

# Austin Core Transportation (ACT) Plan

## Phase 1 & 2 Engagement Summary

August–October 2022 | November 2022–March 2023


1. "What is the ACT Plan" informational brochure
2. Phase I Feedback Summary
3. Phase I Engagement Report
4. Phase 2 Feedback Summary
5. City Council Mobility Committee May 11, 2023 Presentation

The logo for the Austin Core Transportation Plan. It features the words "AUSTIN", "CORE", and "TRANSPORTATION PLAN" stacked vertically. "AUSTIN" and "TRANSPORTATION PLAN" are in blue, while "CORE" is in red. The background is a light beige color with a faint, stylized grid pattern representing a city street layout.

# AUSTIN CORE TRANSPORTATION PLAN


## WHAT IS THE AUSTIN CORE TRANSPORTATION (ACT) PLAN?

The ACT Plan is studying mobility options into, out of, through and within Downtown Austin. With Project Connect transit plans and the I-35 Capital Express Central rebuild project bringing significant change to the area, it is important to reexamine the form and function of the street network to interact with those changes and meet future needs and modes of transportation.



## WHAT WILL ACT DO?

The ACT Plan will produce a list of projects and a vision for how Downtown Austin streets should operate. These projects will work within our real-world constraints, providing safe and efficient mobility enhancements. Whether people are delivering food, commuting to work, enjoying entertainment or appreciating our public spaces, the ACT Plan seeks to make accomplishing those tasks easier.



## WHAT WILL ACT DO?

Austin Transportation Department wants to hear from all stakeholders and travelers to downtown Austin. Comments are best provided through online surveys, emailing the team or attending community meetings.

**Scan here for more info**







# EL PLAN DEL CASCO CENTRAL DE AUSTIN

## ¿QUÉ ES EL PLAN DEL CASCO CENTRAL DE AUSTIN (ACT)?

El Plan ACT está estudiando opciones de movilidad hacia, desde, a través y dentro del centro de Austin. Con los planes de tránsito del Proyecto Connect y el proyecto de reconstrucción de la I-35 Capital Express Central trayendo cambios significativos al área, es importante reexaminar la forma y función de la red de calles para interactuar con esos cambios y satisfacer las necesidades futuras y los modos de transporte.

## ¿QUÉ HARÁ ACT?

El Plan ACT producirá una lista de proyectos y una visión de cómo deberían operar las calles del Centro de Austin. Estos proyectos funcionarán dentro de nuestras limitaciones del mundo real, brindando mejoras de movilidad seguras y eficientes. Ya sea que las personas estén entregando alimentos, yendo al trabajo, disfrutando del entretenimiento o apreciando nuestros espacios públicos, el Plan ACT busca facilitar el cumplimiento de esas tareas.



## ¿CÓMO PUEDO PARTICIPAR EN ACT?

El Departamento de Transporte de Austin quiere escuchar a todas las partes interesadas y viajeros al centro de Austin. Puede enviar comentarios a través de encuestas en línea, enviando un correo electrónico al equipo o asistiendo a reuniones comunitarias.

Escanear aquí para  
obtener más información



AustinTexas.gov/ACTplan



ACTplan@AustinTexas.gov



@AustinMobility



/ATXtransportation



512-974-2300

# Austin Core Transportation Plan

## What We've Heard So Far

A community survey to hear from residents about mobility downtown was open from August 1 through September 30, 2022.

The next phase of the plan will present more refined scenarios to the community.

2100

The survey was answered by more than **2,100 respondents**, including English, Spanish, digital and paper responses.

20

The team attended more than **20 in-person community events and meetings**.

30

Results were broken down by **30 different demographic groups**, showing the preferences of different genders, races, ages, occupations and income levels of Austinites.

19

The survey was shared in newsletters from **19 different organizations**.



### SPACES FOR PEOPLE WALKING

Sidewalks are the most preferred street element

- **66%** of respondents scored sidewalks a 10 on a scale of 1-10
- Sidewalks (9.09) scored **79%** higher than mixed vehicle lanes (5.08)
- **26 of 30** demographic groups scored sidewalks as their No. 1 priority



### SPACES FOR PEOPLE BIKING

Protected bicycle/micromobility lanes were a high priority for survey respondents

- **59%** of respondents scored protected bicycle/micromobility lanes a 10 on a scale of 1-10 across all demographic populations.
- Protected bicycle/micromobility lanes (8.32) scored **64% higher** than mixed vehicle lanes (5.08)
- **33%** of respondents use a bicycle to get around downtown. In an ideal world, **47%** would like to use a bicycle.



### SPACES FOR PEOPLE TAKING TRANSIT

- Transit-only lanes were rated at an average score of 6.36, they scored **25% higher** than mixed vehicle lanes.
- **21%** of respondents take the bus to get around downtown. In an ideal world, **32%** said they would like to take the bus.
  - Among non-white respondents, **31%** take the bus normally and **46%** would like to take the bus in an ideal world.



### MOBILITY GOALS

- **56%** of respondents drive alone to get around downtown. In an ideal world, only **16%** would like to drive alone.
- More than half of all respondents (**51%**) ranked moving **within** downtown as the most important mobility priority, as compared to moving into, out of, or through Downtown.



# Plan de Transporte del Casco de Austin

## Lo que hemos oído hasta ahora

Hubo una encuesta comunitaria disponible entre el 1 de agosto y el 30 de septiembre de 2022 para oír de los residentes sobre la movilidad en el centro de la ciudad. La próxima fase del plan presentará situaciones más refinadas a la comunidad.

2100

Más de 2,100 personas respondieron la encuesta, incluyendo en inglés, español, de manera digital e impresa

20

El equipo asistió a más de 20 eventos y reuniones comunitarias presenciales

30

Los resultados se desglosaron en 30 grupos demográficos diferentes, mostrando las preferencias de distintos géneros, razas, edades, ocupaciones y niveles de ingresos de los austinianos.

19

La encuesta se compartió en boletines de 19 organizaciones diferentes.



### ESPACIOS PARA PERSONAS QUE CAMINAN

Las aceras son el elemento de calles de mayor preferencia.


- El **66%** de los que respondieron les dieron a las aceras una puntuación de 10 en una escala del 1 al 10.
- Las aceras (9.09) recibieron una puntuación **79%** más alta que los carriles de vehículos mixtos (5.08)
- **26 de 30** grupos demográficos posicionaron las aceras como su prioridad número uno.



### ESPACIOS PARA PERSONAS EN BICICLETA

Los carriles protegidos para bicicletas/micromovilidad son una alta prioridad para los que respondieron la encuesta

- **59%** de los que respondieron les dieron a los carriles protegidos para bicicletas/micromovilidad una puntuación de 10 en una escala del 1 al 10 entre todas las poblaciones demográficas.
- Los carriles protegidos para bicicletas/micromovilidad (8.32) recibieron una puntuación **64% más alta** que los carriles de vehículos mixtos (5.08)
- El **33%** de los que respondieron se desplazan en bicicleta por el centro de la ciudad. En un mundo ideal, el **47%** quisiera usar una bicicleta.



### PARA PERSONAS QUE USAN TRANSPORTE PÚBLICO

- Los carriles exclusivos para transporte público recibieron una puntuación promedio de 6.36, una puntuación **25% más alta** que los carriles de vehículos mixtos.
- El **21%** de los que respondieron toman el autobús para trasladarse por el centro de la ciudad. En un mundo ideal, el **32%** quisiera tomar el autobús.
- Entre las personas no blancas, el **31%** normalmente toma el autobús y el **46%** quisiera tomar el autobús, en un mundo ideal.



### METAS DE MOVILIDAD

- El **56%** de los que respondieron manejan solos para desplazarse por el centro de la ciudad. En un mundo ideal, el **16%** quisiera manejar solo.
- Más de la mitad de todos los que respondieron (**51%**) clasificaron el mudarse **dentro** del centro de la ciudad como la prioridad de movilidad más importante, comparado con mudarse fuera de ella o por el centro de la ciudad.



# Phase 1 Engagement Report

November 2022

*Created by the Austin Transportation Department*

## Introduction

The Austin Core Transportation (ACT) Plan is a study of transportation and mobility options in Downtown Austin. The plan will coordinate with and facilitate several major downtown projects, such as Project Connect, I-35 Capital Express Central and the Palm District Planning Initiative. This project is being led by the Austin Transportation Department (ATD).

The ACT Plan study area is shown in Map 1. It consists of the area between Lady Bird Lake to the south, Martin Luther King, Jr Blvd to the north, I-35 to the east, and Lamar Blvd to the west. The Plan will include a list of projects to help us achieve our mobility vision for downtown, as well as an implementation plan. The ACT Plan public engagement process began in the spring of 2022; this report describes activities that took place in the preliminary and first phases of the ACT Plan, which ended on September 30, 2022.



## Public Engagement Strategy and Goals

The ACT Plan is using a two-phase planning process. Early in the process, the ACT Plan team identified stakeholders and focus populations and reached out to alert them to this process, as well as to request additional names of people or organizations to whom to reach out. Once stakeholders were identified, Phase 1 began with several goals. It was the first opportunity to learn which right-of-way elements people prefer and what transportation modes they wish to use to travel to, from, and within Downtown. It was also the first opportunity to familiarize people with the ACT Plan, to create awareness about the project, and to validate the technical work around identifying mobility needs within the ACT Plan study area. Phase 2 will follow in the winter of 2022, which will present refined Downtown mobility scenarios to the public.

ACT Plan Phase 1 engagement focused on identifying and including the many different populations of people that access and use Downtown Austin. The ACT Plan team identified many different groups, organizations, interests, and perspectives to inform the ACT Plan's recommendations. Twenty-two different groups were specifically identified as focus populations within Downtown, in addition to the greater Austin community. These populations are listed in Appendix A.



The ACT Plan team gathered input from these groups and included their perspectives when identifying potential projects as part of the plan. In addition to the focus populations, the ACT Plan team also identified more than 70 organizations to connect with based on their relationship to Downtown. Ultimately, the list of organizations, groups, interests, perspectives, and general people were sorted into different categories to help guide engagement throughout the process.

