

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WFTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
1	Increase outdoor water restrictions in all stages of drought in the DCP, including possible elimination of all outdoor water use in Stage 5 of the DCP.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	1	Can we further limit outdoor irrigation during each drought stage? For example, rather than one day a week in stage 4, maybe it is every other week and only for 30 minutes? The permitted irrigation seems too high during all the stages.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP
			2	Low hanging fruit is outdoor irrigation. Can we have even more stringent outdoor irrigation rules that apply to commercial irrigation?	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP
			3	Look at more stringent irrigation restrictions in drought stages	Vanessa Puig-Williams	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Drought	DCP
			4	Outdoor irrigation restrictions are too lenient at each DCP stage.	Vanessa Puig-Williams	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Drought	DCP
			5	Suggested in stage 4 or 5, outdoor watering may be limited to every other week instead of weekly	Vanessa Puig-Williams	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Drought	DCP
			6	Cut off all outdoor water usage at some point in extreme drought and clarify that sooner rather than later in stage 5. 6/26 Clarification: include language in Stage 5 that anticipates DWDR and acknowledged need for potentially more severe restrictions such as cutting off all outdoor irrigation.	Robert Mace	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Drought	DCP
2	Limited hours for patio misters	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	7	Have we evaluated this measure [patio misters limited hours] in terms of actual water savings? Have we evaluated this measure in terms of overall climate goals? For example, if a restaurant could provide outdoor seating during lunch with patio misters, versus expanding their air-conditioned seating in order to provide lunch seating, which is a better outcome for our overall water use and climate goals?	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP
3	Analyze and potentially limit the number of new pool permits and rules for refilling.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	8	New pool permits: Has there been an analysis of the number of pool permits per year from 2016-2024? Anecdotally there seems to be a big increase in home swimming pools, in both existing and new construction. If there has been an increase in new pool permits, do new pool permit moratoriums need to be instituted in an earlier drought stage given there are more of them and may have a greater effect on water use than in previous DCPs?	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Pools	WCP
			9	Look at number of private pool permit/increase in number of private pools since last DCP/WCP was done. Look at pool refilling provision.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Pools	WCP
4	Expand existing reuse ordinance to require all new industrial users to connect to the reclaimed system or utilize onsite reuse.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	10	Introduce/add an ordinance where new industry manufacturing facilities of a certain size have a requirement on them for using reclaimed. Hold them to a higher standard of not wasting what they can save	Madelline Mathis	5/2/2024	Water Forward 24 Working Group Meeting	Reuse	WCP/WF24
			11	Develop an ordinance requiring new manufacturing facilities to use reclaimed	Madelline Mathis	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Reuse	WCP
5	Connect the Travis County Courthouse to the reclaimed system.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	12	Connect Travis County court house hooked up to reclaimed.	Bill Moriarty	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Reuse	WCP
			13	Completion of the reclaimed water hook up to the new Travis County Courthouse.	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
6	Evaluate and consider connecting the State Capital Complex to the reclaimed system.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	14	An evaluation of the State Capital Complex for a complete conversion to reclaimed water for non-potable purposes. (Irrigation, air conditioning chillers, ornamentals, future buildings that could be dual plumbed	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
7	Evaluate and consider connecting the UT campus to the reclaimed system.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	15	An evaluation of the University of Texas Campus for a complete conversion to reclaimed water for non-potable purposes. (Irrigation, air conditioning chillers, ornamentals, future buildings that could be dual plumbed	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
8	Perform an analysis and consider expanding the voluntary reclaimed water rebate.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	16	WCP - Voluntary reclaimed water rebate - this program merits further analysis to determine if increasing the rebate offer would increase the number of hookups to reduce demand for potable water. The response to the question I asked reiterated that it offsets costs and the rebate is based on the number of zones or cooling tonnage, which is helpful but it doesn't tell me what the rebate would be relative to the cost to the customer to hook up. Is it 10% of the cost, 50% of the cost?	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
			17	Analysis on Voluntary Reclaimed Water Rebate to determine if increasing rebate offer would increase the number of hookups to reduce demand for potable water	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
9	Consider providing cost-sharing or additional incentives to promote onsite reuse in low-income housing.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	18	Would like to see low-income housing have the long-term cost benefits that residents would have from it. Maybe initial cost for developer to do so in low-income housing could have cost-sharing or other incentive implemented. Net-Zero goal for all benefits.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024, 5/29/2024		Reuse	WF24
