Case No.:	
(City use only)	

Environmental Resource Inventory

For the City of Austin
Related to LDC 25-8-121, City Code 30-5-121, ECM 1.3.0 & 1.10.0

The ERI is required for projects that meet one or more of the criteria listed in LDC 25-8-121(A), City Code 30-5-121(A).

1.	SITE/PROJECT NAME: Oltorf Site Plan							
2.	COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT PROPERTY ID (#'s): 0310080502							
3.	ADDRESS/LOCATION OF PROJECT: 4544 E Oltorf							
4.	WATERSHED: Colorado River							
5.	THIS SITE IS WITHIN THE (Check all that apply) Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone* (See note below)							
	Note: If the property is over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone, the Hydrogeologic Report and karst surveys must be completed and signed by a Professional Geoscientist Licensed in the State of Texas.							
6.	DOES THIS PROJECT PROPOSE FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATION?							
	** If yes, then a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM 1.7 and Appendix X for forms and guidance) unless conditions 1 or 3 above apply.							
7.	IF THE SITE IS WITHIN AN URBAN OR SUBURBAN WATERSHED, DOES THIS PROJECT PROPOSE A UTILITY LINE PARALLEL TO AND WITHIN THE CRITICAL WATER QUALITY ZONE? \Box YES*** \blacksquare NO							
	***If yes, then riparian restoration is required by LDC 25-8-261(E) or City Code 30-5-261(E) and a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM1.5 and Appendix X for forms and guidance).							
8.	There is a total of <u>0</u> (#'s) Critical Environmental Feature(s)(CEFs) on or within150 feet of the project site. If CEF(s) are present, attach a detailed DESCRIPTION of the CEF(s), color PHOTOGRAPHS , the CEF WORKSHEET and provide DESCRIPTIONS of the proposed							

CEF buffer(s) and/or wetland mitigation. Provide the number of each type of CEFs on or

within 150 feet of the site (Please provide the number of CEFs):

	$\underline{0}$ (#'s) Spring(s)/Seep(s) $\underline{0}$ (#'s) Point Recharge Feature(s) $\underline{0}$ (#'s) Bluff(s)
	0 (#'s) Canyon Rimrock(s) 0 (#'s) Wetland(s)
	Note: Standard buffers for CEFs are 150 feet, with a maximum of 300 feet for point recharge features Except for wetlands, if the standard buffer is <u>not provided</u> , you must provide a written request for are administrative variance from LDC 25-8-281(C)(1) and provide written findings of fact to support your request. <u>Request forms for administrative variances from requirements stated in LDC 25-8-281 are available from Watershed Protection Department.</u>
9.	The following site maps are attached at the end of this report (Check all that apply and provide):
	All ERI reports must include: X Site Specific Geologic Map with 2-ft Topography X Historic Aerial Photo of the Site X Site Soil Map □ Critical Environmental Features and Well Location Map on current Aerial Photo with 2-ft Topography
	Only if present on site (Maps can be combined): □ Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone with the 1500-ft Verification Zone (Only if site is over or within 1500 feet the recharge zone) □ Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone
	 Water Quality Transition Zone (WQTZ) Critical Water Quality Zone (CWQZ) City of Austin Fully Developed Floodplains for all water courses with up to 64-acres of drainage
10	. HYDROGEOLOGIC REPORT - Provide a description of site soils, topography, and site

0

9.

specific geology below (Attach additional sheets if needed):

Surface Soils on the project site is summarized in the table below and uses the SCS Hydrologic Soil Groups*. If there is more than one soil unit on the project site, show each soil unit on the site soils map.

Soil Series Unit Names, Infiltration Characteristics & Thickness								
Soil Series Unit Name & Subgroup**	Thickness (feet)							

*Soil Hydrologic Groups **Definitions** (Abbreviated)

0

- A. Soils having a high infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
- B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
- C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.
- D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted.

**Subgroup Classification - See Classification of Soil Series Table in County Soil Survey.

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 2 of 6

Description of Site Topography and Drainage (Attach additional sheets if needed):							
List surface geologic units belo	ow:						
	eologic Units Exposed at Surface						
Group	Formation	Member					
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Brief description of site geolog	y (Attach additional sheets if needed):						
Wells – Identify all recorded and	unrecorded wells on site (test ho	oles, monitoring, water, oil.					
unplugged, capped and/or abando		nee, memering, mater, eii,					
_ 0							
There are $\underline{0}$ (#) wells present on	the project site and the location	s are shown and labeled					
$\underline{0}$ (#'s)The wells are no	ot in use and have been properly	abandoned.					
$\underline{0}$ (#'s)The wells are no	ot in use and will be properly aba	andoned.					
0(#'s)The wells are in	use and comply with 16 TAC C	hapter 76.					
•	ff-site and within 150 feet of this	•					

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 3 of 6

11. **THE VEGETATION REPORT** – Provide the information requested below: Brief description of site plant communities (Attach additional sheets if needed): This site contains manicured grass and 33 existing trees If yes, list the dominant species below: **Woodland species** Scientific Name Common Name If yes, list the dominant species below: Grassland/prairie/savanna species Common Name Scientific Name