The ACT Plan team developed the following engagement goals prior to launching outreach:

- Engage with, and receive input from, a diverse group of stakeholders
- Ensure historically underserved and underrepresented Downtown stakeholders are centered throughout engagement
- Ensure all options presented to stake holders are realistic alternatives and opportunities that can be feasibly developed downtown
- Operate on an engagement level of Involve and Collaborate based on the International Association for Public Participation's (IAP2) Spectrum of Public Participation (Figure 1)
- Present opportunities to participate in the process in different locations, on different days, and at different times of day to expand opportunities to participate
- Ensure public input opportunities are available in multiple media and utilize multiple streams of information to collaborate with the public
- Create an open dialogue with the project team to respond to questions and provide information as desired by the public
- Provide information in all languages requested by stakeholders and provide information in both English and Spanish to start.

Figure 1. IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation

## IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation



IAP2's Spectrum of Public Participation was designed to assist with the selection of the level of participation that defines the public's role in any public participation process. The Spectrum is used internationally, and it is found in public participation plans around the world.

INCREASING IMPACT ON THE DECISION					
	INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION GOAL	To provide the public with balanced and objective information to assist them in understanding the problem, alternatives, opportunities and/or solutions.	To obtain public feedback on analysis, alternatives and/or decisions.	To work directly with the public throughout the process to ensure that public concerns and aspirations are consistently understood and considered.	To partner with the public in each aspect of the decision including the development of alternatives and the identification of the preferred solution.	To place final decision making in the hands of the public.
PROMISE TO THE PUBLIC	We will keep you informed.	We will keep you informed, listen to and acknowledge concerns and aspirations, and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.	We will work with you to ensure that your concerns and aspirations are directly reflected in the alternatives developed and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.	We will look to you for advice and innovation in formulating solutions and incorporate your advice and recommendations into the decisions to the maximum extent possible.	We will implement what you decide.

© IAP2 International Federation 2018. All rights reserved. 20181112\_v1

© IAP2 International Federation 2016. All rights reserved. 20181112\_v1

## Phase 1 Public Engagement

ACT Plan Phase 1 public engagement had three arms: a public survey, meetings with focus populations and interested groups, and a Working Group organized by the Downtown Austin Alliance.

The public survey was available online and on paper, in both English and Spanish. An example of the paper survey can be found in Appendix B. It launched on August 1, 2022 and closed on September 30, 2022. The Phase 1 survey asked people's opinions on three aspects of Downtown mobility:

- What type of Downtown mobility on which to focus (i.e., transportation to Downtown, transportation from Downtown, transportation through Downtown, or transportation within Downtown)
- Which right-of-way elements are most important to people Downtown (i.e., building frontages, sidewalks, street tree and furniture zone, curb zone, transit-only lanes, mixed vehicle lanes, and protected bicycle/micromobility lanes)
- How do people currently move around Downtown and what is their ideal way to move around Downtown.

Respondents' answers to these questions will be used to inform our development and selection of preferred street cross-sections downtown.

To ensure that the ACT Plan reached the Phase 1 engagement goals, the ACT Plan team and Austin Transportation Public Information Office staff conducted a media push at the start of the survey. The survey was advertised in the Austin Mobility newsletter as well as at least twenty other newsletters over the course of Phase 1. There were over 20 social media posts about the survey from organizations and City partners, and multiple stories with local news outlets.

In addition to the outreach through newsletters, media, and social media, the ACT Plan team also held meetings with partners, presented to several community groups, and attended many in-person events over the course of Phase 1. The following table (and the map in Appendix C) details the meetings and events the ACT Plan team attended during Phase 1:

Type	Organization	Date	Location
Meeting	Austin Texas Musicians Org Meeting	7/21/2022	242 W 2nd St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Night Shift #1 Austin Texas Musicians Org	8/2/2022	711 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Hot Summer Nights - Red River Cultural District	8/4/2022	912 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Hot Summer Nights - Red River Cultural District	8/5/2022	710 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Hot Summer Nights - Red River Cultural District	8/6/2022	607 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	Austin Young Chamber Meeting	8/8/2022	Virtual
Meeting	Coalition of Texans with Disabilities Meeting	8/10/2022	1716 San Antonio St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	Austin Economic Development Corporation Meeting	8/11/2022	242 W 2nd St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	Safe Streets Austin Meeting	8/15/2022	Virtual
Meeting	Austin Public Health Meeting	8/15/2022	Virtual
Meeting	Austin Hotel and Lodging Meeting	8/16/2022	Virtual
Event	Whole Foods Headquarters Office tabling	8/17/2022	501 Bowie St, Austin, TX 78703
Meeting	East Cesar Chavez NPCT Presentation	8/17/2022	41 Navasota St, Austin, TX 78702
Meeting	Austin Texas Restaurant Association Meeting	8/18/2022	Virtual



Event	Hip Hop in the Park Republic Square Event	8/20/2022	422 Guadalupe St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Austin Hotel & Lodging Hospitality Expo Event	8/22/2022	101 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	ACT Plan DAA Working Group Meeting #2	8/24/2022	515 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	ATX Musicians Advisory Panel Presentation - ATX Musicians Org	8/26/2022	Virtual
Event	Pease Nights Event Pease Park	8/26/2022	1100 Kingsbury St, Austin, TX 78703
Meeting	Salvation Army Social Services Center Meeting	8/31/2022	501 E 8th St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Sustainable Food Center Farmers Market Tabling	9/3/2022	422 Guadalupe St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	Night Shift #2 Austin Texas Musicians Org	9/6/2022	711 Red River St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	HACA Meeting	9/9/2022	Virtual
Event	HAAM Day tabling at Waterloo Park	9/13/2022	500 E 12th St, Austin, TX 78701
Meeting	HACA Meeting	9/15/2022	Virtual
Event	Viva Mexico Event Mexican American Cultural Center	9/17/2022	600 River St, Austin, TX 78701
Event	HACA National Night Out	10/4/2022	85 Trinity St, Austin, TX 78701

## Public Engagement Phase 1 Results

The Phase 1 survey received a total of 2,129 responses over the two-month engagement period. Survey results were broken down by **30 different demographic groups**, detailing the preferences of Austinites of different genders, races, ages, occupations and income levels (Appendix D). The following key takeaways from the survey stood out to the ACT Plan team upon analysis.

### **Spaces for people walking:**

- Of all street elements respondents would prefer, **sidewalks** scored the highest
  - **66%** of respondents scored sidewalks a 10 on a scale of 1-10
  - Sidewalks (9.09) scored **79%** higher than mixed vehicle lanes (5.08)
  - **26 of 30** demographic groups scored sidewalks as their number 1 priority

### **Spaces for people biking and using micromobility:**

- **Protected bicycle/micromobility lanes** were a high priority for survey respondents
  - **59%** of respondents scored protected bicycle/micromobility lanes a 10 on a scale of 1-10, across all demographic focus populations
  - Protected bicycle/micromobility lanes (8.32) scored **64%** higher than mixed vehicle lanes (5.08)
  - **25 of 30** demographic groups scored protected bicycle/micromobility lanes as an 8 or above
  - **33%** of respondents use a bicycle to get around downtown; in an ideal world, **47%** said they would use a bicycle to get around

### **Spaces for people taking transit:**

- **Transit-only lanes** scored lower than sidewalks and protected bicycle/micromobility lanes but at an average score of **6.36**, still scored **25%** higher than mixed vehicle lanes.
- **21%** of respondents normally take the bus to get around downtown; in an ideal world, **32%** of respondents would take the bus
  - Among non-white respondents, **31%** of respondents take the bus normally, and **46%** would ride the bus in an ideal world

## Overall Mobility Goals:

- **56%** of all respondents normally drive alone to get around downtown; in an ideal world **16%** would like to drive alone
- More than half of all respondents (50.6%) ranked moving **within** downtown as most important (as compared to entering or exiting downtown)

Additionally, the figures below display the overall responses received in Phase 1 survey. The first questions asked people what type of downtown mobility is most important to you: How to enter Downtown, how to leave Downtown, how to pass through Downtown, or how to move within Downtown? For this question, a lower number indicates a higher priority.

The planning team looked at these answers in two ways: both the average of the responses (displayed in the blue graph in Figure 2), as well as looking at the top and bottom priority for respondents (shown in gray and orange in Figure 3).

Figure 2 – Downtown Mobility Focus Ratings





Figure 3 – Downtown Mobility Focus Preferences





The majority of the survey focused on right of way elements. It asked people to identify the importance of seven different elements on a scale of 1-10, 10 being the highest importance. Elements could share scores; this was not a ranking. The planning team also looked at the overall average results for this question (Figure 4, in blue), as well as the top and bottom priorities (Figure 5, in gray and orange).

Figure 4 – Street Element Ratings





Figure 5 – Street Element Priorities



## Next Steps

The ACT Plan team continues to analyze the responses and feedback collected during Phase 1 and will use this information to inform the development of different alternatives to be included in the upcoming Phase 2 survey. Phase 2 is planned to occur in late 2022 and early 2023, and will include both public events and a second public survey with modal network and cross-section options. During Phase 2 engagement the ACT Plan team will also hold meetings with focus populations, interested people and organizations, visit different neighborhoods Downtown, and continue to convene the Working Group. Upon completion of Phase 2 the ACT Plan team will combine the public engagement and technical results to put forth final ACT Plan proposals.

