

Next Steps for ARCDC



ARCDC's Role

Options Shared from the COA

- ARCDC is the other party to the Facility Management Agreement, not the City of Austin. Explore whether the Facility Management Agreement between ARCDC and AMS can be assigned to the City of Austin.
 - This would result in a change of the roles and responsibilities for the ARCDC. The organization would no longer be involved in facility management. This responsibility would be the role of the COA.
- ARCDC as a Non-Profit works with City leadership to be the non-profit organization given responsibility through an agreement to operate and maintain the MYEC as a non-profit partner (will require Council direction)
- Dissolve the ARCDC, which would no longer be part of the existing contract, and PARD staff will manage and operate the facility. Utilize the \$900k facility budget to support the additional FTE's and operational costs.
- Change the role of the ARCDC to that of a non-profit advisory board, so that it can focus on fundraising and the allocation of the funds to support the facility revenue and the city manages the operations.
- Rather than extend the contract with AMS for five years, extend it for a shorter period of time until a community engagement process and solicitation process can be completed.
 - The resulting contract could be between the ARCDC and the new management company
 - The resulting contract could be between the City of Austin and the new management company.

Managerial

Advisory

The Big Question

How could we keep our advisory role which includes accountability but be honest about our lack of capacity to manage as it stands today?

Our Recommendation

- ARCDC maintain the advisory and accountability role
 - Which includes advocacy with District 1's office, City Council and the Mayor
- City of Austin becomes the manager of the management company
- ARCDC's responsibility would be to hold City of Austin to their *new* managerial role, but this would allow the City of Austin to work directly with the management company which would also improve communication on the facility management side.

**Why not the
Non-Profit route?**