending into or above Lake Austin, except under a license agreement approved by the City Council (Section 25-2-1 176 (H)

4. Contractor to verity utility locations and ground and flow line elevations before construction. 5. Environmental Inspector has the authority to add/or modify erosion/sedimentation controls on site to keep project in compliance with the City of Austin Rules and Regulations. 6. Approval of these plans by the City of Austin indicates compliance with applicable City regulations only.

7. Approval by other government entities may be required prior to the start of construction. The applicant is responsible for determining what additional approvals may be necessary. 8. All work on this project is to be accomplished via barge. There will be no site access by land, nor will any construction staging or materials storage be located on land. 9. Prior to the issuance of the building permit, applicant will turn in documentation that is signed and

sealed by a licensed professional that states that boat dock complies with asce 24 (floor resistant design and construction) as per ldc 25-12-3 section 1612.4

# SUBMITTAL DATE: AUGUST 19, 2021

IF AT ANY TIME DURING CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT AN UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (UST) IS FOUND, CONSTRUCTION IN THAT AREA MUST STOP UNTIL A CITY OF AUSTIN UST CONSTRUCTION PERMIT IS APPLIED FOR AND APPROVED. ANY UST REMOVAL WORK MUST BE CONDUCTED BY A UST CONTRACTOR THAT IS REGISTERED WITH THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (TCEQ). CONTACT ELIZABETH SIMMONS AT ELIZABETH.SIMMONS@AUSTINTEXAS.GOV IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. [COA TITLE 6].

NOTE: PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT ARE LOCATED IN THE FEMA 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN AS DEFINED FLOOD INSURANCE MAY BE REQUIRED. THE FEDERAL REGULATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT IN A FLOODPLAIN CAN BE FOUND IN CHAPTER 44 OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (44 CFR).

Application Rates 1,500 to 2,000 lbs per acre

Application

Rates

Soil conditions 3,000 to 4,500 |lbs per acre (see

manufacturers recommendations)

**OWNERS: HOLLY GUNN & HAMID TAHA** 

RELATED PERMIT NUMBERS: C814-75-002

**EXISTING SHORELINE LENGTH**: 84'-3"

STEPHEN HAWKINS - AQUAPERMITS, LLC

AARON SLEATOR - AQUAPERMITS, LLC

A SUBDIVISION IN TRAVIS COUNTY

DOCK CONTRACTOR: TBD

WATERSHED:LAKE AUSTIN

**ZONING:** LAKE AUSTIN

FROM PRE 1984 DOCK

DESIGN TEAM:

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 5709 SAM HOUSTON CIRCLE

WATERSHED CLASSIFICATION: WATER SUPPLY RURAL

**SMART GROWTH ZONE**: Drinking Water Protection Zone

**USE**: ACCESSORY USE TO PRINCIPAL SINGLE-FAMILY RES

shown on F.E.M.A Firm number 48453C0435K effective 1/21/2020

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: LOT 5 BLOCK B COURTYARD PHS 1 THE,

Signature and seal

Address

Phone



**OWNER MAILING ADDRESS: 5709 SAM HOUSTON CIRCLE, AUSTIN, TX 78731** 

**FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION**: The project is within the 100-yr flood plain as

ALLOWABLE DOCK WIDTH: MATCH EXISTING FROM PRE 1984 DOCK

PROPROSED DOCK WIDTH: 32' TO MATCH EXISTING FROM PRE 1984 DOCK

**PROPOSED DOCK FOOTPRINT**: 1402 SQ FT. (INCLUDING LANDING/STAIRS)

**PROPOSED DOCK LENGTH:** 34'6" PAST BULKHEAD TO MATCH EXISTING

# AQUA PERMITS



Stephen.Hawkins@AquaPermits.com 512-750-1402 Copyright Aqua Permits, LLC

# SHEET INDEX

- 1 COVER SHEET
- 2 PLAT 3 - DEMO & PROPOSED
- SITE PLANS
- 4 DOCK PLANS & ELEVATIONS

S

 $\triangleright$ 

 $\leq$ 

0

S

Ζ

フ

Π

 $\Box$ 

C

 $\triangleright$ 

D

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

ス

5709

**(**)

Z ()

J ()

. 00.