## Appendices

Appendix A: ACT Plan Downtown Populations

Appendix B: Paper Survey in English


Appendix C: ACT Plan Engagement Events Map

Appendix D: Survey Responses Results Breakdown

## Appendix A. ACT Plan Downtown Focus Populations

<b>Downtown Population</b>	<b>Reason for Inclusion</b>
<b>Residents</b>	These people live in the ACT Plan area
<b>Office Workers</b>	Downtown is the primary employment center of Austin. These workers often have a standard schedule and utilize a variety of transportation modes.
<b>Servers</b>	Downtown is home to a growing number of bars and restaurants serving people throughout the day. These industries are a major draw of Downtown.
<b>Musicians, performers, and venue owners and staff</b>	The Live Music Capital of the World relies on the myriad venues spread throughout downtown. Performers may have specific or atypical needs.
<b>Late night staff (e.g., janitorial staff)</b>	Downtown's buildings and hotels have different needs at night. The operation and maintenance of these locations relies on staff who are seeing things from a different perspective.
<b>Delivery drivers</b>	Goods delivery occurs throughout the network (temporally and spatially), and the use of street space by these drivers is a major contributor to congestion. Identifying designs that help this group could ameliorate certain issues downtown.
<b>Ridehail/TNC/taxi drivers</b>	Similar to delivery drivers, ridehail, TNC, and taxi drivers utilize the entirety of the network and contribute to congestion.
<b>Government workers</b>	Downtown is the base for many government workers at multiple levels of government. The Capitol Complex is a node for thousands of commuters.
<b>Construction workers</b>	Downtown's construction and maintenance relies on these workers, who also utilize equipment that requires space.
<b>Hotel staff</b>	Downtown is the major tourist destination in Austin, and these staff arrive at different times throughout the day.
<b>Visitors/tourists</b>	Thousands of visitors come to Austin and spend the majority of their time downtown. It is critical that the network is comprehensible and easily usable for people who have never visited before.
<b>People with physical mobility impairments</b>	All new infrastructure must and should be designed for those with physical mobility impairments in mind. Infrastructure that works for them is infrastructure that works for everybody.
<b>People who are blind</b>	All new infrastructure must and should be designed for people who are blind. Infrastructure that works for them is infrastructure that works for everybody.
<b>People who are deaf</b>	All new infrastructure must and should be designed for people who are deaf. Infrastructure that works for them is infrastructure that works for everybody.
<b>Unhoused and unhoused service providers</b>	There is a large unhoused population in Downtown, as well as the city's main service provider.
<b>Emma S. Barrientos Mexican American Cultural Center community (staff and visitors)</b>	The ESB-MACC is a major cultural anchor downtown. They are a pillar of a network of organizations and businesses Downtown that support Mexican-American Austinites.
<b>Families</b>	As Downtown grows it is important that the area isn't only for young adults, but supports growing families, as well. They may have different needs from the transportation network.
<b>Seniors</b>	As Downtown grows it is important that the area isn't only for young adults, but supports our senior population, as well. They may have different needs from the transportation network.
<b>Building developers</b>	Downtown's growth has been shaped by the new development occurring throughout the ACT Plan area. Future growth and construction could usher in major future changes.
<b>Building managers</b>	How buildings operate once they are built affects the downtown ecosystem. Building managers see the needs and habits of their occupants.
<b>Special Event Organizers</b>	Downtown is home to many high-profile events.
<b>Medical workers</b>	The hospitals and Innovation District bring huge numbers of medical workers to the area, at varying times and with potential different needs.

## Appendix B. Paper Survey in English



The Austin Core Transportation (ACT) Plan is a study of transportation and mobility options in Downtown Austin. The plan will coordinate with and facilitate several major downtown projects, such as Project Connect, I-35 Capital Express Central and the Palm District Planning Initiative. This project is being led by the Austin Transportation Department (ATD).

The ACT Plan will include a list of projects to help us achieve our mobility vision for downtown, as well as an implementation plan. This survey is the first step in this process to help staff learn more **about the different transportation elements you would like to see in downtown streets, how you travel to and within downtown now, and how you would like to do so in the future.**

Future phases of the ACT Plan will ask for your input on how you want specific streets to look, and ATD anticipates having draft street plans available for input by late 2022.

This survey should take you about 5-10 minutes to complete. If you have any questions or issues regarding the survey or the ACT Plan, please visit our [ACT Plan website](#) or email [ACTPlan@AustinTexas.gov](mailto:ACTPlan@AustinTexas.gov).

The ACT Plan applies to Downtown Austin, which is bound by Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard to the north, Lamar Boulevard to the west, Lady Bird Lake to the south and Interstate 35 to the east.

---

To improve mobility and connectivity, ATD needs to understand why and how people use Downtown streets. To prepare design options that best respond to the needs of our community, we'd like to know which of these ways are most important to you.

Please rank each of these four mobility priorities based on what is most important to you, with 1 as the most important and 4 as the least important. For example, if getting into Downtown quickly and efficiently is most important to you, please rank that 1.

**What is most important to you?**

**RANK the following options 1 to 4, 1 being most important, 4 being least important.**

- \_\_\_\_ **How to enter Downtown**
  - \_\_\_\_ **How to leave Downtown**
  - \_\_\_\_ **How to pass through Downtown (excluding I-35)**
  - \_\_\_\_ **How to move within Downtown**
-



## Street Design and Features

Street space is limited, especially Downtown, so ATD staff would like to know which street elements you would prefer to see. A street element refers to the different components that make up our streets, such as vehicle lanes, sidewalks, parking areas and bicycle lanes. As part of the ACT Plan, ATD will work to provide options for evaluation that include the street elements that are most important to our community members.

The below images are intended as guides to display different street elements. **These images are not proposals that will be put forward by the ACT Plan.**

Legend:

**A. Building Frontages** (street cafes, sandwich boards, seating, etc.)

**B. Sidewalk** (pedestrian through zone)

**C. Street Tree and Furniture Zone** (lighting, benches, tree, public art, bicycle parking, scooter and other micromobility parking)

**D. Curb Zone** (on-street parking, passenger loading, commercial loading, parklets)

**E. Transit-Only Lane** (vehicle travel dedicated to buses)

**F. Mixed Vehicle Lane** (travel lanes for all vehicles - private automobiles, buses, and/or bicycles)

**G. Protected Bicycle/Scooter Lane** (dedicated travel space with behind-the-curb separation from motor vehicle area)

Image 1



Image 2



We'd like to know how important each individual street element is to you. Please rate each element on a scale from 1-10 stars, with 10 stars representing a strong desire to see that element included on the average street, and 1 star indicating that the element is not important for inclusion.

Ratings can be the same for certain (or all) elements. For example, if both mixed vehicle lanes and sidewalks are the most important to you, you may rate them each as 10 stars.

**Please rate each element on a scale from 1-10 stars**

- \* **A. Building Frontages (street cafes, sandwich boards, seating, etc.):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **B. Sidewalk (pedestrian through zone):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **C. Street Tree and Furniture Zone (lighting, benches, trees, public art, bicycle parking, scooter and micromobility device parking):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **D. Curb Zone (on-street parking, passenger loading, commercial loading, parklets):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **E. Transit-Only Lane (vehicle travel dedicated to buses):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **F. Mixed Vehicle Lane (travel lanes for all vehicles - private automobiles, buses, bicycles):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10
- \* **G. Protected Bicycle/Micromobility Lane (dedicated travel space with behind-the-curb separation from motor vehicle area):** \_\_\_\_\_ stars out of 10

**Do you live downtown?**

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

**Do you work downtown?**

- ☐ Yes, I work downtown but from home (full-time)
- ☐ Yes, I work downtown (outside of my home at least some of the time)
- ☐ Sometimes. Some of my work is from home or is located downtown, but sometimes I leave downtown for work
- ☐ No, I work outside downtown
- ☐ No, I do not currently work

**How do you normally get around downtown?**

- ☐ Bicycle
- ☐ Bus
- ☐ Carpool/Vanpool
- ☐ Drive Alone
- ☐ Taxi/rideshare/ridehail/TNC (Uber, Lyft, etc.)
- ☐ Scooter
- ☐ Walk
- ☐ Other (please specify)

**In an ideal world, how would you like to get around downtown?**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bicycle  | <input type="checkbox"/> Scooter                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bus  | <input type="checkbox"/> Train                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Carpool/Vanpool                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Walk                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Drive Alone                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Taxi/rideshare/ridehail/TNC (Uber, Lyft, etc.) | <input type="text"/>                            |

**Is there anything else you would like to tell us about mobility downtown?**

The following demographic questions are optional; the information provided helps us tune our engagement to make sure we are hearing from a representative set of Austinites.

If you have any questions about this survey or the ACT Plan, please visit the [ACT Plan website](#) or email [ACTPlan@AustinTexas.gov](mailto:ACTPlan@AustinTexas.gov).

To stay up to date on the ACT Plan, as well as all other mobility happenings around Austin, please consider [subscribing to Austin Mobility News](#).

**In what ZIP code do you live?**

**What is a major intersection near where you live? Examples: 7th and Chicon, South 1st and Oltorf, Pleasant Valley and Riverside.**

**Which of the following best represents your gender identity?**

- ☐ Female
- ☐ Male
- ☐ Another gender

**Which of the following best represents your cultural identity? Select all that apply.**

- ☐ Asian cultural identity
- ☐ Black and/or African American
- ☐ Hispanic and/or Latino/Latina/Latinx
- ☐ Native/Indigenous
- ☐ White
- ☐ Other



**What is your yearly household income?**

- ☐ 0-\$25,000
- ☐ \$25,000-\$49,999
- ☐ \$50,000-\$74,999
- ☐ \$75,000-\$99,999
- ☐ \$100,000-\$149,999
- ☐ \$150,000+

**Do you identify as someone with a disability?**

- ☐ Yes, I have a cognitively- or intellectually-related disability
- ☐ Yes, I have a hearing-related disability
- ☐ Yes, I have a vision-related disability
- ☐ Yes, I have a mobility-related disability
- ☐ No, I do not identify as having a disability.


