

Amendment #	Commissioner	Section	Pg #	Proposed Amendment	Proposed Text Change (Underline added text/Strikethrough deleted text)	Text Change Included in Amendment (YES/NO)	References and Notes (if needed)	WG Vote Tally
1	Shieh	§ 25-2-769.04 (D)	5 of 14	strike out "two stories" and "three stories" in this section and remove the reference to stories from all other parts of the ordinance.	The height limitation for a structure is: (1) <del>two stories and</del> 35 feet, if the structure is 50 feet or less from a triggering property; (2) <del>three stories and</del> 45 feet, if the structure is more than 50 feet and not more than 100 feet from a triggering property; or	Yes		5-0-0
2	Thompson	§ 25-2-769.06 (F)	10 and 11 of 14	Fee in lieu funds must be used within 1 mile of the property and within 0.25 miles of a corridor.		No	We want housing in transit supported areas	4-0-0
3	Shieh	§ 25-2-769.06 (F) (2) and (3), and § 25-2-769.04 (B) (2)	4 and 10 of 14	Allow the same compatibility standards on both light rail and large corridors. This standard should be the following: - a structure can reach allowable height if the structure is located at least 100 feet from a triggering property if it is participating in an affordable housing program. - a structure can reach allowable height if the structure is located at least 200 feet from a triggering property if it is not participating in an affordable housing program.		No		5-0-0
4	Shieh	§ 25-2-769.06 (F) (4), and § 25-2-769.04 (B) (2)	4 and 10 of 14	The compatibility standards for medium corridors should be the following: - a structure can reach a maximum height of 65 ft if the structure is located at least 100 feet from a triggering property if it is participating in an affordable housing program. - a structure can reach a maximum height of 75 ft if the structure is located at least 150 feet from a triggering property if it is participating in an affordable housing program. - a structure can reach the allowable height if the structure is located at least 200 feet from a triggering property, whether it is participating in an affordable housing program or not.		No		5-0-0

5	Shieh	§ 25-2-769.04 (C)	5 of 14	Define what is or is not allowed in the 25 foot compatibility setback. We should ensure that refuse uses such as dumpsters are not allowed and buildings, meaning covered spaces which a human can inhabit, are not allowed. Otherwise, all other uses should be allowed.		No	This may be achieved by: - defining a maximum height - defining "structure" as a building but that may also require defining a building - other means	5-0-0
6	Mushtaler	§ 25-6-471 (K) (3)	14 of 14	If a development has a residential use only and is located on a light rail corridor, there should be no minimum parking requirement, except for meeting the requirements for ADA compliance		No		5-0-0
7	Thompson	§ 25-2-769.02 (4)	2 of 14	Red Line MetroRail should be included as a Light Rail Corridor, where it is already being treated as a corridor under consideration as a part of this ordinance			We need to maximize the return on investments we have already made in transit. For example, there is a CS -V-MU-CO property adjacent to the Highland stop that will be significantly affected by compatibility.	5-0-0
8	Thompson	§ 25-2-769.06 (E) (7) (c)	8 of 14	Remove the requirement for equal access to parking facilities, unless parking and rent are bundled	require equal access and use of on-site amenities, <del>and common areas, and parking facilities;</del>	Yes	Ideally, we would like to un-bundle parking and rent so that residents who do not own a car are not required to subsidize parking for those that do. The proposed language may not let us do that.	4-0-1
9	Azhar	§ 25-2-769.03 (C)	3 of 14	Include the 803 and 801 Metro Rapid routes (not including parts that are under the light rail corridor category) as a large corridor.		No	To align with Council initiation in point 2.b.of the original resolution "Project Connect MetroRapid Routes (i.e., Expo Center, Pleasant Valley, Burnet to Menchaca & Oak Hill...)"	5-0-0

10	Azhar	§ 25-2-769.04	4 of 14	Exempt civic and public uses (e.g. schools, churches, graveyards, military operations etc.) from triggering compatibility regardless of base zoning		No	The intention was never for civic uses such as those listed to trigger compatibility but due to their base zoning today, under the proposed ordinance these would trigger compatibility.	5-0-0
11	Azhar	§ 25-2-769.02 (6) and § 25-2-769.04 (B)	2 and 4 of 14	Ensure that properties with SF-6 zoning or use: - do not trigger compatibility on to other structures - do not have compatibility triggered on them from properties zoned SF-5 or more restrictive		No		5-0-0