ωO

IRC

5 - ELEVATIONS 6 - SEQUENCE

**ISSUE/REVISION:** 

PERMITTING - 8/11/21 PERMITTING COMMENTS - 9/27/21

SHEET\_\_\_OF\_\_\_\_ SITE PLAN APPROVAL

Engineer signature and seal per City code Secti

FILE NUMBER APPLICATION DATE APPROVED BY COMMISSION ON OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN CODE UNDER SECTION OF CHAPTER CASE MANAGER DATE (LDC 25-5-81) \_\_ DDZ \_\_\_\_\_ PROJECT EXPIRATION DATE (ORD.#970905-A) DWPZ

DANLEL P. HAMM

Hamm

Digitally signed by

Date: 2021.08.16

10:46:47 -04'00'

Daniel P. Hamm

DIRECTOR, DEVELOPI	MENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT							
RELEASED FOR GENERAL COMPLIANCE								
ZONING	REVISION 1							
CORRECTION 1								
REVISION 2								
CORRECTION 2	CORRECTION 2							

CORRECTION 2_
REVISION 3
CORRECTION 3_

Final plat must be recorded by the project expiration date, if applicable. Subsequent site plans which do not comply with the Code current at the time of filing, and all required building permits and/or a notice of construction (if a building permit is not required) must also be approved prior to the project expiration date.