**What is your age?**

- ☐ Under 15 years old
- ☐ 15-24 years old
- ☐ 25-34 years old
- ☐ 35-44 years old
- ☐ 45-54 years old
- ☐ 55-64 years old
- ☐ 65 years old and older

**Please indicate which, if any, group(s) you are part of.**

- ☐ Construction workers (working or has worked downtown)
- ☐ Delivery drivers (delivering downtown)
- ☐ Hotel staff (located downtown)
- ☐ Janitorial and other late-night staff (located downtown and not included in other listed groups)
- ☐ Musicians/performers/entertainers (who have performed downtown)
- ☐ Office workers (located downtown)
- ☐ Performance and entertainment venue staff (located downtown)
- ☐ People with physical mobility impairments
- ☐ People who are blind or deaf
- ☐ People aged 65 or older
- ☐ Restaurant and bar staff (located downtown)
- ☐ Rideshare, taxi, TNC or pedicab drivers
- ☐ State workers (located downtown)
- ☐ Social service providers (working with downtown populations)

Appendix C: ACT Plan Engagement Events Map



## Appendix D: Survey Responses Results Breakdown

The following figures detail survey results broken down by the following key demographics:

- Respondents who live downtown
- Respondents who work downtown
- Gender:
  - Identifies as Woman
  - Identifies as Man
- Ethnicity/Cultural Identity:
  - Asian
  - Black and/or African American
  - Hispanic/Latinx
  - Native/Indigenous
  - White
- Household Income:
  - Under \$50K
  - Over \$100K
- People with a Disability
- Age:
  - 15-24 years old
  - 25-34 years old
  - 35-44 years old
  - 45-54 years old
  - 55-64 years old
  - 65 years and older

Figure 6: Average rating per street element – Lives Downtown




Figure 7: Top and bottom priority street element – Lives Downtown






Figure 8: Average rating per street element – Works Downtown




Figure 9: Top and bottom priority street element – Works Downtown




Figure 10: Average rating per street element – Gender: Identifies as woman




Figure 11: Top and bottom priority street element – Gender: Identifies as woman




Figure 12: Average rating per street element – Gender: Identifies as man




Figure 13: Top and bottom priority street element – Gender: Identifies as man




Figure 14: Average rating per street element – Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Asian




Figure 15: Top and bottom priority street element – Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Asian






Figure 16: Average rating per street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Black and/or African American




Figure 17: Top and bottom priority street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Black and/or African American




Figure 18: Average rating per street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Hispanic and/or Latino/Latina/Latinx




Figure 19: Top and bottom priority street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Hispanic and/or Latino/Latina/Latinx




Figure 20: Average rating per street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Native/Indigenous




Figure 21: Top and bottom priority street element - Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: Native/Indigenous




Figure 22: Average rating per street element – Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: White




Figure 23: Top and bottom priority street element – Ethnicity/Cultural Identity: White




Figure 24: Average rating per street element – Household Income: Under \$50K




Figure 25: Top and bottom priority street element – Household Income: Under \$50K






Figure 26: Average rating per street element – Household Income: Over \$100K




Figure 27: Top and bottom priority street element – Household Income: Over \$100K




Figure 28: Average rating per street element – Identifies as someone with a disability




Figure 29: Top and bottom priority street element - Identifies as someone with a disability




Figure 30: Average rating per street element – Age: 15-24




Figure 31: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 15-24




Figure 32: Average rating per street element – Age: 25-34




Figure 33: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 25-34




Figure 34: Average rating per street element – Age: 35-44




Figure 35: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 35-44






Figure 36: Average rating per street element – Age: 45-54




Figure 37: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 45-54




Figure 38: Average rating per street element – Age: 55-64




Figure 39: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 55-64




Figure 40: Average rating per street element – Age: 65 and older





Figure 41: Top and bottom priority street element – Age: 65 and older





# Austin Core Transportation Plan

## What We've Heard in Phase 2




The second phase of ACT Plan engagement presented more refined scenarios to the community, and collected feedback via a survey that was open from January 23 - March 31, 2023

**1400** The survey was answered by more than **1,400 respondents**, including English, Spanish, digital and paper responses.

**39** The team attended more than **39** in-person community events and meetings.

**30** Results were broken down by **30 different demographic groups**, showing the preferences of different genders, races, ages, occupations and income levels of Austinites.


**20** The survey was shared in newsletters from **20 different organizations**.



### CROSS SECTIONS

Respondents were asked to rate options from 1-10, 10 being most preferable.


- Option 2 shown above (7.62, 53% rated a 9 or 10) with bidirectional bicycle lanes and two travel lanes is preferred



### TRANSIT-ONLY LANES

Respondents were asked about support or opposition for transit-only lanes.


- Strong support (86%) for targeted dedicated transit-only lanes through downtown for CapMetro service
- Strongest support for transit-only lanes amongst lowest-income respondents



### BICYCLE NETWORK

Respondents were asked to rank 3 different scenarios for the bicycle network.

- 72% of respondents said the biggest expansion was the best option



### DIRECTION CONVERSIONS

Respondents were asked about one-way to two-way street conversion scenarios.

- Slight preference for full conversion scenario, but not at the cost of bicycle and transit lanes.

### MOST POPULAR UP-VOTED COMMENTS

"We need protected bike lanes on every street, and transit lanes where they make sense. Reduce the car lanes as needed."

"Increasing the amount of public transit options and their efficiency is crucial for the future of our city and...especially lower income residents. Individual car usage must be de-prioritized."

"I do not like three lanes for vehicles. A dedicated transit lane should remove a vehicle lane."

"Please prioritize pedestrian, transit, and bicycle infrastructure downtown. Downtown is a destination and a place where people live, work and play. It is not a place to prioritize moving \*through\* via private automobiles."

"Shared bus/bike lanes continually put cyclists at risk of cars that disregard the lane. Modalities of walking, transit, and biking should be separated for the efficiency and comfort of all users."



# Plan central de transporte de Austin

## Lo que hemos escuchado en la Fase 2



La segunda fase de la participación en el Plan ACT presentó escenarios más detallados a la comunidad y recogió opiniones a través de una encuesta que estuvo disponible desde el 23 de enero hasta el 31 de marzo de 2023.

1400

La encuesta fue completada por más de 1,400 personas; incluyendo respuestas en inglés, español, digital y papel.

39


El equipo asistió a más de 39 eventos y reuniones comunitarias presenciales.

30

Los resultados fueron desglosados en 30 grupos demográficos diferentes, mostrando las preferencias de los distintos géneros, razas, edades, ocupaciones y niveles de ingresos de los Austinenses

20


La encuesta se difundió en boletines informativos de 20 organizaciones diferentes



### SECCIONES TRANSVERSALES

Se solicitó a los encuestados que valoraran las opciones del 1 al 10, siendo 10 la más preferible.

- La opción 2 mostrada anteriormente (7,62, 53% valorada con un 9 o 10) con carriles bidireccionales para bicicletas y dos carriles de circulación es la preferida



### CARRILES EXCLUSIVOS PARA TRANSPORTE PÚBLICO

Se preguntó a los encuestados si apoyaban o se oponían a los carriles exclusivos para el transporte público.

- Gran respaldo (86%) a los carriles exclusivos para el transporte público a través del centro de la ciudad para el servicio de CapMetro.
- Mayor apoyo a los carriles exclusivos para el transporte público entre los encuestados con menos ingresos.



### RED CICLISTA

Se pidió a los encuestados que valoraran 3 escenarios diferentes para la red ciclista.

- El 72% de los encuestados opina que la mayor ampliación es la mejor opción.



### CONVERSIONES DE SENTIDO

Se preguntó a los encuestados por los escenarios de conversión de calles de sentido único a doble sentido.

- Ligera preferencia por el escenario de conversión total, pero no a expensas de los carriles ciclistas y de transporte público.

## LOS COMENTARIOS POPULARES MÁS VOTADOS

“Por favor, den prioridad a las infraestructuras para peatones, transporte público y bicicletas en el centro de la ciudad. El centro es un lugar donde la gente vive, trabaja y se divierte. No es un lugar para priorizar la movilidad \*a través\* de vehículos privados.”

“Necesitamos carriles protegidos para bicicletas en todas las calles, y carriles de transporte donde tengan sentido. Reducir los carriles para vehículos según sea necesario.”

“Aumentar la cantidad de opciones de transporte público y su eficiencia es crucial para el futuro de nuestra ciudad y., especialmente para los residentes de ingresos más bajos. El uso individual del vehículo debe dejar de ser prioritario.”

“Por favor, den prioridad a las infraestructuras para peatones, transporte público y bicicletas en el centro de la ciudad. El centro es un lugar donde la gente vive, trabaja y se divierte. No es un lugar para priorizar la movilidad \*a través\* de vehículos privados.”

“Los carriles de bus/bici compartidos ponen en peligro continuamente a los ciclistas por los vehículos que no respetan el carril. Las modalidades de caminar, transportarse y andar en bicicleta deberían estar separadas para la eficiencia y comodidad de todos los usuarios.”





# 奥斯汀市核心交通规划

## 我们在第二阶段中听到了什么内容




ACT 计划参与的第二阶段向社区提供了内容更为丰富详细的方案，并于 2023 年 1 月 23 日至 3 月 31 日期间公示调查回收的反馈

**1400** 1400 多名受访者回答了这份调查问卷，其中包括英语版本、西班牙语版本、数字版本和纸质版本的调查问卷。

**39** 该团队参加了 39 多次社区活动和会议。


**30** 结果按 30 个不同的人口群体细分统计得到，显示了不同性别、种族、年龄、职业和收入水平的奥斯汀市民的偏好。

**20** 在 20 个不同组织的内部简报中分享了这项调查。



受访者需按要求对 选项赋分 (1-10 分，10 分为“最喜欢”)。

- 在上述的方案中，有双向自行车道和两条车道的方案 2 (7.62，53% 的受访者赋了 9 或 10 分) 更受欢迎



受访者被问及是否支持公交专用车道。

- 途径市中心的公交专用车道 (由 CapMetro 提供服务) 的支持度很高 (86%)
- 受访者中，收入最低的群体对公交专用车道的支持度最高



受访者被要求对 3 种不同的自行车网络方案进行赋分。

- 72% 的受访者表示最大程度地扩宽道路是最佳方案



受访者被问及单向更改为双向道路的方案。

- 稍微受欢迎的方案是完全转换方向的方案，但不以舍弃自行车道和公交车道为代价。

### 最受欢迎的点赞评论方法

“我们需要每条街道上都有受保护的自行车道，以及充满意义的公交车道。应根据需要减少汽车通行的车道。”

“增加公共交通选择的数量及其效率对我们城市的未来至关重要……尤其是低收入居民。必须取消个人用车的优先级。”

“我不喜欢三个车道都给了汽车的方案。不应该设置公交专用车道。”

“请优先考虑市中心的行人、公共交通和自行车基础设施。市中心是人们生活、工作和娱乐的地方。它不是一个让私家车优先通行的地方。”

“与公交车/自行车共用车道，汽车会无专用车道的存在，会使骑自行车的人持续遭受安全风险威胁。为了让所有使用者都能高效地通行，获得舒适度，行人、公交车和自行车应该分开。”



# Quy hoạch Giao thông Cốt lõi của Austin

## Tổng quan về Giai đoạn 2



Thỏa thuận về giai đoạn thứ hai của Quy hoạch ACT trình bày các kịch bản chi tiết hơn cho cộng đồng và thu thập phản hồi thông qua một cuộc khảo sát bắt đầu mở từ ngày 23 tháng 1 - ngày 31 tháng 3 năm 2023

1400

Cuộc khảo sát đã thu nhận câu trả lời từ hơn **1.400 người tham gia** bằng tiếng Anh và tiếng Tây Ban Nha, ở dạng kỹ thuật số và trên giấy.