10	Expand CII ordinances to include upgraded standards and requirements for reclaimed water.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	19	Commercial, Institutional, and Industrial Ordinances: Yes! Is there any newer more efficient standards we could have them upgraded to? Could they be required to use the centralized reclaim water for this?	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WF24
11	Increase enforcement efforts.	Water Conservation Strategy - Will be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	20	We need to be a lot more visible out on the ground with enforcement, as we were in 2011.	Jennifer Walker	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Education/O outreach/Enforcement	DCP
			21	Advertising and enforcement needs to be ramped up and built up for long term.	Jennifer Walker	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Education/O outreach/Enforcement	WCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WFTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
12	Expand public oversight into the SER process, especially regarding new large industrial users.	Policy Decision - Will be discussed and considered	22	<p>My main concern with our Drought Contingency Plan and Water Conservation Plan is that over the next decades, Austinites will work diligently to conserve water, yet we will fail to manage where our supply goes. As it stands, all our hard-earned gains on water conservation are vulnerable to being instantly wiped away by massive new industrial users coming onto our system. It's a glaring hole in our planning process. And it exists because of an outdated east-west inequity in our city, which allows for projects in the so-called Drinking Water Protection Zone to receive heightened levels of public scrutiny while those in the so-called Desired Development Zone get staff approval with no boards or council oversight.</p> <p>Consider the anticipated goals in the Water Conservation Plan: by 2029 we are hoping to save 245 million gallons of water each year through the increased use of our reclaimed water system. Likewise we are hoping to save 266 million gallons of water each year through landscape transformation. Each of those monumental efforts—which require massive resources from utility staff and buy-in from tens of thousands of Austin residents—would be more than canceled out by a new industrial user like the next Tesla coming into our system. That's an unacceptable way to plan for the long-term future of our water supply and demand, and it should be addressed in the WF24 update.</p> <p>I disagree fundamentally. Here's the difference between a gallon of water consumed by a household, a small business, or even a government building, and that same gallon consumed by Samsung (by far the largest user of water in Austin): one uses it for their livelihood or for public interest activities, the other strictly for profit. The return on every dollar spent on water by an industrial user is far higher. These two distinct forms of water consumption cannot be evaluated or weighed in the same way—nor should their service extension requests be processed the same way.</p> <p>Yes, people in Austin work at these high-water-consuming facilities, and the companies pay taxes (giant tax incentives and subsidies notwithstanding for the sake of argument), so it can be claimed that we all receive some direct and indirect benefits from their presence here. However, we locals also receive burdens, one of which is the huge strain on our water resources. Meanwhile, the corporations and their distant shareholders see their profit margins increase as they build more and use more of Austin's water. So, we should think twice before we turn on the tap to new industrial users. The analysis may be complex, but I'm confident we have the expertise to do it. It's our responsibility to consider whether welcoming these facilities is, on balance, in the best interest of the city. Which leads to the next point.</p> <p>I agree completely. Balancing competing priorities so that the public interest ultimately prevails is the job of our elected members of City Council. We've entrusted them, as well as their appointed community members on boards and commissions, to study the issues and make sound policy choices for the benefit of Austin.</p> <p>That is exactly why it makes no sense to cut our representatives out of major decisions like whether or not to hook up a new industrial user of the city's water. A transparent process of public oversight and input is critical to the healthy functioning of a democratic local government. Right now, we don't have that when it comes to the approval of service extension requests.</p> <p>Even AW staff themselves admit that determining who should have access to water and who shouldn't is not a choice they want to make. That's fair. Many times, it's an easy call: for the hundreds of relatively minor SERs to residential developments or small businesses, administrative approval is surely appropriate. But for the largest and most impactful industrial projects, which will be few in number, we must have the capacity to pull them out of the pile and subject them to a higher level of scrutiny. We also need to move past the old dichotomy between the so-called "Drinking Water Protection Zone" and the "Desired Development Zone" which has led to decreased environmental quality on the east side of Austin for so many decades. I'm stunned that our city still maintains policies that contribute to longstanding and tangible inequities in our communities.</p> <p>My proposal therefore is simple: extend the same level of public oversight that projects west of Austin receive to major projects east of Austin. That includes water planning and service extension requests, especially for large industrial projects, which are fundamentally different than other regular uses. I recommend, as part of the 2024 Water Forward Plan updates, that Austin Water change its policy regarding service extension requests. The Council should set certain criteria, such as a threshold of annual water consumption, above which any service extension request must be reviewed by the Environmental Commission, the Water and Wastewater Commission, and ultimately approved or denied by the full Council, as is the case currently with SERs west of Austin.</p> <p>As we all buckle down in one of the worst droughts in our state's history, we cannot afford to erase the gains of our conservation efforts because we unnecessarily abdicate control over water planning. The people of Austin and our representatives on the Council must have a say in these critical decisions.</p>	Paul DiFiore	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Industrial Users/SERs	WF24
