

Mail body: Fwd: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

Here is a reiteration from Amber in response to a Builder that I was working with:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jared Gossett** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thursday, November 16, 2023

Subject: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

To: "Allen, Amber" <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>

Cc: [REDACTED], jules caplan <[REDACTED]>

Thank you Amber. This is helpful.

On Thu, Nov 16, 2023 at 10:56 Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Hi Jared,

Per City of Austin Code, historic review is not required for demolition of noncontributing properties. That is the policy we follow. We **will not** review demolition for these properties. I'm not sure what further confirmation you need, I have stated this several times. Please let me know if you require further discussion.

Amber Allen

Planner III, Historic Preservation Office

City of Austin – Planning Department

T: 512.974.3393

E: Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov

From: Jared Gossett <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2023 7:57 AM

To: jules caplan <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

External Email - Exercise Caution

Good morning Amber, my concern is that a well known judge lived in the house. Does that require it to go in front of HLC, or does it being non-contributing automatically give it a green light for demo no matter who lived there?

On Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 19:24 jules caplan <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jared and Getinet,

Amber,

My understanding from Amber is that because this is in a historic district, and it has been already established that this is a non-contributing structure, that it will not be required to go in front of historic landmark commission. It's my further understanding that if this is passed on to historic review, that they will not change their position, and not require this to be heard in front of the historic land commission, nor tag it for anything other than an administrative review. Amber did make it clear that new construction would have to go for historic review. This is my understanding from what she has confirmed. Please reply all if you have further questions. Thank you.

Amber thank you, we're working on a short deadline here. This property is for sale and there is another builder with an offer in, and this question is important to Mr. Gossett, who is thinking of purchasing the property. I sure appreciate your replies. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jules

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Allen, Amber** <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>

Date: Tuesday, November 14, 2023

Subject: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

To: jules caplan <[REDACTED]>

You are correct.

Amber Allen

Planner III, Historic Preservation Office

City of Austin – Planning Department

T: 512.974.3393

E: Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov

From: jules caplan <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2023 3:40 PM

To: Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>

Subject: Re: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

External Email - Exercise Caution

Dear Amber,

Thank you for looking this up, thank you for calling, and thank you annotating the map and emailing it.

I want to be certain of my understanding of our conversation: 2307 Windsor Rd., #2 is a non-contributing structure, and therefore it does not have to go in front of historic review for demolition. New construction will have to go in front of historic review. In other words there are no other circumstances that could trigger it being required to be reviewed by historic review for demolition since it is a Non-contributing structure. Is that correct? Please confirm my understanding. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jules

On Tuesday, November 14, 2023, Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Hi Jules,

I've included a Google Map and annotated it with notes.

Thanks,

Amber Allen

Planner III, Historic Preservation Office

City of Austin – Planning Department

T: 512.974.3393

E: Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov

From: jules caplan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 4:34 PM
To: Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>
Subject: Re: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

External Email - Exercise Caution

Thank you much Amber,

Jules

On Monday, November 13, 2023, Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov> wrote:

Hi Jules,

Andrew is no longer with the City, he left about a year ago. I apologize for missing your call, I've been in back-to-back meetings and currently on my way to an evening Committee meeting. I'll be sure to try and call you back tomorrow.

Thanks,

Amber Allen

Planner III, Historic Preservation Office

City of Austin – Planning Department

T: 512.974.3393

E: Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov

From: jules caplan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 3:28 PM
To: Allen, Amber <Amber.Allen@austintexas.gov>; Rice, Andrew <andrew.rice@austintexas.gov>
Subject: 2307 Windsor Rd #2

External Email - Exercise Caution

Dear Amber, and Andrew,

I wonder if one of you all could call me please and let me know if there is any file on 2307 Windsor Rd. Number 2. This is an old house that is being sold and I understand that it was occupied by a Judge Trueman O'Quinn. I'm wondering if it's listed as a contributing structure in old Enfield. I can't seem to find that document online. I'm also wondering if you all have done in any study on this house or if you have a file on it already. Your help is much appreciated. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jules

[REDACTED]

CAUTION: This is an EXTERNAL email. Please use caution when clicking links or opening attachments. If you believe this to be a malicious or phishing email, please report it using the "Report Message" button in Outlook or forward to cybersecurity@austintexas.gov.