39


Nhóm phụ trách đã tham dự hơn **39** cuộc họp và sự kiện cộng đồng trực tiếp.

30

Kết quả được chia nhỏ theo **30 nhóm nhân khẩu học khác nhau**, phản ánh các ưu tiên khác nhau theo giới tính, chủng tộc, độ tuổi, nghề nghiệp và mức thu nhập của người dân Austin.

20


Thông tin về cuộc khảo sát đã được chia sẻ trong các bản tin của **20 tổ chức khác nhau**.



### ẢNH MẶT CẮT NGANG

Người tham gia được yêu cầu đánh giá các phương án theo thang điểm từ 1-10, trong đó 10 là mức ưu tiên cao nhất.

- Phương án được ưu tiên là phương án 2 ở trên (7,62, được 53% số người tham gia đánh giá là 9 hoặc 10) có làn đường hai chiều dành cho xe đạp và hai làn đường đi lại



### LÀN ĐƯỜNG DÀNH CHO

Người tham gia được yêu cầu cho biết họ ủng hộ hay phản đối làn đường dành cho phương tiện công cộng.

- Ủng hộ mạnh (86%) các làn đường dành cho phương tiện công cộng được nhắm mục tiêu đi qua trung tâm thành phố cho dịch vụ CapMetro
- Người tham gia có mức thu nhập thấp nhất ủng hộ mạnh nhất cho làn đường dành cho phương tiện công cộng



### MẠNG LƯỚI XE ĐẠP

Người tham gia được yêu cầu xếp hạng 3 kịch bản khác nhau cho mạng lưới xe đạp.

- 72% số người tham gia cho biết việc mở rộng với quy mô lớn nhất là phương án phù hợp nhất



### CHUYỂN ĐỔI CHIỀU LƯU THÔNG

Người tham gia được yêu cầu góp ý về các kịch bản chuyển đổi đường một chiều sang đường hai chiều.

- Kịch bản chuyển đổi hoàn toàn được ưu tiên hơn đôi chút, nhưng không hy sinh làn đường dành cho xe đạp và phương tiện công cộng.

## CÁC NHÂN XÉT ĐƯỢC BÌNH CHỌN NHIỀU NHẤT

“Chúng tôi cần có làn đường dành cho xe đạp được bảo vệ trên mọi con đường và làn đường dành cho phương tiện công cộng ở những nơi phù hợp. Hãy cắt giảm làn xe ô tô nếu cần.”

“Việc tăng số lượng và hiệu quả của các phương tiện giao thông công cộng rất quan trọng đối với tương lai của thành phố chúng ta và...đặc biệt là những cư dân có thu nhập thấp. Sử dụng ô tô cá nhân không nên được ưu tiên.”

“Tôi không thích ba làn xe trên đường. Nên thay thế một làn xe bằng một làn đường dành cho phương tiện công cộng.”

“Xin hãy ưu tiên cơ sở hạ tầng dành cho người đi bộ, phương tiện công cộng và xe đạp ở trung tâm thành phố. Trung tâm thành phố là điểm đến và là nơi mọi người sinh sống, làm việc và vui chơi. Đó không phải là nơi để ưu tiên cho việc \*lưu thông\* bằng ô tô tư nhân.”

“Các làn đường chung cho xe buýt/xe đạp liên tục gây nguy hiểm cho người đi xe đạp vì nhiều xe ô tô không tuân thủ làn đường. Các phương thức vận chuyển như đi bộ, sử dụng phương tiện công cộng và đi xe đạp nên được tách riêng để mang lại hiệu quả và sự thoải mái cho tất cả những người tham gia giao thông.”

## التعليقات والملاحظات التي جمعناها من استبيان المرحلة 2

إلى تقديم سيناريوهات أكثر دقة إلى المجتمع (ACT Plan) خلصت المشاركة في المرحلة الثانية من خطة خدمات النقل الرئيسية في أوستن المحلي وذلك استنادًا إلى التعليقات والملاحظات التي جُمعت خلال أحد الاستبيانات في الفترة ما بين 23 يناير - 31 مارس 2023.

كما حضر الفريق المعني أكثر من 39 فعالية واجتماعات مجتمعية شخصيًا.

39

شارك في الرد على الاستبيان أكثر من 1,400 شخص، وشمل ذلك ردود باللغة الإنجليزية واللغة الإسبانية وباستخدام أجهزة رقمية ونماذج ورقية.


1400

وجرى مشاركة الاستبيان في نشرات إخبارية تابعة لنحو 20 مؤسسة مختلفة.

20


فُصِّلَت النتائج حسب 30 مجموعة سكانية مختلفة على نحو يُظهر تفضيلات مختلف الأجناس والأعراق والأعمار والمهن ومستويات الدخل التي يتمتع بها سكان مدينة أوستن.

30



### المسارات المخصصة للنقل العام

طرح على المشاركين في الاستبيان أسئلة حول إذا ما كانوا يؤيدون أو يرفضون فكرة المسارات المخصصة للنقل العام.



### مقاطع عرضية

طُلب من المشاركين في الاستبيان تقييم الخيارات من ١ إلى ١٠، على أن يكون الرقم ١٠ هو الأكثر تفضيلاً.

- كما أظهرت النتائج أن (86%) من المشاركين يؤيدون بشدة تخصيص بعض المسارات في منطقة وسط المدينة لمركبات النقل العام التي تشغلها وكالة CapMetro.

- يأتي أقوى دعم تحظى به المسارات المخصصة للنقل العام من جانب المشاركين أصحاب الدخول الأقل.

- أظهرت نتائج الاستبيان تفضيل المشاركين للخيار 2 المبين أعلاه (الذي سجل متوسط تقييم 7.62، حيث منح 53% من المشاركين هذا الخيار تقييم 9 أو 10) وذلك فيما يتعلق بتصميم مسارات ثنائية الاتجاه للدراجات ومسارين لحركة المركبات.



### تحويل الاتجاهات

كما طُرح على المشاركين أسئلة عن رأيهم حول سيناريوهات تحويل الشارع من اتجاه واحد إلى اتجاهين.

- أظهرت نتائج الاستبيان أن هناك تفضيل بفارق ضئيل لسيناريو التحويل الكامل للشوارع ولكن ليس على حساب مسارات الدراجات ومركبات النقل العام.



### شبكة الدراجات

طُلب من المشاركين تقييم 3 سيناريوهات مختلفة لشبكة الدراجات.

- وكان السيناريو المفضل الذي حظي بتأييد نحو 72% من المشاركين هو السيناريو الذي يشهد أكبر توسعات للشوارع.

لا بد من توفير مسارات للدراجات تحظى بالحماية في كل شارع، وكذلك توفير مسارات مصممة لمركبات النقل العام فقط، حتى ولتطلب الأمر تقليل عدد مسارات المركبات الخاصة.

يمثل زيادة عدد خيارات النقل العام وكفاءتها أمراً حاسماً للمستقبل، وبالتالى يجب التقليل من ذوي الدخل المنخفض، واستخدام المركبات الخاصة.

أكثر التعليقات التي حظيت بالتأييد

يُرجى إضفاء الأولوية على تخطيط وتصميم الشوارع في منطقة وسط المدينة لتكون ملائمة للمشاة ووسائل النقل العام وركوب الدراجات، فوسط المدينة هو ملتقى للعديد من الأشخاص الذين يعيشون ويعملون ويلعبون هناك، وليس مكان يُضفى فيه الأولوية على قيادة المركبات الخاصة للانتقال من مكان إلى آخر.

لا أفضل وجود ثلاثة مسارات للمركبات. فبدلاً من إضافة مسار جديد مخصص لمركبات النقل العام، يمكن إزالة أحد المسارات المخصصة للمركبات الخاصة.

يتعرض باستمرار راكبي الدراجات الذين يستخدمون مسارات مشتركة بين الحافلات والدراجات للخطر نظراً لأن المركبات قد تتجاهل قواعد القيادة في المسار وتسبب حوادث لهم؛ ومن ثم لا بد من الفصل بين مسارات المشاة ومركبات النقل العام والدراجات حتى تكون الشوارع أكثر ملائمة وراحة لجميع من يستخدمها.





# Plan de transport central d'Austin

## Ce que nous avons entendu lors de la phase 2



La deuxième phase de l'engagement du Plan ACT a présenté des scénarios plus affinés à la communauté et a recueilli des commentaires via une enquête qui était ouverte du 23 janvier au 31 mars 2023.

1400

L'enquête a été remplie par plus de 1,400 répondants, y compris des réponses en anglais, en espagnol, numériques et sur papier.

39


L'équipe a participé à plus de 39 événements et réunions communautaires en personne.

30

Les résultats ont été répartis en 30 groupes démographiques différents, mettant en évidence les préférences des différents genres, races, âges, professions et niveaux de revenus des habitants d'Austin.

20


L'enquête a été partagée dans les bulletins d'information de 20 organisations différentes.



