

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, **you are not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak in SUPPORT or OPPOSITION of the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
 - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 - is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
 - is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/development-services

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before 9 a.m., the day of the public hearing, to be added to the Late Back-up and viewed by the Board the night of the meeting. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2026-0040

Contact: Elaine Ramirez; elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment; **October 12th, 2026**

Collin Walter

Your Name (please print)

1512 Pasadena Dr Austin, Tx 78757

Your address(es) affected by this application

Collin Walter

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

9/29/2026

Date

Daytime Telephone: [REDACTED]

Comments: I enthusiastically support ^{this} variance request being approved! Eric & Jessica should be able to improve their home as they see fit. The current standard of 40% is arbitrary. If the standard was set at 45%, no variance would be needed. The change requested is not even visible from the street. I urge the Board to approve this request.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor

Elaine Ramirez, 512-974-2202

Scan & Email to: Elaine.Ramirez@austintexas.gov

PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, **you are not required to attend**. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak in SUPPORT or OPPOSITION of the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (*it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice*); or
 - appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 - is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
 - is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.austintexas.gov/development-services

Written comments must be submitted to the contact person listed on the notice before 9 a.m., the day of the public hearing, to be added to the Late Back-up and viewed by the Board the night of the meeting. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice. All comments received will become part of the public record of this case.

Case Number: C15-2026-0040

Contact: Elaine Ramirez; elaine.ramirez@austintexas.gov

Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment; **October 12th, 2026**

Andrea M. Faddies

Your Name (please print)

☒ I am in favor
☐ I object

1703 Richcreek Rd. Austin, TX 78757

Your address(es) affected by this application

Andrea M. Faddies

9/27/26
Date

Daytime Telephone: [REDACTED]

Comments: We have no problems
whatsoever with this request.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
City of Austin-Development Services Department/ 1st Floor
Elaine Ramirez, 512-974-2202
Scan & Email to: Elaine.Ramirez@austintexas.gov

Date: October 7, 2026

To: City of Austin Board of Adjustment

Subject: Support for Variance Request – 1703 Pasadena Drive (Case No. C15-2026-0040)

To the Board of Adjustment,

I'm writing to share my full support for the variance request for 1703 Pasadena Drive (Case No. C15-2026-0040) to enclose their existing garage space and install a garage door.

As a neighbor, this project makes total sense for the property. Enclosed garages are already standard throughout our neighborhood, so adding a door here fits right in with the look and feel of Pasadena Drive. Since they're just enclosing the space that's already there, it won't change the footprint of the house or impact neighboring yards, light, or privacy at all.

Having a fully enclosed garage will also give the homeowners a much safer, protected spot to store their cars and belongings out of sight and out of the weather. It's a very reasonable residential upgrade that keeps the character of our street intact.

I strongly encourage the Board to approve this request at the October 12 meeting.

Sincerely,

Pablo and Arlynda Rivas

1701 Pasadena Dr

October 8, 2026

Re: Letter of Support for Variance Request, 1703 Pasadena Drive

Case No: C15-2026-0040

Dear Members of the Board,

I am writing in support of the variance request for 1703 Pasadena Drive, which would allow the owners to enclose their existing garage space and install a garage door. As a neighbor, I believe the request is reasonable, modest, and consistent with our neighborhood, and I respectfully ask the Board to approve it.

An enclosed garage would protect the owners' vehicles and belongings from theft and weather. Enclosed garages are also common on Pasadena Drive and throughout the surrounding neighborhood, so this change would be consistent with the area's residential character and scale which we are working hard to maintain.

The request involves enclosing an existing space, not building a new one. The building's footprint would remain the same, with no additional impact on neighboring yards, light, air, or privacy. As an enclosed garage is a customary residential amenity, this would maintain the property's residential use and character. For these reasons, I urge the Board to approve the variance. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sarah Walter". The script is cursive and fluid, with the first name "Sarah" and last name "Walter" clearly legible.

Sarah Walter
Resident of 1512 Pasadena Drive

Paul Grotevant
1704 Pasadena Drive
Austin, Texas 78757

October 6, 2026

City of Austin Board of Adjustment
Austin City Hall
301 W. Second Street
Austin, Texas 78701

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in support of the land development code variance request filed by my neighbors at 1703 Pasadena Drive, **Case No. C15-2026-0040**.

My family has lived at 1704 Pasadena Drive since 2007, and we watched every step of the construction of this house from our vantage point across the street – from the demolition of the previous home on the lot to the arrival of our new neighbors.

As the construction neared completion, I was shocked and confused to see the builders tear out an already finished wall on the west side of the garage, only to replace it with what appeared to be a screen built out of wooden fencing. I couldn't imagine how it would feel as the new owners of such a lovely new home to be told that my garage was now going to open to the elements, drastically limiting how it could be used.

I now understand that this change was required to comply with the residential design and compatibility standards, but this is one of those situations where complying with the letter of the law has unintended consequences that are arguably worse than the potential violation. As it stands, the current situation is not only an inconvenience to the homeowners, but it creates *greater* inconsistency with the existing residential character of the neighborhood. I believe it also decreases security for every home in our immediate area by presenting a more attractive target for thieves than a fully enclosed garage. Enclosing the space is a limited change that would have a positive visual impact on the exterior of the house.

Please register our household's support for this variance.

Sincerely,
Paul Grotevant