Case No.:	
(City use only)	Ī

1 of 14

Environmental Resource Inventory

For the City of Austin Related to LDC 25-8-121, City Code 30-5-121, ECM 1.3.0 & 1.10.0

The ERI is required for projects that meet one or more of the criteria listed in LDC 25-8-121(A), City Code 30-5-121(A).

- 1. SITE/PROJECT NAME: Oltorf Site Plan
- 2. COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT PROPERTY ID (#'s): 0310080502
- 3. ADDRESS/LOCATION OF PROJECT: 4544 E Oltorf
- 4. WATERSHED: Colorado River
- 5. THIS SITE IS WITHIN THE (Check all that apply)

Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone* (See note below)	ΔNo
Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone*	XNo
Edwards Aquifer 1500 ft Verification Zone* DYES	XNo
Barton Spring Zone*	XNo
*(as defined by the City of Austin – LDC 25-8-2 or City Code 30-5-2)	

Note: If the property is over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone, the Hydrogeologic Report and karst surveys must be completed and signed by a Professional Geoscientist Licensed in the State of Texas.

- - (1) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary to protect the public health and safety;
 - □ (2) The floodplain modifications proposed would provide a significant, demonstrable environmental benefit, as determined by a **functional assessment** of floodplain health as prescribed by the Environmental Criteria Manual (ECM), or
 - (3) The floodplain modifications proposed are necessary for development allowed in the critical water **quality zone under LDC 25-8-261 or 25-8-262**, City Code 30-5-261 or 30-5-262.
 - (4) The floodplain modifications proposed are outside of the Critical Water Quality Zone in an area determined to be in poor or fair condition by a **functional assessment** of floodplain health.

** If yes, then a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM 1.7 and Appendix X for forms and guidance) unless conditions 1 or 3 above apply.

***If yes, then riparian restoration is required by LDC 25-8-261(E) or City Code 30-5-261(E) and a functional assessment must be completed and attached to the ERI (see ECM1.5 and Appendix X for forms and guidance).

There is a total of <u>0</u> (#'s) Critical Environmental Feature(s)(CEFs) on or within150 feet of the project site. If CEF(s) are present, attach a detailed **DESCRIPTION** of the CEF(s), color **PHOTOGRAPHS**, the **CEF WORKSHEET** and provide **DESCRIPTIONS** of the proposed CEF buffer(s) and/or wetland mitigation. Provide the number of each type of CEFs on or within 150 feet of the site (*Please provide the number of CEFs*):

0 (#'s) Spring(s)/Seep(s) 0 (#'s) Point Recharge Feature(s) 0 (#'s) Bluff(s)

0 (#'s) Canyon Rimrock(s) 0 (#'s) Wetland(s)

Note: Standard buffers for CEFs are 150 feet, with a maximum of 300 feet for point recharge features. Except for wetlands, if the standard buffer is <u>not provided</u>, you must provide a written request for an administrative variance from LDC 25-8-281(C)(1) and provide written findings of fact to support your request. <u>Request forms for administrative variances from requirements stated in LDC 25-8-281 are available from Watershed Protection Department.</u>

9. The following site maps are attached at the end of this report (Check all that apply and provide):

All ERI reports must include:

- **X** Site Specific Geologic Map with 2-ft Topography
- X Historic Aerial Photo of the Site
- X Site Soil Map
- □ Critical Environmental Features and Well Location Map on current Aerial Photo with 2-ft Topography

Only if present on site (Maps can be combined):

- □ Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone with the 1500-ft Verification Zone (Only if site is over or within 1500 feet the recharge zone)
- **Edwards Aquifer Contributing Zone**
- □ Water Quality Transition Zone (WQTZ)
- □ Critical Water Quality Zone (CWQZ)
- □ City of Austin Fully Developed Floodplains for all water courses with up to 64-acres of drainage
- 10. **HYDROGEOLOGIC REPORT –** Provide a description of site soils, topography, and site specific geology below (*Attach additional sheets if needed*):

Surface Soils on the project site is summarized in the table below and uses the SCS Hydrologic Soil Groups*. If there is more than one soil unit on the project site, show each soil unit on the site soils map.

Soil Series Unit Names, Infiltration Characteristics & Thickness				
Soil Series Unit Name & Subgroup**	Group*	Thickness (feet)		

*Soil Hydrologic Groups Definitions *(Abbreviated)*

- A. Soils having a <u>high infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- B. Soils having a <u>moderate</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- C. Soils having a <u>slow infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.
- D. Soils having a <u>very slow</u> <u>infiltration</u> rate when thoroughly wetted.

**Subgroup Classification – See <u>Classification of Soil Series</u> Table in County Soil Survey.

Description of Site Topography and Drainage (Attach additional sheets if needed):

List surface geologic units below:

Ge	eologic Units Exposed at Surface Formation	<u>)</u>
Group	Formation	Member

Brief description of site geology (Attach additional sheets if needed):

Wells – Identify all recorded and unrecorded wells on site (test holes, monitoring, water, oil, unplugged, capped and/or abandoned wells, etc.):

There are $\underline{0}_{(\#)}$ wells present on the project site and the locations are shown and labeled

<u>**0**</u> (#'s)The wells are not in use and have been properly abandoned.