### DES SECTIONS TRANSVERSALES

Les répondants ont été invités à évaluer les options de 1 à 10, 10 étant la plus préférée.


- L'option 2 présentée ci-dessus (7,62, 53% ont donné une note de 9 ou 10) avec des voies cyclables bidirectionnelles et deux voies de circulation est préférée.



### DES SECTIONS TRANSVERSALES

Les répondants ont été interrogés sur leur soutien ou leur opposition aux voies réservées aux transports en commun.

- Fort soutien (86 %) pour des voies réservées aux transports en commun ciblées et dédiées dans le centre-ville pour le service CapMetro.
- Le soutien le plus fort pour les voies réservées aux transports en commun vient des répondants à faible revenu.



Les répondants ont été invités à classer 3 scénarios différents pour le réseau de pistes cyclables.

- 72% des répondants ont indiqué que la plus grande expansion était la meilleure option



Les répondants ont été interrogés sur les scénarios de conversion de rue à sens unique en rue à double sens.

- Une légère préférence pour le scénario de conversion complète, mais pas au détriment des voies réservées aux vélos et aux transports en commun.

## LES COMMENTAIRES LES PLUS POPULAIRES ET LES PLUS VOTÉS

"Nous avons besoin de pistes cyclables protégées sur chaque rue, ainsi que des voies réservées aux transports en commun là où cela est pertinent."

"Accroître le nombre d'options de transport en commun et leur efficacité est crucial pour l'avenir de notre ville et... en particulier pour les résidents à faible revenu. L'utilisation de voitures individuelles doit être mise en second plan."

"Je n'aime pas les trois voies pour les véhicules. Une voie réservée aux transports en commun devrait remplacer une voie pour les véhicules."

"Veuillez donner la priorité à l'infrastructure piétonne, de transport en commun et de vélo dans le centre-ville. Le centre-ville est une destination et un lieu où les gens vivent, travaillent et se divertissent. Ce n'est pas un endroit où il faut donner la priorité à la circulation à travers des voitures privées."

"Les voies partagées pour les bus et les vélos mettent constamment les cyclistes en danger de voitures qui ne respectent pas la voie. Les modes de déplacement à pied, en transport en commun et à vélo devraient être séparés pour l'efficacité et le confort de tous les utilisateurs."

ACT प्लान कार्य के दूसरे चरण के द्वारा समुदाय के लिए और ज़्यादा स्पष्ट परदृश्य प्रस्तुत किए गए, और एक सर्वेक्षण के ज़रिये प्रतिक्रिया एकत्र की गई जो 23 जनवरी - 31 मार्च, 2023 तक खुला था।

1400

सर्वेक्षण में अंग्रेज़ी, स्पैनिश, डिजिटल और पेपर प्रतिक्रियाओं सहित 1,400 से ज़्यादा उत्तरदाताओं द्वारा, जवाब दिए गए थे।

39


टीम ने 39 से ज़्यादा व्यक्तिगत सामुदायिक कार्यक्रमों और बैठकों में भाग लिया।

30

परणामों को 30 अलग-अलग जनसांख्यिकीय समूहों में विभाजित किया गया था, जो विभिन्न लिंगों, जातियों, आयु, व्यवसायों और ऑस्टिन के निवासियों के आय स्तर की प्राथमिकताओं को दर्शाते हैं।

20

सर्वेक्षण को 20 अलग-अलग संगठनों के न्यूज़लेटर्स में साझा किया गया था।



### क्रॉस सेक्शन

उत्तरदाताओं को विकल्पों को 1-10 तक रेट करने के लिए कहा गया, जिसमें 10 का मतलब सबसे बेहतर था।


- दो-तरफ़ा साइकलि लेनों और दो ट्रैवल लेनों के साथ ऊपर दिखाए गए (7.62, 53% ने 9 या 10 रेट किया है) विकल्प 2 को प्राथमिकता दी गई।



### साइकलि नेटवर्क

उत्तरदाताओं को साइकलि नेटवर्क के लिए 3 अलग-अलग परदृश्यों को रैंक करने के लिए कहा गया।

- 72% उत्तरदाताओं ने कहा कि सबसे बड़ा वसितार सबसे अच्छा विकल्प था



### केवल-ट्रांज़िट लेन

उत्तरदाताओं से केवल-ट्रांज़िट लेन के लिए समर्थन या विरोध के बारे में पूछा गया।

- कैपमेट्रो (CapMetro) सेवा के लिए शहर से लक्षित समर्पित केवल-ट्रांज़िट लेनों के लिए मज़बूत समर्थन (86%) था
- सबसे कम आय वाले उत्तरदाताओं के बीच केवल-ट्रांज़िट लेनों के लिए सबसे मज़बूत समर्थन था



### दशियों में परिवर्तन

उत्तरदाताओं से एक-तरफ़ा से दो-तरफ़ा सड़क में परिवर्तन के परदृश्यों के बारे में पूछा गया।

- पूर्ण परिवर्तन परदृश्य के लिए थोड़ा समर्थन था, लेकिन साइकलि और ट्रांज़िट लेनों की कीमत पर नहीं।

## सबसे ज़्यादा पॉप्युलर समर्थन में वोट कैंपे किए गए कमेंट्स

“मुझे वाहनों के लिए तीन लेनों पसंद नहीं है। व्हीकल लेन को हटा कर एक समर्पित ट्रांज़िट लेन कर दी जानी चाहिए।”

“हमें हर सड़क पर सुरक्षा बाइक लेन चाहिए, और ट्रांज़िट लेन वहाँ, जहाँ उनकी ज़रूरत है। आवश्यकतानुसार कार लेनों को कम करें।”

“सार्वजनिक परविहन विकल्पों की मात्रा बढ़ाना और उनकी दक्षता हमारे शहर के भविष्य और... खास तौर से कम आय वाले निवासियों के लिए बेहद ज़रूरी है। व्यक्तिगत कार के इसतेमाल की प्राथमिकता नहीं दी जानी चाहिए।

“कृपया शहर के मुख्य भाग में पैदल यात्री, पारगमन और साइकलि इंफ्रास्ट्रक्चर को प्राथमिकता दें। शहर का मुख्य भाग एक ऐसा गंतव्य और एक ऐसी जगह है जहाँ लोग रहते हैं, काम करते हैं और खेलते हैं। ये नज़ी ऑटोमोबाइल द्वारा \*आवागमन\* को प्राथमिकता देने वाली जगह नहीं है।”

“साझा बस/बाइक लेनों से लगातार साइकलि चालकों को लेन को फ़ॉलो नहीं करने वाली कारों से खतरा बना रहता है। सभी उपयोगकर्ताओं की दक्षता और आराम के लिए चलने, ट्रांज़िट और बाइक के तौर-तरीकों को अलग किया जाना चाहिए।”





# 오스틴 (Austin) 중심가 교통 계획 2단계에서 청취했던 내용



ACT 계획 참여의 2단계에서 커뮤니티에 더 정교한 시나리오가 제시되었으며 2023년 1월 23일부터 3월 31일까지 진행된 설문 조사를 통해 의견을 수집하였습니다

**1400** 설문 조사에서는 영어, 스페인어, 디지털/서면 응답 등의 방법으로 **1,400명** 이상이 응답했습니다.

**39** 팀은 **39회**가 넘는 대면 커뮤니티 이벤트와 회의에 참석했습니다.

**30** 결과는 오스틴 주민의 다양한 성별, 인종, 연령, 직업, 소득 수준별 선호를 보여주는 **30개의 서로 다른 인구통계학적 그룹**으로 세분되었습니다.

**20** 설문조사는 **서로 다른 20개 기관**의 소식지를 통해 공유되었습니다



응답자들에게 1-10 옵션의 평가를 요청하였으며, 10이 가장 바람직한 것으로 나타났습니다.

- 양방향 자전거 차선과 2개의 주행 차선이 있는 위에 표시된 옵션 2(7.62, 53%가 9 또는 10으로 평가)가 선호되었습니다.



응답자들에게 환승 전용 차선에 대한 지지/반대를 물었습니다.

- CapMetro 서비스를 위해 도심을 통과하는 타깃형 환승 전용 차선에 대한 강력한 지지(86%)
- 최저소득 응답자 사이에서 환승 전용 차선에 대한 가장 강력한 지지



응답자들에게 자전거망에 관한 3가지 다른 시나리오의 순위 평가를 요청했습니다.

- 응답자의 72%는 최대한 크게 확장하는 것이 최선의 선택이라고 말했습니다.



응답자들에게 일방통행에서 양방향 도로로 전환하는 시나리오에 대해 질문했습니다.

- 완전 전환 시나리오에 대한 소폭의 지지, 그러나 자전거 및 환승 차선을 희생시키지 않아야 함

## 가장 인기 있는 투표 댓글

“우리는 모든 거리에서 보호되는 자전거 차선이 필요하며 합리적인 환승 차선이 필요합니다. 필요하다면 자동차 차선을 줄이십시오.”

“대중 교통 환승 옵션의 양과 효율성을 높이는 것은 우리 시의 미래와...특히 저소득 주민들에게 매우 중요합니다. 개인 차량 사용은 우선순위를 낮추어야 합니다.”

“저는 3개 차선을 차량용으로 하는 것을 좋아하지 않습니다. 전용 환승 차선을 위해서는 차량용 차선을 없애야 합니다.”

“도심에서는 보행자, 환승, 자전거 인프라를 우선해 주십시오. 도심은 사람들이 생활하고, 일하고, 즐기는 목적지이자 장소입니다. 개인 차량으로 \*통과해\* 이동하는 것을 우선시하는 장소가 아닙니다.”

“버스/자전거 공동 차선은 차선을 무시하는 차량의 위험에 자전거 이용자를 지속적으로 노출시킵니다. 모든 사용자의 효율성과 편의를 위해 보행, 환승, 자전거 이용의 세부 원칙을 분리해야 합니다.”