			23	I reiterate and support the comments from Paul DiFiore regarding SERs	Sarah Faust		Written email feedback	Industrial Users/SERs	WCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WFTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
13	Increase resources for voluntary water budgeting and implement mandatory water budgeting sooner.	Related to existing Customer Side Data-Driven Water Use Management strategy - Comments will be considered in evaluation of strategy	24	Voluntary water budgeting outreach - suggested thinking about what resources are going into that outreach and making sure it's robust program.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Water Budgeting	WCP
			25	Use mandatory water budgeting. Have more requirement and restrictions in the WCP and DCP	Paul DiFiore	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Water Budgeting	WCP
			26	Customer Side Data-Driven Water Use Management: Would like houses of similar size and lawns of similar size have automatic comparisons. Even if bill is set to autopay have quarterly email or mail sent showing water uses in comparison to others and tips on how to reduce and become more efficient.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	Water Budgeting	WCP
			27	Please explain water budgeting and how this could be implemented or incentivized sooner.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Water Budgeting	WCP
			28	Stage 3 Drought: "Expanded voluntary water budgeting outreach through the My ATX Water portal." Please explain why this is not undertaken at Stage 1 or Stage 2. Stage 4 has the same bullet, then Stage 5 says mandatory water budgeting.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Water Budgeting	DCP
			29	Please explain the "logistical barriers" that prevented adoption of mandatory water budgets in Stage 4, as described in response to the public opinion poll (page 31).	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Water Budgeting	DCP
14	Expand water loss program.	Related to existing Utility-Side Water Loss Control strategy - Comments will be considered in evaluation of strategy	30	Water loss program is behind and needs to be more aggressive and accelerate process to find leaks and fix them.	Hani Mitchel	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Water Loss	WF24 WMS
15	Expand onsite reuse ordinances to include smaller buildings.	Related to existing Onsite Reuse strategy - Comment is already incorporated into evaluation of strategy	31	Onsite Reuse: Yes happy that the initial stage ordinances have been implemented but would like to see ordinances put in place for mid-size buildings and smaller buildings as well.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024, 5/29/2024	Written email feedback; 5/29 WF24 Working Group Meeting	Reuse	WF24
16	Allow splash pads to continue operation in Stage 4 of the DCP.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in DCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	32	Page 18 Drought Response Stage 4: Operation of splash pads during limited hours. Splash pads are generally publicly owned by a City or HOA and used for small children. Many splash pads do not operate continuously but are operated by a button. I notice that Stage 4 suspends new pool permits but does not limit filling private swimming pools. This feels like an inequitable distribution of burden for water use reduction to limit the availability of splash pads which are a public recreation amenity but not filling private swimming pools. I recommend allowing splash pads as one would expect that during a hot summer in Stage 4 drought there would be limited opportunities for outdoor activity for children other than a splash pad.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP
			33	Does not think limiting hours at splashpads should be an option during drought - should look at how much water is actually saved by turning them off.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Drought	DCP
17	Develop an education campaign to better promote knowledge of watering days.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	34	Consider a really focused outreach campaign on outdoor watering, including partnerships with local news outlets to list watering days every night during the weather forecast, as well as drought triggers.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Education/O outreach	WCP
			35	Consider a goal that Austinites know their watering day as well as they know their trash day. Reviewing the watering schedule, it looks like if you see a home watering on Monday, Tuesday, or Friday, they are off schedule.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Education/O outreach	WCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
18	Provide follow-up information after MyATX meters are installed.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	36	MyATX water: anecdotally, outreach to customers after installation is lacking. After tracking when this would be installed at my own house, we have not received any information about how to download the app or what do with it if we had it.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Education/O outreach	WCP
19	Increase public outreach and education, possibly partnering with community organizations, to residential irrigation customers, nurseries, landscapers, and general AW customers, among others. Consider increasing budget for these programs as needed.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	37	Encouraged AW to do more public outreach over the summer, especially if we are in a period of significant drought during the Water Conservation Plan renewal time. Suggests using partners to publicize the need for water conservation.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
			38	A lot of reliance of enhanced marketing of drought related info to the public. Encourages AW to think about outreach and marketing plans on residential irrigation particularly. Look at outreach and marketing budget and bump it up to get better community understanding. Make sure people know their watering day.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