4th amend to real . 9126/555













				42 of 48
M HOUSTO				AQUA
ON CIRCU				PERMITS
$C_1$				
CONO				
DRIVE R.D.	C3			
DER PLAT				Missing on Invalid reference
				Stephen.Hawkins@AquaPermits.com
	Т			512-750-1402 Copyright Aqua Permits, LLC
CONC.	Point	Description		NOTES: 1. NAVIGATION LIGHTS MUST HAVE A TWO-BULB FIXTURE, WITH TWO WORKING 1. NAVIGATION LIGHTS MUST HAVE A TWO-BULB FIXTURE, WITH TWO WORKING 1. NAVIGATION LIGHTS MUST HAVE A TWO-BULB FIXTURE, WITH TWO WORKING
A/C OF	13	20" LIVE OAK		BULB COVERS MUST BE WEEN 7-12 AND 25 WAT INCLOSIVE. LIGHT BULBS OF BULB COVERS MUST BE AMBER, AND WHITE LIGHT MAY NOT RADIATE FROM THE FIXTURE. WEATHERPROOF LAMP HOLDERS AND JUNCTION BOXES ARE REQUIRED. EACH LIGHT FIXTURE MUST BE WIRED WITH A SWITCH OPERATED BY A PHOTOFIL FCTRIC CELL SO THAT THE LIGHTS WILL OPERATE ALITOMATICALLY
240 ZZZ	14	26" LIVE OAK MULTIPLE		DURING THE HOURS THAT THE DOCK IS REQUIRED TO BE LIGHTED BY THIS SECTION. 2. EXCEPT FOR SOLID STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS, SOLID AND MESH MATERIALS
20° 11 1	16 17	29" LIVE OAK 26" LIVE OAK		USED FOR ENCLOSURE, INCLUDING LATTICE, WIRE PANELS, AND SCREENING, MUST BE AT LEAST 66 PERCENT OPEN; PER LA ZONING & BOAT DOCK REGULATIONS SECTION 25-2-1176.
S S S	22 23	13" LIVE OAK 20" LIVE OAK		<ol> <li>THE PROPOSED BOAT DOCK MOST COMPLET WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS OF EDC 25-21174 ("STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENTS") AND MUST COMPLY WITH CHAPTER 25-12, ARTICLE 1 (UNIFORM BUILDING CODE) AND THE BUILDING CRITERIA MANUAL.</li> </ol>
S 3	24	20" LIVE OAK		<ol> <li>THERE IS NO SHORELINE MODIFICATION OR DREDGING PROPOSED ON THIS PROJECT.</li> <li>THERE ARE NO COFFER DAMS PROPOSED ON THIS PROJECT.</li> </ol>
$\langle \langle \rangle \langle \rangle \rangle$	129	7" ELM		6. THERE IS NO TREE REMOVAL PROPOSED ON THIS PROJECT. 7. THERE IS NO PROPOSED GROUND DISTURBANCE ASSOCIATED WITH THE
$\bigwedge$	131 132	10" ELM GLOSSY PRIVET		8. THE DOCK IS AT LEAST 66% OPEN. 9. THE CONCRETE BULKHEAD ALONG THE SHORELINE IS EXISTING TO REMAIN.
	133			10. ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ADEQUACY OF THE PLANS REMAIN WITH THE ENGINEER WHO PREPARED THEM. IN APPROVING THESE PLANS, THE CITY OF AUSTIN MUST RELY ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE WORK OF THE DESIGN
	134	14" ELM		ENGINEER. 11. ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTOR HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ADD OR MODIFY EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS ON-SITE TO KEEP PROJECT IN ONDOLMENTATION CONTROLS ON-SITE TO KEEP PROJECT IN ONDOLMENTATION FOR CONTROLS ON SITE TO ADD OR MODIFY
	136	9" GLOSSY PRIVET 9" HOLLY MULTIPLE		25-8183). 12. ALL WORK TO BE DONE VIA BARGE. NO SITE ACCESS FOR CONSTRUCTION BY LAND
	138	12" BLACK ASH		13. LAKE CONTOURS TAKEN FROM CITY OF AUSTIN GIS AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS.
	141	20" BALD CYPRESS		14. NO COFFERS PROPOSED WITH DOCK.
				Ś
INAGE				$\leq$
				T
	PL			Ο
		1.5" LIVE OAK (1)		Č
		BUTTON BUSH (3 - 1 GALLON)		S <sup>70</sup>
		1.5" MEXICAN BUCKEY (1)		<b>)</b> <b>1</b>
	ТО	TAL PLANTS = 5		
		1 1 A A Y I		
	PLANTING MI	FIGATION CALCULATIONS		<b>O</b> įzd
ALITY ZONE	609S RESTOR	ATION		
SETBACK	-ALL DISTURE SHORELINE S	ED AREAS WITHIN THE ETBACK		
	609S SPECIFI	CATIONS, USING 609S	KA	$\tilde{\Pi}$ $\tilde{3}$
				<sup>→</sup> <sup>→</sup> <sup>→</sup>
	SF			Õ CL
AIN & COA	-PLANTING CF 1 NATIVE SHA	RITERIA RECOMMENDS: DE TREE PER 500 SF OF		$\mathbf{A}$
JPLAIN: 510-0"	DISTURBED A 1 NATIVE UND	REA ER STORY TREE PER 500 SF		
	OF DISTURBE	D AREA		
SISTS OF LOC ON THE SHORE	220/500 = 1 SF STORY TREE	IADE TREE AND 1 UNDER		ŏ
QZ AND REQUIRES ASSLAND SEEDING/PLANTINGS	220/100 = 3 NA			ŏ
NATIVE TOPSOIL AND SEED BED	-0 LF OF NEW	BULKHEAD/3 LF = 0		X
D LANDING TO BE PERMANENTLY	WETI AND MIT	IGATION		
	-AREA OF EXIS	STING WETLANDS= 0 SF		
	PLANTS -PLANT TOTAL	= 0 PLANTS		ISSUE/REVISION:
	PLANTING MIT	IGATION NOTES:		PERMITTING - 8/11/21
	ALL PLANTS T	O BE SOURCED WITHIN A		PERMITTING COMMENTS - 9/27/21
TIN ELEVATION: 492.8 MSL	200 MILE RADI FOLLOW ALL (	US OF AUSTIN. GUIDELINES FOUND IN THE		
	MANUAL, REF	ERENCE CODE SECTIONS ECM		SITE PLAN #:
CEF WETLAND AS IDENTIFIED IN THE ERI	ΝΟ. 609S AS A	νο.+τω), ατι είνι PPLICABLE Ο RE INSTALLED ΔΤ.Δ		SP-2021-0300D
	MAXIMUM OF : PROPOSED TE	3 FT ON CENTERS. ALL REES TO MIN. 6		SHEET INDEX
	TALL & 1.5" DIA PLANTS TO BE	METER.ALL PROPOSED		1 - COVER SHEET
	AT A MINIMUM PROVIDE TREI	PROTECTION FOR TREE	OF TEXA	3 - DEMO & PROPOSED SITE PLANS
	#135	*	K XX	4 - DOCK PLANS & ELEVATIONS 5 - ELEVATIONS 6 - SEQUENCE
		Jan Daniel	р. нами / // 3720 :::: 2	
R AMENDED TRAVIS COUNTY AMENI	DED DEED		ENSED	SHE PLAN
NO	TE -ALL CONSTR	UCTION WILL BE PERFORMED BY E	BARGE	0.3  of  0.6
NO NO	ACCESS BY LAN DREDGING PRO	ID FOR CONSTRUCTION WILL OCCU POSED WITH THIS SITE PLAN	JR.	
			× /	

