Independent Consumer Advocate (ICA)- John B. Coffman

The City of Austin utility rate-making procedure for Austin Energy rate cases provides for an ICA to represent <u>residential</u> and <u>small business</u> interests.

ICA Technical Team: Clarence Johnson, David Effron

Utility Rate-making Steps

The ICA Examined the following Rate Case Issues:

- 1. Revenue Requirement (Overall Increase)
- 2. Allocation of Cost to Customer Classes
- 3. Spreading Increase to Customer Classes
- 4. Residential Rate Design

1. Overall Rate Increase

- AE Revised Revenue Increase Proposal is currently \$35.7 Million Overall
 - \$43 Million to Residential Class
- Independent Hearing Examiner (IHE) Proposal
 \$31 Million

ICA Recommended Revenue Increase
 \$6.5 Million

2 & 3: Allocation of Costs and Distribution of Cost to Various Customer Classes

- AE and the IHE recommend a rate design that puts more cost onto residential and small business consumers
- ICA Proposed Class Allocation More Favorable to Residential Customers
- Large Commercial Customers proposes a much worse allocation for Residential Customers

4. Residential Rate Design

<u>Current</u>: \$10 fixed customer charge with 5 Tier inclining usage Rates

<u>AE Proposed</u>: \$25 fixed customer charge (150% increase) with 3 Tiers and stated intent to flatten inclining usage rates.

ICA Proposed: Keep charge at \$10, or increase it no more than the overall increase percentage, with 4 tiers.

Fixed Customer Charge

- ICA Opposes AE's 150% increase in customer charge as excessive and regressive. AE's current \$10.00 customer charge is not unreasonable compared to Texas PUC average allowed customer charge of \$7.44.
- AE's current \$10.00 customer charge is approximately the same as the other two large municipal electric utilities in Texas (San Antonio and Lubbock).
- High customer charges are detrimental to low usage customers and reduce the ability of customers to control their bills.
- High customer charges inhibit energy efficiency because charges are incurred without regard to usage.

Comparison of AE v. ICA rate design impacts for Residential customers at varying usage levels

| | | ·Proposed · Design | | AE Rate Design IHE Rev | |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| kWh | Incre | ease | Percent | Increase | Percent |
| 375 | \$ | 0.59 | 1.56% | 17.71 | 46.9% |
| 625 | \$ | 1.24 | 2.07% | 16.73 | 27.9% |
| Average Usage 875 | \$ | 2.30 | 2.67% | \$ 11.95 | 18.9% |
| 1,625 | \$ | 0.88 | 0.49% | (14.4) | -8.1% |
| 3,250 | \$ | 4.34 | 1.04% | (105.1) | -25.3% |