			39	AWU immediately needs to launch a high profile education program on the drought and reducing water use as temperatures rise and we are headed towards repeat or worse drought of record. Most consumers are not aware of the current drought stages and associated restrictions. Understanding that it is very hard to gauge the effectiveness of outreach customers, and the response to comments provides that the outreach has not been reduced, we need to evaluate if the awareness has been reduced and we need to reevaluate the effectiveness of outreach. I have been inquiring with alot of people I interact with what their knowledge is of Austin Water's residential outdoor watering restrictions. Do you know what days and times you can water? Do you know what drought stage we are in? People that I consider to be very tuned in to the environment and government do not know the answers to these questions. The information below is very specific but I provide it just to offer some suggestions because for alot of people that I have asked the messages about outdoor watering are just not getting through. The main graphic AW uses to explain watering schedules shows Residential, Commercial, and Public Schools watering days together in one graphic. It would be great to also focus messaging on Residential and Commercial separately. Maybe a version with just residential and one with just commercial to make it really easy to decipher. Also present the message in the reverse form. Residential: No watering Monday, Tuesday, or Friday. Consider if the people in charge of "Public Schools" watering, could be reached through another more direct channel than the same graphic as all residential customers.	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Education/O outreach	WCP
			40	Due to low participation of incentive programs, suggests strategies for PIO beyond social media such as reaching out to nurseries and landscapers to increase participation.	Madelline Mathis	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
20	Develop an public-facing SOP for incentive programs.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	41	Create an SOP for the public related to applications and timelines for incentive programs so that the process doesn't make a project take longer and become more expensive if they utilized an incentive.	Madelline Mathis	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
21	Create a workforce development program to train landscapers on native plants and provide resources to those professionals, such as drawings, certification, and plant information.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	42	Has done some research in San Francisco on demand management – for pushing landscape transitioning to native plants, not enough landscapers who know how to maintain native plants. Suggests creating a program to train landscapers on native plants.	Madelline Mathis	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
			43	Water Efficient and Native Landscapes: Training and education programs for local landscapers and garden nurseries. Native landscaping design drawings that can be advertised at garden nurseries, in libraries, and other city buildings like capital and city hall. Should have a certification program where they can get certified as a water efficient landscaper if they do x amount of landscapes like that. A group that could benefit from this would be licensed and unlicensed landscape architects.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024, 5/29/2024	Written email feedback; 5/29 WF24 Working Group Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
			44	Connect Texas Native Plant Rescue Initiative contact with AW contact to go over AW materials for landscapers to add more information about planting for root depth and stormwater infiltration	Madelline Mathis	5/29/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Education/O outreach	WCP
22	Expand outreach to existing developments near the reclaimed system to promote connection.	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	45	Contact businesses who are already along reclaimed water pipe and ask them why they won't connect and what we can do to facilitate connection.	Bill Moriarty	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Reuse	WCP
			46	An evaluation of the existing and near term reclaimed water piping network to identify obvious hook ups, that are not currently connected. This could be facilities with various high non-potable demands such as irrigation and air conditioning chillers. These facilities could then be approached by Austin Water to gauge their interest in hooking up.	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
			47	An evaluation of large non-potable customers (irrigation, chillers) city wide for possible hook up to reclaimed water system	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
			48	I reiterate and support the comments from Bill Moriarty regarding centralized reuse.	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WCP
23	Exempt essential household use from drought surcharge	Best Management Practice - Will be considered for possible inclusion in DCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	49	DCP - I would like a discussion of the drought rates, and whether there is a minimum household use amount that could be exempted from the drought rate. I understand this is complicated because of multi-generational households (or now that City Council has changed the code regarding unrelated adults that can live in a house together), but I think as a matter of equity we should look into this. Also can you tell us what the commercial drought rates are? Is it the same?	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP
			50	Stage 3 and 4 drought surcharge: See comments on DCP about drought surcharge applying to essential household water use. There are many families that do not qualify for CAP but struggle with affordability. Consider drought surcharge applying after minimum essential domestic use.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Drought	DCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