3 | ROOF PLAN SCALE: <sup>3</sup>/<sub>16</sub>" = 1'









7



# ----



DECK OF BOAT DOCK WHERE NEW PILES ARE PROPOSED TO BE CUT OPEN

NEW PILES TO DRIVEN IN LOCATION OF CUT DECK

STEEL FRAMING FOR SECOND FLOOR DECK TO BE WELDED TO NEW PILES

WOOD FRAMING OF EXISTING DECK TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED PIECE BY PIECE

STEEL FRAMING FOR NEW DECK TO BE WELDED TO NEW PILES WOOD FRAMING OF EXISTING FIRST FLOOR DECK TO BE REPLACED PIECE BY PIECE

REMOVE WOOD FRAMING FROM FIRST AND SECOND FLOOR

REPLACE DECKING ON SECOND FLOOR

**REPLACE DECKING ON FIRST FLOOR** 

BUILD ROOF STRUCTURE ABOVE SECOND FLOOR

**BUILD LANDING AND STAIRS** 

45 of 48



PAINTED STEEL COLUMNS - COMPOSITE (TREX) SIDING ALUMINUM WIRE SYSTEM





# **ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION MOTION 20220803-004**

Date: August 3, 2022

Subject: 5709 Sam Houston Circle Boat Dock, SP-2021-0300D

Motion by: Jennifer Bristol

Seconded by: Perry Bedford

**WHEREAS**, the Environmental Commission recognizes the applicant is requesting to vary from LDC 25-8-281(C)(2)(b) to allow the construction within 150-foot of a rimrock and seep Critical Environmental Feature (CEF).

**WHEREAS**, the Environmental Commission recognizes the site is located in the Lake Austin and Bull Creek Watersheds, Water Supply Suburban, Drinking Water Protection Zone; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Commission recognizes that Staff recommends this variance, with conditions having determined the required Findings of Fact have been met.

THEREFORE, the Environmental Commission recommends the variance request with the following:

# **Staff Conditions:**

1. All construction to occur via barge. Remove existing boat dock as specified on plans; restore disturbed areas per City Standard Specification 609S; provide wetland mitigation plantings specified on plans.

# and the following Environmental Commission Conditions:

1. Remove invasive plant species in the disturbed area.

# **VOTE 9-0**

For: Aguirre, Bedford, Brimer, Bristol, Nickells, Ramberg, Schiera, Scott, and Qureshi Against: None Abstain: None Recuse: None Absent: Thompson and Barrett Bixler

47 of 48

Approved By:

KEVIN RAMBERLY

Kevin Ramberg, Environmental Commission Chair





7

Subject Tract

Base Map

CASE NO: SP-2021-0300D ADDRESS: 5709 SAM HOUSTON CIRCLE



This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey and represents only the approximate relative location of property boundaries.

This product has been produced by the Planning and Development Review Department for the sole purpose of geographic reference.