

MOTION SHEET #1 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Remove the requirement for fee-in-lieu funds to be utilized within a quarter mile of a corridor.

§ 25-2-769.06 (H) (1) (c). ~~the applicant demonstrates that the fee-in-lieu funds can be used within one-quarter of a mile of a corridor.~~

MOTION SHEET #2 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Ensure that the changes in compatibility for a bonus on medium corridors align with Council resolution.

§ 25-2-769.06 (G) (3). For a site located on a medium corridor, the maximum height for a structure is:

- (a) 65 feet if the structure is located at least ~~100-150~~ feet from a triggering property; or
- (b) ~~85 feet if the structure is located at least 150 feet from a triggering property.;~~
~~or~~
- (e) 90 feet if the structure is located at least 250 feet from a triggering property.

- Ensure that the by right changes in compatibility on medium corridors align with Council resolution, by amending § 25-2-769.04 (B) (4), adding another subsection, and renumbering accordingly.

§ 25-2-769.04 (B) (4). a structure or a portion of a structure on ~~at the~~ site on a light rail line or larger corridor is constructed within 200 feet of a triggering property; and

§ 25-2-769.04 (B) (5). a structure or a portion of a structure on a site on a medium corridor is constructed within 300 feet of a triggering property; and

- Ensure that the by right changes in compatibility on medium corridors align with Council resolution and are consistent throughout the ordinance.

Amend § 25-2-769.04 (B)(5)(d), (C)(3), (C)(4)(d), (D), and (F)(3) accordingly.

MOTION SHEET #3 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Amend the language to clarify what is allowed in the required 25 feet setback.

§ 25-2-769.04 (E). For a corridor site with at least one residential use and no short-term rental uses, a setback required by Section 25-2-1062 (Height Limitations And Setbacks For Small Sites) and Section 25-2-1063 (Height Limitations And Setbacks For Large Sites) may include a structure if the structure ~~does not exceed 35 feet in height and cannot be used as a dwelling or for refuse collection~~ complies with this subsection.

- (1) The structure cannot exceed 35 feet in height.
- (2) The structure cannot be used as a dwelling, multi-level parking facility or for refuse collection.
- (3) The structure cannot include enclosed walls or a roof except for screening and walls that are part of stormwater or other critical infrastructure.
- (4) The structure cannot include industrial or large commercial HVAC systems.

MOTION SHEET #4 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Amend the language of § 25-6-471 (L) to ensure that the reduction in parking requirements on a light rail line is allowed for mixed-use developments where the use is primarily residential.

§ 25-6-471 (L). This subsection applies to a corridor development that is located on a light rail line and consists ~~only~~ of a development where at least 75 percent of uses are residential uses.

- Amend the language of § 25-6-471 (K) (5) to align with the above.

§ 25-6-471 (K) (5). The required off-street parking for a corridor development that includes residential and non-residential uses and is located on a light rail line is 25 percent of the parking required for the use under Appendix A (Tables of Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements), except as provided in Subsection (L).

MOTION SHEET #5 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Ensure that the Corridor Overlay (COR) District can be used in conjunction with other density bonus programs, without requiring that the affordable housing requirements for both programs be satisfied separately, and renumber accordingly.

§ 25-2-769.06 (I). Other Density Bonus Programs. (1) If a Corridor Overlay (COR) District development is also eligible to utilize a separate density bonus program that grants density bonuses for the provision of on-site affordable dwelling units or for the payment of a fee-in-lieu for affordable housing, then the Corridor Overlay (COR) District development may comply with the least restrictive site development requirements, provided it meets the higher affordability requirement from among the two programs.

MOTION SHEET #6 V2

I move to amend Item 56 as follows:

- Require an annual report with data to assess the effectiveness of the program.

The City Manager is directed to analyze participation in this program, including assessing its impact on expanding housing capacity and creating affordable housing units, and report to Council on an annual basis.