24	Reporting General Comments	Will be considered for possible action/inclusion in WCP; will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	51	Please provide some benchmarking of the GPCD goals to other similarly sized cities. Also benchmark to Texas cities. Also benchmarks to cities in warm climates with water supply concerns.	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	BMPs	WCP
			52	Suggested having an annual check-in on these WCP goals instead of waiting 5 years. Also suggested creating list of things we wish to accomplish by a certain date.	Bill Moriarty	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	BMPs	WCP
			53	Staff needs to report back why we did not meet the 2019 goals and why are baseline GPCD is rising; if the answer is we didn't meet the goals because "hot, dry weather" staff needs to determine what new water reduction strategies, programs or education is needed to change customer water use during hot, dry weather.	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	BMPs	WCP
			54	Staff needs to report back on what needs to change in the water conservation plan to get back on track to meet the trajectory set in the 2019 goals. The new water plan doesn't do anything to address the deficiencies in the old plan. i.e., what didn't work. The new plan needs to identify specific measures and associated projected water savings. Overall I would like to see these goals reinstated along with an explanation of a very aggressive program that would explain strategy by strategy what it would take to meet those goals, with estimated water savings by strategy.	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	BMPs	WCP
			55	Suggests discussing the role reuse plays in the GPCD number. As reuse rises, may not be as accurate GPCD if we keep doing it in this way. Commented that the presentation mentioned that the rate is lower because we are waiting for reuse to come on - interested on how we are counting reuse, onsite reuse which we don't have a way to count, but we are expected per capita water demand to go down based on that. On centralized system we do know - are we separating out centralized reuse, are we counting it as water conservation? How are we approaching that? Thought it was interesting how we count centralized reclaim system, is like water loss - would be good to separate out and show what portion of that water supply is serving.	Jennifer Walker	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	BMPs	WCP
25	None - Editorial Comments	Editorial Comments - Will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	56	For 2029 plan -- put the goals of water use reduction in the front and explain how to get there to make it more compelling.	Sarah Faust	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Editorial Comment	WCP
			57	Add definition of functional turf in the WCP/DCP plan and make it more commonly known.	Robert Mace	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Editorial Comment	WCP/DCP
			58	Document how passive conservation savings are included in baseline demand projections	Robert Mace	4/25/2024	WTF Meeting	Editorial Comment	WF24 Plan
			59	Suggested changing the wording from 13 hour or however long the watering window is in each stage, change the wording from "total" to "window" to better clarify that it's not recommending watering for 13 hours, rather than there is a 13 hour window in which you can water in that stage.	Vanessa Puig-Williams	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Editorial Comment	DCP
			60	Suggested that for the DCP - for hose-end watering, make it clear for trees and foundation.	Jennifer Walker	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	Editorial Comment	DCP
			61	Patio Misters limited hours: Does this apply to residential, commercial or both?	Sarah Faust	4/24/2024	Written email feedback	Editorial Comment	DCP

Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WFTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
26	None - General Comment	General Comments - Will not be evaluated for potential water savings/cost	62	Aspiring to less than 1% reduction over 5 years is dangerous as our summers get hotter and our water supply is not replenished.	Sarah Faust	5/20/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WCP
			63	Community-scale Sewer Mining -- Yes, but requires more treatment for outdoor irrigation uses. Unless additional treatment to remove pfas is added to wastewater there is risk of spreading hazardous materials and effecting soil health if used for outdoor irrigation.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WF24 WMS
			64	Community-scale Stormwater Harvesting -- Yes! I would make sure treatment is sufficient of the stormwater. Also would like to see it balanced with green stormwater infastructure such as bioswales and rain gardens so that rivers, creeks and streams as well as the aquifer still has ability to benefit from precipitation. The green infastructure will do so by slowing runoff and aiding in water percolation and filtration.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WF24 WMS
			65	ASR -- Potentially depending on costs. Cone of depression need to be researched and taken into account for optimal placement to not have negative impacts on local rivers/creeks/springs and streams that make Austin so beautiful. Contaminants such as PFAS need to be for and the introduction of aeration and effects on arsenic etc need to be fully examined. Those on well-water nearby will need to be kept informed and if arsenic mobility occurs potentially have financial resources available for them to filter that contaminant.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WF24 WMS
			66	Brackish Groundwater Desalination -- Would be curious to see details on waste disposal and potential impacts on aquifer flow, sinkholes, and the endangered edwards aquifer salamanders.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WF24 WMS
			67	New Off Channel Reservoir with Lake Evaporation Suppression -- Lucidpipe energy is company that has created turbines for drinking water mains. They are now owned by Targa energy.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WF24 WMS
			68	Resources for treatment types and running cost analysis relating to the various treatments available are linked below.	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	Misc.
