

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
Item 3

DATE: September 12, 2022

CASE NUMBER: C16-2022-0005

Y Thomas Ates
 Y Brooke Bailey
 Y Jessica Cohen
 Y Melissa Hawthorne
 Y Barbara Mcarthur
 Y Darryl Pruett
 - Agustina Rodriguez (out)
 Y Richard Smith
 Y Michael Von Ohlen
 Y Nicholl Wade
 Y Kelly Blume (Alternate)
 Y Carrie Waller (Alternate)
 - Marcel Gutierrez-Garza (Alternate)

APPLICANT: Jaden Rodriguez

OWNER: Leo Garcia

ADDRESS: 7712 ELROY RD

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-130 (*Commercial Sign District Regulations*) (G) (1) from sign height of 30 feet above frontage street pavement grade (maximum allowed) to 40 feet (requested) above frontage street pavement grade in order to provide signage for The Circuit of the Americas in a “PUD”, Planned Unit Development zoning district.

Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-130 Commercial Sign District Regulations. (A) This section applies to a commercial sign district.

(B) One Freestanding sign is permitted on a lot. Additional freestanding signs may be permitted under Section 25-10-131 (Additional Freestanding Signs Permitted).

(G) The sign height may not exceed the greater of:

- (1) 30 feet above frontage street pavement grade; or*
- (2) 6 feet above grade at the base of the sign.*

BOARD’S DECISION: **The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board member Melissa Hawthorne motions to postpone to October 10, 2022; Board member Michael Von Ohlen second on 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 10, 2022.**

FINDING:

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:

OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:



Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison

 for

Jessica Cohen
Madam Chair