	Ī	Option #1.5: DACC to Maintain	Option #2: DACC to Maintain Current	
	Option #1: DACC to Maintain	l -	Charge Codes in Existing Geographic	
	1 -	1 -		California DAGGLa Daga Garant Glassia
Alignment with Key Takeaways (below)	Adjudication of Prop B/Statewide	Camping Ban Violations Citywide;	_	Option #3: DACC to Drop Current Charge
from Stakeholder Engagement Process	Camping Ban Violations Citywide;	Expand Adjudication of Current Charge	Camping Ban Violations, Expand to	Codes, Adjudicate Only Citywide Prop
moni stakenolaer Engagement i rocess	Expand Adjudication of Current Charge	Codes (other Class C misdemeanors) to	Include Any Charge Codes for	B/State Camping Ban Violations, and
	Codes (all other Class C	Other Parts of Austin on a Needs-Based	Individuals Experiencing Homelessness	Any Charge Codes for Individuals
	misdemeanors) Citywide	Timeline	Citywide	Experiencing Homelessness Citywide
Expanding DACC's GSA to other parts of				
Austin is supported by 93 percent of				
participants that chose to express their				
preference.	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible
DACC maintaining case types for housed				
people (instead of exclusively serving				
people experiencing homelessness) is				
supported by 69 percent of participants				
that chose to express their preference.	Compatible	Compatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
More than 40 individual stakeholder				
references to geographic or service				
expansion directly emphasized the				
resources needed to maintain quality				
service levels.	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible
Stakeholders frequently discussed ways	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Incompatible - People experiencing
to strengthen opportunities for				homelessness receive other citations
individuals experiencing homelessness to		Constitution of the consti	Community I	besides Prop B/State Camping Ban
connect to social service.	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	violations
Stakeholders expressed desire for				
elements such as access and equity to be				
prioritized in upcoming decision-making				
or recommendations regarding DACC's			Incompatible - People that do not fit	Incompatible - People that do not fit
GSA and adjudicated cases, with			within the four official categories of	within the four official categories of
particular attention to the current			homelessness experience financial	homelessness experience financial
exclusion of DACC's benefits for people			instability that can be addressed by	instability that can be addressed by DACC
outside of the current GSA boundaries.	Compatible	Compatible	DACC magistration	magistration
In discussions/questions about citations,				
responses did not strongly align with any				
particular viewpoints. Some respondents				
said they want to see more enforcement				
for Class C misdemeanors; others prefer a				
more lenient approach.	More information needed	More information needed	More information needed	More information needed
Stakeholders expressed need for more				
education about DACC in order to				
participate in productive conversations.				
There was also desire for more				
information sharing about DACC				
generally, particularly about available				
services and successful outcomes				
experienced by DACC defendants and				
clients.	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible
Stakeholders provided specific input on				
information that should be considered				
before any recommendations for change				
are made. This input ranged from cost				
analysis for staff expansion to data about				
DACC defendant/client diversity.	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible
Alignment with DACC's mission and	Computation	- Companie	- Companie	- Companie
purpose	Compatible	Compatible	Compatible	Incompatible
Does this option require policy change?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does this option require significant Court	103	103	103	103
Services resources?	No	No.	No	No
Services resources!	No	No	No	No