# TRANSPORTATION PUBLIC WORKS



## Austin Core Transportation (ACT) Plan

City Council Mobility Committee | May 11, 2023

Upal Barua, P.E., PTOE

Dan Hennessey, P.E., PTOE, RSP1

Transportation and Public Works Department




# Presentation Outline

- ACT Plan 101
- Process and Deliverable
- Public Engagement Process and Results
- Next Steps/Timeline
- Questions







## What is the ACT Plan?

- Study of transportation connections to, from, and within downtown
- Multimodal in nature
- Reviews existing plans, including:
  - *Great Streets Master Plan (2001)*
  - *Downtown Access and Mobility Plan (2002)*
  - *Downtown Austin Plan Transportation Framework Plan (2008)*
  - *Downtown Austin Plan (2011)*
  - *Downtown Austin Parking Strategy (2018)*
  - *Austin Strategic Mobility Plan (2019)*
- Goals
  - *Identify feasible options to accommodate recognized needs*
  - *Coordinate with Project Connect, I-35 Capital Express Central, future growth*
  - *Communicate to public the ultimate plans for right-of-way downtown*
  - *Create implementation plan*
  - *Develop community support for build out of surface-level projects*




## Why Now?

- *Began in 2019 → Paused in 2020 → Restarted in early 2022*
- *Update with latest on:*
  - I-35 Capital Express Central (including cap-and-stitch)
  - Project Connect
  - 2016/2020 Mobility Bond efforts
- *Identify actionable items for:*
  - City and public agencies
  - Input for ATP and TxDOT
  - DAA, partners, and stakeholders
- *Understand funding required*
- *Action Item 87 in the ASMP*





# Current I-35 Capital Express Central Schematic






# Potential Project Connect Changes to Downtown





# Project Recommendations



# Deliverable – Sample

## PROJECT | 5

## SW Jefferson / Columbia / Madison

### Project Highlights

Multiple bus lines use Columbia and Jefferson to connect from Goose Hollow to the Hawthorne Bridge. These streets are also critical east/west connections through downtown Portland for cars and trucks. The proposed project would improve transit reliability and speed by adding a Bus and Turn lane and bus stop improvements. Traditional bike lanes would also be provided.

**Estimated Cost: \$3,000,000**

### Benefits



#### **BUSINESS ACCESS & TRANSIT LANES**

Transit priority BAT lanes on Jefferson and Columbia will allow the buses to access and get through downtown, relieving a major pinch point in the transit system.



#### **CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS**

Pedestrian crossing improvements can improve safety and increase the likelihood that people driving will stop for people crossing the street.



**BIKE LANE** Moving the bike lane on Madison will eliminate weaving with the buses.



**BIKEWAY** Portions of the bikeway connections from the Hawthorne Bridge will be protected. Separating people biking on Madison from other vehicles will improve safety for all roadway users.




**PEOPLE MOVING CAPACITY** Changes in street design would increase the number of people that the street could accommodate by +74%.

### Key Considerations

- To accommodate the BAT lane from 1st to 5th Avenues on SW Madison, all parking would be removed.
- To accommodate the BAT lane from 4th Avenue to Broadway on SW Jefferson, parking on the north side would be removed.
- From 12th Avenue to Collins Circle, SW Jefferson could accommodate the protected bike lane, BAT lane and two travel lanes.
- To accommodate a BAT lane and bike lane on SW Columbia, some parking on the south side of the street would be removed. Most parking on the north side of SW Columbia would be retained.

### Project Details





# Deliverable – Sample

## Recommended projects for implementation

### 1-5 Year Project Recommendations

Projects are not listed in priority order

#	NAME/DESCRIPTION	APPROXIMATE COST	PRIMARY ELEMENTS		
			Transit Priority	Safer Crossings	Low-stress Bikeways
1	Burnside (from W 10th to E 12th)	\$5.5M	x	x	x
2	Broadway (from SW Grant to Broadway Bridge) 4th Avenue (from SW Caruthers to NW Flanders) , and SW College	\$6.6M		x	x
3	NE/SE 7th Avenue (from Sullivan's Span to Division)	\$4.5M		x	x
3	Grand	\$900K	x	x	
5	SW Madison (from SW 5th to SW 1st)	\$170K	x		
6	NW 14th (from Burnside to Front)	\$530K		x	x
7	NW Everett (from Broadway to Steel Bridge)	\$1M	x		
8	SW Salmon/SW Taylor/SW 1st	\$3.9M		x	x
9	SE Salmon	\$490K		x	x
12	SE Hawthorne (from viaduct to 12th)	\$1.2M	x	x	x
13	NE Multnomah	\$3.8M	x	x	x
15	NE Lloyd (from MLK to 12th)	\$740K		x	x
16	Pedestrian crossings of Burnside	\$870K		x	
17	Naito	\$4M			x
18	NE Broadway/Weidler (phase I)	\$1.5M		x	x
<b>TOTAL 1-5 YEAR PROGRAM COST</b>		<b>\$35.7M</b>			

### 6-10 Year Project Recommendations

Projects are not listed in priority order

#	NAME/DESCRIPTION	APPROXIMATE COST	PRIMARY ELEMENTS		
			Transit Priority	Safer Crossings	Low-stress Bikeways
3	MLK	\$910K	x	x	
3	NE 7th Avenue (from Lloyd to Broadway)	\$410K		x	x
3	SE 6th Avenue pedestrian crossing improvements	\$1.5M		x	
4	SE 11th (from Clinton to Sandy) and SE /NE 12th (from Clinton to Lloyd)	\$7.4M		x	x
5	SW Jefferson/Columbia	\$3M	x	x	
6	SW 17th, 12th, and 14th pedestrian and signal improvements	\$2.5M		x	x
7	NW Everett (signalize northbound Naito to Steel Bridge ramp, eastside signal and BAT lane at Rose Quarter)	\$3.1M	x	x	
10	SW Alder	\$1.3M		x	x
11	SE Belmont/Morrison	\$3.1M	x	x	x
12	SE Madison	\$1.9M	x		
12	SE Clay	\$1.2M		x	x
13	NE 16th	\$211K	x	x	x
14	SE Water/Stark/3rd	\$2.6M		x	x
15	NE Lloyd: Rose Quarter to MLK	\$190K			x
16	Hoyt and Park and 9th	\$3.5M		x	x
18	NE Broadway/Weidler (phase II)	\$3.7M		x	x
<b>TOTAL 6-10 YEAR PROGRAM COST</b>		<b>\$36.5M</b>			

- Focused on feasible design solutions that have broad community consensus
- Identify funding needs and other barriers to implementation
- Coordinate improvement phasing with Project Connect and I-35 Capital Express Central construction
- Identify quick wins and complements to other on-going mobility projects





# Public Engagement


- Working with Downtown Austin Alliance
- More than 80 Downtown stakeholders
- Public events, pop-ups, and community meetings
- Integration with other Downtown focused projects and programs (e.g., Palm District, Project Connect, etc.)
- Geographic coverage of Downtown based on Downtown Austin Plan
- Identification of 20 different focus populations









# TRANSPORTATION PUBLIC WORKS






TRANSPORTATION  
PUBLIC WORKS



# Phase 1 Survey Results

2,100+ responses


Street Element Preferences – Rating from 1 (Least Preferred) to 10 (Most Preferred)



# Phase 1 Survey Results

2,100+ responses

## Access to/from/within Downtown Preferences





# Phase 1 Survey Results

2,100+ responses – Green shows most preferred ranking, red shows least preferred

## TRANSPORTATION PUBLIC WORKS


	Enter	Exit	Through	Within
All Respondents	2.27	2.77	3.00	1.95
Downtown Residents	2.56	2.74	3.15	1.55
Downtown Employees	2.29	2.66	3.15	1.89
Live and Work Downtown	2.57	2.76	3.17	1.51
Women	2.32	2.75	2.88	2.06
Men	2.22	2.84	3.14	1.80
Asian	2.21	2.65	3.25	1.89
Black	2.23	2.90	2.90	1.98
Hispanic	2.13	2.82	2.94	2.10
Indigenous	2.32	2.84	2.68	2.16
White	2.28	2.81	3.05	1.85
Non-White	2.17	2.79	3.00	2.04
Income Over \$150k	2.27	2.75	3.17	1.81
Income Over \$100k	2.26	2.78	3.11	1.85
Income Under \$100k	2.26	2.81	2.93	1.93
Income Under \$50k	2.15	2.78	2.95	2.11
Identify as Disabled	2.37	2.88	2.77	1.98
Construction Workers	2.17	2.55	3.03	2.24
Hotel Staff	2.00	2.67	3.53	1.80
Janitorial/Late Night	2.25	2.75	3.00	2.00
Musicians	2.29	2.97	2.73	2.01
Bar/Restaurant/Venue Staff	2.31	2.67	3.14	1.88
Delivery and TNC/Taxi Drivers	2.60	2.78	2.58	2.04
State Employees	2.23	2.69	2.93	2.15
65 and Older	2.37	2.71	2.86	2.06
55 and Older	2.32	2.74	2.98	1.96
All Respondents	2.27	2.77	3.00	1.95
Representative Gender Distribution	2.27	2.79	3.01	1.93
Representative Ethnic Distribution	2.22	2.81	3.02	1.95
Representative Income Distribution	2.27	2.81	2.99	1.92
Representative Age Distribution	2.23	2.79	3.09	1.89