<u>**0**</u> (#'s)The wells are not in use and will be properly abandoned.

 $0_{(\#'s)}$ The wells are in use and comply with 16 TAC Chapter 76.

There are $0_{(\#s)}$ wells that are off-site and within 150 feet of this site.

11. **THE VEGETATION REPORT** – Provide the information requested below:

Brief description of site plant communities (Attach additional sheets if needed):

This site contains manicured grass and 33 existing trees

Woodland species			
Common Name	Scientific Name		

Grassland/prairie/savanna species				
Common Name Scientific Name				

Hydr	ophytic plant species	
Common Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status

A tree survey of all trees with a diameter of at least eight inches measured four and onehalf feet above natural grade level has been completed on the site.

 \mathbf{X} YES \Box NO (Check one).

12. WASTEWATER REPORT – Provide the information requested below.

Wastewater for the site will be treated by (Check of that Apply):

- \Box On-site system(s)
- X City of Austin Centralized sewage collection system
- Other Centralized collection system

Note: All sites that receive water or wastewater service from the Austin Water Utility must comply with City Code Chapter 15-12 and wells must be registered with the City of Austin

The site sewage collection system is designed and will be constructed to in accordance to all State, County and City standard specifications. \Box YES \boxtimes NO (*Check one*).

Calculations of the size of the drainfield or wastewater irrigation area(s) are attached at the end of this report or shown on the site plan. \Box YES \Box NO \blacksquare Not Applicable (*Check one*).

Wastewater lines are proposed within the Critical Water Quality Zone? \Box YES \mathbf{X} NO *(Check one)*. If yes, then provide justification below:

Is the project site is over the Edwards Aquifer? \Box YES $\textcircled{\Delta}$ NO *(Check one).*

If yes, then describe the wastewater disposal systems proposed for the site, its treatment level and effects on receiving watercourses or the Edwards Aquifer.

13. One (1) hard copy and one (1) electronic copy of the completed assessment have been provided.

Date(s) ERI Field Assessment was performed: 07-07=2022

Date(s)

My signature certifies that to the best of my knowledge, the responses on this form accurately reflect all information requested.

Jim Wittliff	512-416-6611
Print Name	Telephone
Jim Wittliff	landanswers@sbcglobal.net
Signature	Email Address
Land Answers, Inc.	October 31, 2022
Name of Company	Date

For project sites within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, my signature and seal also certifies that I am a licensed Professional Geoscientist in the State of Texas as defined by ECM 1.12.3(A).

P.G. Seal

City of Austin Environmental Resource Inventory - Critical Environmental Feature Worksheet

1	Project Name:	Oltorf Site Plan	5	Primary Contact Name:
2	Project Address:	4544 E Oltorf	6	Phone Number:
3	Site Visit Date:	07-07-2022	7	Prepared By:
4	Environmental Resource Inventory Date:	10-30-2022	8	Email Address:

9	FEATURE TYPE {Wetland,Rimrock, Bluffs,Recharge	FEATURE ID	FEATURE LONGITU (WGS 1984 in Mete		FEATURE LATITUD (WGS 1984 in Meter			LAND IONS (ft)	RIMRO DIMEN
ľ	Feature,Spring}	(eg S-1)	coordinate	notation	coordinate	notation	X	Y	Length

City of Austin Use Only CASE NUMBER:			Please state precision a Method	
			GPS	
For rimrock, locate the midpoint of the	For wetlands, locate the	For a spring or seep, locate	Surveyed	
segment that describes the feature.	approximate centroid of the feature and the estimated area.	For a spring or seep, locate the source of groundwater that feeds a pool or stream.	Other	□ Profe
	*	Ċ		

Jim Wittliff
512-416-6611
Jim Wittliff
landanswers@sbcglobal.net

	CK/BLUFF	RE	CHA	rge f	Springs Est.	
ENSIONS (ft)			DIN	/ENS	Discharge	
۱	Avg Height	Х	Υ	Ζ	Trend	cfs

ethod of coordinate data collection and the approximate aracy of the points and the unit of measurement.

Accuracy

sub-meter 🛛

meter

>1 meter


essional Geologists apply seal below


Property Profile Geological Map with 2ft Contours



10/31/2022









19

)

MAP LEGEND Area of Interest (AOI) С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils D Soil Rating Polygons Not rated or not available А Water Features A/D Streams and Canals -В Transportation scale. B/D Rails С Interstate Highways C/D US Routes measurements. \sim D Major Roads Not rated or not available Local Roads Web Soil Survey URL: ~ Soil Rating Lines Background А Aerial Photography A/D B B/D С C/D D Not rated or not available an ai Soil Rating Points А 1:50.000 or larger. A/D В 16.2018 B/D

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Travis County, Texas Survey Area Data: Version 21, Sep 12, 2019

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 27, 2018-Nov

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
FhF3	Ferris-Heiden complex, 8 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded	D	12.1	98.5%		
HnC2	Houston Black clay, 3 to 5 percent slopes, moderately eroded	D	0.2	1.5%		
Totals for Area of Interes	st	12.3	100.0%			

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

