

CITY OF AUSTIN
Board of Adjustment
Decision Sheet
ITEM05

DATE: Monday October 13, 2025

CASE NUMBER: C15-2025-0026

_____ Thomas Ates (D1)
_____ Bianca A Medina-Leal (D2)
_____ Jessica Cohen (D3)
_____ Yung-ju Kim (D4)
_____ Melissa Hawthorne (D5)
_____ Haseeb Abdullah (D6)
_____ Sameer S Birring (D7)
_____ Margaret Shahrestani (D8)
_____ Brian Poteet (D9)
_____ Michael Von Ohlen (D10)
_____ Jeffery L Bowen (M)
_____ Corry L Archer-mcclellan (Alternate) (M)
_____ Suzanne Valentine (Alternate) (M)
_____ VACANT (Alternate) (M)

APPLICANT: Stephen Hawkins

OWNER: Red Bud Partners, LP

ADDRESS: 1750 CHANNEL RD

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested variance(s) from the Land Development Code, Section 25-2-1176 (*Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses*) (A) (1) to increase the dock length from 30 feet (required) to thirty-seven feet and three inches (37' 3") (requested), in order to erect a boat dock in a "SF-2" Single-Family zoning district.

Note: *Land Development Code, 25-2-1176 Site Development Regulations for Docks, Marinas, and Other Lakefront Uses*
(A) *A dock or similar structure must comply with the requirements of this subsection.*
(1) *A dock may extend up to 30 feet from the shoreline, except that the director may require a dock to extend a lesser or greater distance from the shoreline if deemed necessary to ensure navigation safety.*

BOARD'S DECISION: The public hearing was closed by Chair Jessica Cohen, Board member Michael Von Ohlen's motion to Postpone to September 8, 2025; Vice-Chair Melissa Hawthorne second on 9-0 votes; POSTPONED TO September 8, 2025. September 8, 2025 Applicant requested postponement to October 13,2025; Madam Chair Jessica Cohen's motion to Postpone to October 13, 2025; Board member Corry Archer-McClellan second on 10-0 votes; POSTPONED TO October 13, 2025. October 13, 2025 APPLICANT REQUESTED POSTPONEMENT TO NOVEMBER 10, 2025; BOARD MEMBERS APPROVED POSTPONEMENT TO November 10, 2025, NO OBJECTIONS

FINDING:

1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:



Elaine Ramirez
Executive Liaison

Diana Ramirez for

Jessica Cohen
Madam Chair