			69	Drinking water Treatability Database (TDB): Interactive literature review database that contains 123 regulated and unregulated contaminants and covers 35 treatment processes commonly employed or known to be effective (thousands of sources assembled on one site) <a href="https://www.epa.gov/water-research/drinking-water-treatability-database-tdb">https://www.epa.gov/water-research/drinking-water-treatability-database-tdb</a> Environmental Technologies Design Option tool (ETDOT): ETDOT is a series of treatment models, data sets, and parameter estimation tools developed by National Center for Clean Industrial and Treatment Technologies at Michigan Technological University (MTU) The models were sold as a package for many years. In 2019, EPA signed an agreement with MTU to make this suite of water and air treatment models available to the public at no cost <a href="https://www.epa.gov/water-research/environmental-technologies-design-option-tool-etdot">https://www.epa.gov/water-research/environmental-technologies-design-option-tool-etdot</a>	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	Misc.
			70	ETDOT is a series of treatment models, data sets, and parameter estimation tools developed by National Center for Clean Industrial and Treatment Technologies at Michigan Technological University (MTU) • The models were sold as a package for many years • In 2019, EPA signed an agreement with MTU to make this suite of water and air treatment models available to the public at no cost	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	Misc.
			71	Waterwise commercial water reduction program case study. Also good website for what other cities worldwide are doing to conserve water	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	General Comment	WCP
			72	Suggests preventative measures to minimize sanitary system overflows with the growing reclaimed system.	Madelline Mathis	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	General Comment	Misc.
			73	IPR should be implemented and would lower GPCD.	Bill Moriarty	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Reuse	WF24 WMS
			74	We should be pushing harder for IPR, even DPR.	Hani Mitchel	4/25/2024	WFTF Meeting	Reuse	WF24 WMS
75	Completion of the Indirect Potable Reuse Project that was previously identified in WF18. I think there needs to be an initial evaluation to see if this project is even feasible anymore given the algae issue and other possible permitting of public relations issues.	Bill Moriarty	5/15/2024	Written email feedback	Reuse	WF24 WMS			



Consolidated Strategy No.	Consolidated Strategy Description	Category & Next Steps	Raw Comment No.	Raw Comments From Task Force Members	WTF Member	Date Received	Origin	Key topic	Related Topic
27	Color coded feedback on water usage in My ATX Water portal	Currently being implemented.	76	Have color coded feedback on water usage in My ATX Water portal to show red if someone is using more water than average, green if they are using less.	Madelline Mathis	5/29/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	BMPs	WCP/WF24
28	Develop a fog harp system for Austin.	Likely insubstantial yield - will not be evaluated further for water savings/yield	77	Fog harp to supplement in areas with high evaporative loss and dense fog	Madelline Mathis	5/2/2024	WF24 Working Group Meeting	New Strategies	WF24 WMS
			78	Fog collection: <a href="https://csumb.edu/fernandezlab/fog-collection-project/">https://csumb.edu/fernandezlab/fog-collection-project/</a>	Madelline Mathis	5/21/2024	Written email feedback	New Strategies	WF24 WMS