

**CITY OF AUSTIN**  
**Board of Adjustment**  
**Decision Sheet**  
**Item 4**

**DATE: October 10, 2022**

**CASE NUMBER: C16-2022-0005**

-  Thomas Ates OUT  
 Y  Brooke Bailey  
 Y  Jessica Cohen  
 Y  Melissa Hawthorne  
 Y  Barbara McArthur  
 Y  Darryl Pruett  
 -  Agustina Rodriguez OUT  
 -  Richard Smith OUT  
 Y  Michael Von Ohlen  
 -  Nicholl Wade OUT  
 Y  Kelly Blume (Alternate)  
 Y  Carrie Waller (Alternate)  
 Y  Marcel Gutierrez-Garza (Alternate)

**APPLICANT: Jaden Rodriguez**

**OWNER: Leo Garcia**

**ADDRESS: 7712 ELROY RD**

**VARIANCE REQUESTED:** The applicant is requesting a sign variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-10-130 (*Commercial Sign District Regulations*) (G) (1) from sign height of 30 feet above frontage street pavement grade (maximum allowed) to 40 feet (requested) above frontage street pavement grade in order to provide signage for The Circuit of the Americas in a “PUD”, Planned Unit Development zoning district.

*Note: The Land Development Code sign regulations 25-10-130 Commercial Sign District Regulations. (A) This section applies to a commercial sign district.*

*(B) One Freestanding sign is permitted on a lot. Additional freestanding signs may be permitted under Section 25-10-131 (Additional Freestanding Signs Permitted).*

*(G) The sign height may not exceed the greater of:*

*(1) 30 feet above frontage street pavement grade; or*

*(2) 6 feet above grade at the base of the sign.*

**BOARD’S DECISION:** The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Board member Melissa Hawthorne motions to postpone to October 10, 2022; Board member Michael Von Ohlen second on 11-0 vote; POSTPONED TO OCTOBER 10, 2022. Oct 10, 2022 The public hearing was closed by Madam Chair Jessica Cohen, Madam Chair

**Jessica Cohen motions to postpone to November 14, 2022; Board member Melissa Hawthorne second on 9-0 vote; POSTPONED TO NOVEMBER 14, 2022.**

**FINDING:**

1. The variance is necessary because strict enforcement of the Article prohibits and reasonable opportunity to provide adequate signs on the site, considering the unique features of a site such as its dimensions, landscape, or topography, because:

OR,

2. The granting of this variance will not have a substantially adverse impact upon neighboring properties, because:


OR,

3. The granting of this variance will not substantially conflict with the stated purposes of this sign ordinance, because:

AND,

4. Granting a variance would not provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated, because:

  
Elaine Ramirez  
Executive Liaison

 for  
Jessica Cohen  
Madam Chair