Compat	ibility													
No.	Commissione	Reference	Pg	Proposed Amendment	Proposed Text Change (Underline added text/Strikethrough	Text Change	References and Notes (if	WG Vote	Azhar	Cox Vote	Haynes	Johnson	Maxwell	Phillips
1	Johnson	Draft Ordinance v1	3	Clarify existing language that "compatibility" height limits do not apply to zoning categories with a maximum height of up 40 feet (remove redundancy).	Strike from lines 54-57: "less than 40 feet and the site is zoned:(1) neighborhood office (NO);(2) limited office (LO); or(3) neighborhood-commercial (LR)" and replace with the words "40 feet or less."		Current language was vague - I propose changing the language just to clarify that districts with a height limit equal to or less than 40 feet are exempt from compatibility height restrictions, and to simplify the text.	5/6	Yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
2	Johnson	Draft Ordinance v1	3-4	Clarify existing language regarding which districts compatibility buffers apply to.	Replace (B)(2) beginning on line 72 with: (2) A compatibility buffer is not required if the site is zoned: (a) neighborhood office (NO); (b) limited office (LO); or (c) neighborhood commercial (LR).	Yes	Updated per 4/15 WG meeting	5/6	Yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
3	Johnson	Draft Ordinance v1	4	Reduce the width of a required buffer on narrow lots (less than 75 feet wide), to a 15-foot wide Screening Zone; allow up to 35ft of height next to the increased screening zone.	Replace lines 60-64 with the following: (D) Except as provided by Subsection (E) below or a site-specific amendment to this section, a structure that is located:		Updated on 4/16 - narrow lots can use a 15-foot buffer (with an increased Screening Zone) instead of the 25 ft buffer on larger lots (10' screening/15' restricted). Allow up to 35 ft next to screening zone on narrow lots. Increase planting requirements by ~50% in 15' screening zone - additional small tree, additional 5 shrubs.		Yes	yes	Yes	yes	yes	
4	Haynes	Draft Ordinance v1	Page 3, Line 58	Clarify in language that this subsection applies to distances	measured from the triggering property line(s)) must comply with the			6/6	Yes	Yes	Yes	yes	yes	yes
5	Azhar	Draft Ordinance v1	General Amendme nt	of 75 feet or more Revise the minimum requirements for compatibility buffers in §25-8-700 to provide greater flexibility, particularly as it relates to the screening zone. to allow for flexibility in the screening criteria.		No	This should allow for more flexibility and ensure that different aspects of the code are in appropriate sections.	5/6	Yes	No	Yes	yes	yes	Yes
6	Azhar	Draft Ordinance v1	General Amendme nt	Ensure that the compatibility requirements for all exisiting, revised, and new density bonus programs match the requirements for the new density bonus program being considered in 2024.		No	This will maximize participation in affordable housing bonus programs and expand community benefits.	5/6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

-	7	Maxwell	Draft	Page 2,	Provides review and waiver	Except as provided in Subsection (B), council may grant site-specific	Yes	This allows for a wholistic	4/6	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes	
			Ordinance v1	Line 37-	process for small sites and for	amendments to height limits established in Section 25-2-1061		review waiver options of non-						
				39	additional uses in the	(Compatibility Height Limits) or compatability buffer established in		traditional sites & flexibility of						
					compatibility zone.	Section 25-2-1062 (Compatibility Buffer And Setbacks) if council		use for the compatability zone						
						determines that an amendment is appropriate and will not harm the		if needed.						