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 4 of 6

If yes, list the dominant species in table below (next page):

Hyd	rophytic plant species	
Common Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status
half feet above natural gra NO (Check one).	with a diameter of at least eight in ade level has been completed on the information requested.	the site.
	Provide the information requested	
Wastewater for the site wi ☐ On-site system(s)	Il be treated by (Check of that Apply):	
== 011 0110 0 y 0101111(0)	tralized sewage collection system	
☐ Other Centralized	· · ·	
	er or wastewater service from the Austin vells must be registered with the City of A	
The site sewage collection all State, County and City ☐YES ☒ NO (Check one).	n system is designed and will be o standard specifications.	constructed to in accordance to
Calculations of the size of the end of this report or shapped \square YES \square NO \square Not App	•	igation area(s) are attached at
	posed within the Critical Water Qu If yes, then provide justification b	

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 5 of 6

Is the project site is over the Edwards Aquit \square YES $leve{\Delta}$ NO (Check one).	fer?
If yes, then describe the wastewater dispositive level and effects on receiving watercourses	sal systems proposed for the site, its treatment
level and effects of receiving watercourses	of the Edwards Aquiler.
42 One (4) hard converted one (4) electronic co	my of the commisted accomment have been
One (1) hard copy and one (1) electronic co provided.	py of the completed assessment have been
Date(s) ERI Field Assessment was performed:	07-07=2022
	Date(s)
My signature certifies that to the best of my know reflect all information requested.	wledge, the responses on this form accurately
Jim Wittliff	512-416-6611
Print Name	Telephone
Jim Wittliff	landanswers@sbcglobal.net
Signature	Email Address
Land Answers, Inc.	October 31, 2022
Name of Company	Date
For project sites within the Edwards Aquifer Rechathat I am a licensed Professional Geoscientist in th 1.12.3(A).	
	P.G.

WPD ERM ERI-2014-01 Page 6 of 6

Seal

City of Austin Environmental Resource Inventory - Critical Environmental Feature Worksheet

1	Project Name:	
2	Project Address:	4544 E Oltorf
3	Site Visit Date:	07-07-2022
4	Environmental Resource Inventory Date:	10-30-2022

5	Primary Contact Name:	Jim Wittliff
6	Phone Number:	512-416-6611
7	Prepared By:	Jim Wittliff
8	Email Address:	landanswers@sbcglobal.net

9	FEATURE TYPE {Wetland,Rimrock, Bluffs,Recharge	FEATURE ID (eg S-1)	FEATURE LONGITUI (WGS 1984 in Mete	rs)	FEATURE LATITUDI (WGS 1984 in Meter	rs)	DIMENS		DIMEN	CK/BLUFF SIONS (ft)		DIN	/IENS	EATURE IONS	Springs Est. Discharge
	Feature,Spring}	(38 - 7	coordinate	notation	coordinate	notation	Х	Y	Length	Avg Height	Х	Υ	Z	Trend	cfs

City of Austin Use Only
CASE NUMBER:

For rimrock, locate the midpoint of the segment that describes the feature.

For wetlands, locate the approximate centroid of the feature and the estimated area.

*

For a spring or seep, locate the source of groundwater that feeds a pool or stream.



Please state the method of coordinate data collection and the approximate precision and accuracy of the points and the unit of measurement.

 Method
 Accuracy


 GPS
 □
 sub-meter
 □

 Surveyed
 □
 meter
 □

 Other
 □
 > 1 meter
 □

Professional Geologists apply seal below

WPD ERM ERI-CEF-01 Page 7 of 8





1977 Aerial Photo





Legend

Jurisdictions Fill

Jurisdiction

FULL PURPOSE


Notes

0 150 300 ft 10/31/2022

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey. This product has been produced by the City of Austin for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

Property Profile

2008 Aerial Photo



AUSTUNITULL FÜRPÖSE

Legend

Property

Addresses

.

Jurisdictions (No Fill)

FULL PURPOSE

Jurisdictions Fill

Jurisdiction


FULL PURPOSE

0 150 300

10/31/2022

This product is for informational purposes and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. It does not represent an on-the-ground survey. This product has been produced by the City of Austin for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

Notes



MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION Area of Interest (AOI) The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils D Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Soil Rating Polygons Not rated or not available Α Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause **Water Features** A/D misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil Streams and Canals line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of В contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Transportation scale. B/D Rails ---Interstate Highways Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map C/D **US Routes** measurements. Major Roads Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Not rated or not available Local Roads Web Soil Survey URL: -Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Soil Rating Lines Background Aerial Photography Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: Travis County, Texas Not rated or not available Survey Area Data: Version 21, Sep 12, 2019 **Soil Rating Points** Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales Α 1:50.000 or larger. A/D Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 27, 2018—Nov 16. 2018 B/D The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
FhF3	Ferris-Heiden complex, 8 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded	D	12.1	98.5%
HnC2	Houston Black clay, 3 to 5 percent slopes, moderately eroded	D	0.2	1.5%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		12.3	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