	A. Building Frontages	B. Sidewalk	C. Street Tree and Furniture Zone	D. Curb Zone	E. Transit-Only Lane	F. Mixed Vehicle Lane	G. Protected Bicycle/Micromobility Lane
All Respondents	7.45	9.11	7.85	5.21	6.35	5.08	8.33
Downtown Residents	7.90	9.12	7.70	5.18	5.68	5.02	8.00
Downtown Employees	7.35	9.02	7.77	5.17	6.21	5.11	8.20
Live and Work Downtown	8.01	9.22	7.78	4.94	5.60	4.81	8.25
Women	7.29	9.27	8.01	5.86	6.57	5.31	8.48
Men	7.69	9.10	7.92	4.77	6.32	4.83	8.48
Asian	7.83	9.53	8.54	5.49	7.06	4.58	8.61
Black	7.15	8.75	7.69	5.90	6.90	5.65	7.98
Hispanic	7.20	9.04	7.93	5.49	6.79	5.35	8.55
Indigenous	6.36	8.68	7.88	4.96	5.96	5.96	8.20
White	7.61	9.22	8.01	5.06	6.43	4.87	8.59
Non-White	7.30	9.11	8.06	5.50	6.82	5.23	8.47
Income Over \$150k	7.74	9.12	7.87	5.15	6.03	4.95	8.38
Income Over \$100k	7.68	9.16	7.91	5.15	6.17	4.96	8.43
Income Under \$100k	7.30	9.15	8.07	5.15	6.89	4.94	8.65
Income Under \$50k	7.14	8.83	8.34	5.38	6.79	4.66	8.55
Identify as Disabled	7.34	9.08	8.00	5.50	6.81	5.27	7.71
Construction Workers	7.90	9.24	8.21	5.79	5.07	5.59	8.14
Hotel Staff	7.40	7.87	7.93	4.20	6.33	5.33	8.27
Janitorial/Late Night	5.50	8.00	8.50	4.25	8.00	5.00	10.00
Musicians	7.38	8.79	8.45	5.70	6.63	5.03	8.86
Bar/Restaurant/Venue Staff	6.81	8.50	8.36	5.69	6.91	5.78	8.74
Delivery and TNC/Taxi Drivers	6.72	9.06	8.12	6.18	6.72	5.46	8.20
State Employees	6.98	8.98	7.56	5.38	6.59	5.40	8.02
65 and Older	7.06	8.82	7.55	6.14	6.01	6.06	7.43
55 and Older	7.14	9.00	7.67	5.92	6.17	6.06	7.89
All Respondents	7.45	9.11	7.85	5.21	6.35	5.08	8.33
Representative Gender Distribution	7.49	9.19	7.96	5.32	6.45	5.07	8.48
Representative Ethnic Distribution	7.45	9.15	8.01	5.30	6.64	5.06	8.53
Representative Income Distribution	7.44	9.15	8.07	5.17	6.70	4.92	8.56
Representative Age Distribution	7.58	9.23	8.02	5.10	6.66	4.89	8.50


# Phase 2 Survey Results

1,400+ responses

## Review Existing Downtown Cross-Sections



Support: 16%  
Oppose: 73%



Support: 36%  
Oppose: 44%

# Phase 2 Survey Results


1,400+ responses

Review Potential Downtown Cross-Sections

TRANSPORTATION  
PUBLIC WORKS



Support: 34%  
Oppose: 40%



Support: 60%  
Oppose: 23%



Support: 71%  
Oppose: 17%



# Phase 2 Survey Results

1,400+ responses


## Review Potential Bicycle Networks and Transit Facilities

Scenario	Protected Bicycle Lane Miles	Unprotected Bicycle Lane Miles	On-Street Parking Spaces	Vehicle Lane-Miles
Existing Conditions	2	8	6,500	100
Scenario 1 (Minimal Changes, Maintain Vehicle Network)	5	7	6,450	99
Percent Change	+150%	-13%	-1%	-1%
Scenario 2 (Balanced Bicycle Network Coverage)	12	4	6,100	96
Percent Change	+500%	-50%	-6%	-4%
Scenario 3 (Complete Bicycle Network Coverage)	20	2	5,750	92
Percent Change	+900%	-75%	-12%	-8%

**72%: Scenario 3 is best**

**82%: Existing Conditions is worst**

**86% support, 11% oppose targeted installation of dedicated transit lanes**






# Phase 2 Survey Results

1,400+ responses


## Review Street Directionality (One-Way vs. Two-Way)



Existing: 31% support, 42% oppose



Option 1: 31% support, 45% oppose



Option 2: 48% support, 33% oppose

# Phase 2 Survey Results

1,400+ responses



TRANSPORTATION  
PUBLIC WORKS

	Great Streets		Typical Cross-Sections			Transit Cross-Sections			Bike Networks Scenarios				Street Network Conversions			Transit
	Current	Ped	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Existing	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Existing	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Lanes
All Respondents	3.11	5.19	5.22	7.62	6.77	2.62	6.45	7.68	3.67	2.82	1.93	1.56	2.78	2.71	3.20	4.39
Downtown Residents	3.97	5.47	5.27	7.41	6.82	4.13	6.26	7.37	3.32	2.66	2.07	1.93	3.13	2.73	3.14	4.20
Downtown Employees	3.56	4.97	5.33	7.17	6.82	3.15	6.43	7.47	3.51	2.72	1.99	1.75	2.91	2.67	3.10	4.25
Live and Work Downtown	4.05	5.54	4.99	7.20	6.61	4.23	6.09	7.34	3.33	2.70	2.06	1.88	3.06	2.79	3.27	4.22
Women	3.44	4.89	5.23	7.73	6.93	2.61	6.48	7.76	3.61	2.79	1.91	1.67	3.00	2.76	3.09	4.35
Men	2.78	5.31	5.09	8.02	6.77	2.61	6.37	7.82	3.70	2.84	1.94	1.50	2.72	2.67	3.20	4.45
Asian	2.53	5.17	4.43	8.60	6.80	2.10	6.73	9.10	3.83	2.86	1.87	1.41	2.53	3.27	3.27	4.67
Black	4.88	5.08	4.60	7.20	6.04	3.52	6.88	7.32	3.04	2.57	2.13	2.21	3.25	2.92	3.04	4.38
Hispanic	3.69	5.11	5.47	7.44	7.04	3.50	5.96	7.35	3.39	2.69	2.07	1.83	3.08	2.70	3.16	4.14
Indigenous	2.33	7.00	1.67	6.33	7.00	1.00	4.67	7.67	3.67	3.33	2.00	1.00	1.67	1.67	4.33	5.00
White	2.83	5.16	5.11	7.98	6.84	2.43	6.47	7.92	3.72	2.85	1.91	1.50	2.76	2.70	3.18	4.45
Non-White	3.63	5.16	5.01	7.63	6.81	3.14	6.27	7.74	3.43	2.72	2.03	1.79	2.96	2.84	3.19	4.32
Income Over \$150k	2.89	5.34	5.32	7.95	6.86	2.47	6.55	7.60	3.69	2.85	1.89	1.55	2.88	2.63	3.12	4.42
Income Over \$100k	2.92	5.25	5.26	7.99	6.79	2.56	6.56	7.64	3.71	2.85	1.89	1.52	2.85	2.63	3.10	4.43
Income Under \$100k	2.90	5.08	4.91	7.93	6.93	2.66	6.20	8.03	3.65	2.81	1.97	1.56	2.69	2.82	3.31	4.45
Income Under \$50k	3.09	5.48	4.73	8.10	6.88	2.95	5.73	8.08	3.62	2.83	1.97	1.58	2.68	2.83	3.38	4.62
Identify as Disabled	3.26	5.77	4.69	7.63	6.56	2.91	6.38	7.30	3.43	2.71	2.09	1.75	2.90	2.74	3.19	4.43
Construction Workers	3.63	6.19	3.25	6.81	4.38	3.06	4.38	7.00	2.88	2.75	2.31	2.06	2.94	2.69	3.75	3.88
Hotel Staff	3.60	5.70	4.40	6.30	4.70	2.40	5.80	7.10	3.40	2.80	2.10	1.70	2.80	2.00	3.80	4.60
Janitorial/Late Night	1.67	3.33	6.33	8.33	7.33	1.67	9.00	7.67	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	3.33	2.67	2.00	5.00
Musicians	2.78	4.59	4.98	8.39	7.00	1.71	6.63	8.80	3.82	2.89	1.91	1.35	2.57	2.49	3.12	4.61
Bar/Restaurant/Venue Staff	3.15	5.42	4.13	7.67	6.58	2.44	6.73	8.40	3.77	2.88	1.92	1.44	2.89	2.74	3.26	4.54
Delivery and TNC/Taxi Drivers	2.85	4.08	5.15	9.19	7.50	2.62	7.31	9.00	3.85	2.81	1.96	1.38	2.69	3.15	3.50	4.77
State Employees	3.79	4.61	5.05	7.27	6.55	2.79	6.37	6.66	3.58	2.72	1.95	1.74	3.08	2.66	2.85	4.18
65 and Older	3.39	4.39	5.42	7.05	7.61	3.22	6.44	7.93	3.40	2.55	1.95	2.11	2.83	2.91	3.33	4.13
55 and Older	3.36	4.48	5.29	7.44	7.13	3.04	6.22	7.67	3.52	2.69	1.95	1.82	2.79	2.78	3.19	4.21
All Respondents	3.11	5.19	5.22	7.62	6.77	2.62	6.45	7.68	3.67	2.82	1.93	1.56	2.78	2.71	3.20	4.39
Representative Gender Distribution	3.11	5.09	5.16	7.88	6.85	2.61	6.43	7.79	3.65	2.81	1.93	1.59	2.86	2.71	3.15	4.40
Representative Ethnic Distribution	3.24	5.14	5.13	7.80	6.85	2.84	6.35	7.79	3.57	2.78	1.98	1.65	2.88	2.77	3.17	4.36
Representative Income Distribution	2.95	5.21	5.03	7.98	6.87	2.70	6.25	7.89	3.38	2.83	1.94	1.55	2.75	2.75	3.24	4.48
Representative Age Distribution	2.95	5.22	5.07	8.04	6.73	2.64	6.37	7.88	3.68	2.83	1.93	1.54	2.75	2.72	3.20	4.45





## Next Steps and Timeline

- *TxDOT – Final EIS on I-35 Capital Express Central*
- *Austin Transit Partnership – Final Preferred Alternative for Project Connect*
- *Develop Draft Plan for Review – May/June*
  - Modal networks
  - Signature projects
  - Implementation plan
- *Boards/Commissions/Council for review and comment – Through the summer*



TRANSPORTATION  
PUBLIC WORKS

# QUESTIONS?

[ACTPlan@austintexas.gov](mailto:ACTPlan@austintexas.gov)  
[AustinTexas.gov/ACTPlan](https://austintexas.gov/ACTPlan)